Local Performance Indicator Self-Reflection

Local Educational Agency (LEA)	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Mountain View Whisman School	Cathy Baur	cbaur@mvwsd.org
District	Chief Academic Officer	(650) 526-3500 ext. 1125

Introduction

The State Board of Education (SBE) approved standards for the local indicators that support a local educational agency (LEA) in measuring and reporting progress within the appropriate priority area. The approved performance standards require an LEA to:

- Annually measure its progress in meeting the requirements of the specific Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) priority.
- Report the results as part of a non-consent item at a regularly scheduled public meeting of the local governing board/body in conjunction with the adoption of the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP).
- Report results to the public through the Dashboard utilizing the SBE-adopted self-reflection tools for each local indicator.

This Quick Guide identifies the approved standards and self-reflection tools that an LEA will use to report its progress on the local indicators.

Performance Standards

The performance standards for the local performance indicators are:

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

The LEA annually measures its progress in meeting the Williams settlement requirements at 100% at all of its school sites, as applicable, and promptly addresses any complaints or other deficiencies identified throughout the academic year, as applicable; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and to reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Implementation of State Academic Standards (LCFF Priority 2)

The LEA annually measures its progress implementing state academic standards; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Parent and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

This measure addresses Parent and Family Engagement, including how an LEA builds relationships between school staff and families, builds partnerships for student outcomes and seeks input for decision-making.

LEAs report progress of how they have sought input from parents in decision-making and promoted parent participation in programs to its local governing board or body using the SBE-adopted self-reflection tool for Priority 3 at the same meeting at which the LEA adopts its LCAP, and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

The LEA administers a local climate survey at least every other year that provides a valid measure of perceptions of school safety and connectedness, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, to students in at least one grade within the grade span(s) that the LEA serves (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12), and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

The LEA annually measures its progress in the extent to which students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study that includes the adopted courses of study specified in the California Education Code (EC) for Grades 1-6 and Grades 7-12, as applicable, including the programs and services developed and provided to unduplicated students and individuals with exceptional needs; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Expelled Students – County Office of Education (COE) Only (LCFF Priority 9)

The county office of education (COE) annually measures its progress in coordinating instruction as required by California EC Section 48926; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Foster Youth – COE Only (LCFF Priority 10)

The COE annually measures its progress in coordinating services for foster youth; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to educational partners and the public through the Dashboard.

Self-Reflection Tools

An LEA uses the self-reflection tools included within the Dashboard to report its progress on the local performance indicator to educational partners and the public.

The self-reflection tools are embedded in the web-based Dashboard system and are also available in Word document format. In addition to using the self-reflection tools to report its progress on the local performance indicators to educational partners and the public, an LEA may use the self-reflection tools as a resource when reporting results to its local governing board. The approved self-reflection tools are provided below.

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

LEAs will provide the information below:

- Number/percentage of misassignments of teachers of ELs, total teacher misassignments, and vacant teacher positions
- Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home
- Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the "good repair" standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies)

Teachers	Number	Percent
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	3	1.15%
Total Teacher Misassignments	4	1.54%
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0%

Access to Instructional Materials	Number	Percent
Students Without Access to Own Copies of Standards-Aligned Instructional Materials for Use at School and at Home	0	0%

Facility Conditions	Number
Identified Instances Where Facilities Do Not Meet The "Good Repair" Standard (Including Deficiencies and Extreme Deficiencies)	213

OPTION 2: Reflection Tool

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

1. Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning for teaching to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 Beginning Development
- 3 Initial Implementation
- 4 Full Implementation
- 5 Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA				4	
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)				4	
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics				4	
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

2. Rate the LEA's progress in making instructional materials that are aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below available in all classrooms where the subject is taught.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 Beginning Development
- 3 Initial Implementation
- 4 Full Implementation
- 5 Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA					5
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)					5
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics					5
Next Generation Science Standards					5
History-Social Science					5

Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs to support staff in identifying areas where
they can improve in delivering instruction aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or
curriculum frameworks identified below (e.g., collaborative time, focused classroom walkthroughs, teacher
pairing).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 Beginning Development
- 3 Initial Implementation
- 4 Full Implementation
- 5 Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA				4	
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)				4	
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics				4	
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science				4	

Other Adopted Academic Standards

4. Rate the LEA's progress implementing each of the following academic standards adopted by the state board for all students.

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 Beginning Development
- 3 Initial Implementation
- 4 Full Implementation
- 5 Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Career Technical Education			3		
Health Education Content Standards				4	
Physical Education Model Content Standards				4	
Visual and Performing Arts				4	
World Language				4	

Support for Teachers and Administrators

5. Rate the LEA's success at engaging in the following activities with teachers and school administrators during the prior school year (including the summer preceding the prior school year).

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 Beginning Development
- 3 Initial Implementation
- 4 Full Implementation
- 5 Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Identifying the professional learning needs of groups of teachers or staff as a whole			3		
Identifying the professional learning needs of individual teachers				4	
Providing support for teachers on the standards they have not yet mastered				4	

Optional Narrative (Limited to 1,500 characters)

6. Provide any additional information in the text box provided in the Dashboard that the LEA believes is relevant to understanding its progress implementing the academic standards adopted by the state board.

Professional development on the Common Core Standards in English Language Arts and mathematics began in 2013-14. The District adopted math (Eureka Math) in 2014-15 and ELA/ELD (Benchmark Advance/Adelante and StudySync) in 2015-16. Professional Development on the materials/standards was provided. In 2014-15, the District implemented an instructional coaching model. The District has instructional coaches at each elementary school and two middle schools share a 1.0 Social Studies, 1.0 ELA, and 1.0 science coach. The District was unable to hire a math coach for middle school. All teachers have weekly collaboration time. In fall 2019, the District implemented a revised RTI/STEAM plan. All Elementary sites have dedicated STEAM teachers. Each elementary school's STEAM teacher focuses on hands-on K-5 science during RTI time, while the classroom teacher provides small-group support and enrichment. The District adopted new middle school History Social Science materials in May 2019, and in May 2020 adopted new elementary History Social Science materials as well as new Science materials for grades TK-8. Professional development was provided. In summer 2020, the District focused on supporting teachers with distance learning through professional development. Adopted curriculum was accessible online and all students were provided a chromebook for distance learning. In 2021-22 the District focused on providing professional development on differentiation and equity.

Parental Involvement and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

Introduction

Family engagement is an essential strategy for building pathways to college and career readiness for all students and is an essential component of a systems approach to improving outcomes for all students. More than 30 years of research has shown that family engagement can lead to improved student outcomes (e.g., attendance, engagement, academic outcomes, social emotional learning, etc.).

Consistent with the California Department of Education's (CDE's) Family Engagement Toolkit: 1

- Effective and authentic family engagement has been described as an intentional partnership of educators, families and community members who share responsibility for a child from the time they are born to becoming an adult.
- To build an effective partnership, educators, families, and community members need to develop the knowledge
 and skills to work together, and schools must purposefully integrate family and community engagement with
 goals for students' learning and thriving.

The LCFF legislation recognized the importance of family engagement by requiring LEAs to address Priority 3 within their LCAP. The self-reflection tool described below enables LEAs to reflect upon their implementation of family engagement as part of their continuous improvement process and prior to updating their LCAP.

For LEAs to engage all families equitably, it is necessary to understand the cultures, languages, needs and interests of families in the local area. Furthermore, developing family engagement policies, programs, and practices needs to be done in partnership with local families, using the tools of continuous improvement.

Instructions

This self-reflection tool is organized into three sections. Each section includes research and evidence-based practices in family engagement:

- 1. Building Relationships between School Staff and Families
- 2. Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes
- 3. Seeking Input for Decision-Making

Based on an evaluation of data, including educational partner input, an LEA uses this self-reflection tool to report on its progress successes and area(s) of need related to family engagement policies, programs, and practices. This tool will enable an LEA to engage in continuous improvement and determine next steps to make improvements in the areas identified. The results of the process should be used to inform the LCAP and its development process, including assessing prior year goals, actions and services and in modifying future goals, actions, and services in the LCAP.

LEAs are to implement the following self-reflection process:

- 1. Identify the diverse educational partners that need to participate in the self-reflection process in order to ensure input from all groups of families, staff and students in the LEA, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.
- Engage educational partners in determining what data and information will be considered to complete the selfreflection tool. LEAs should consider how the practices apply to families of all student groups, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.
- 3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each of the 12 practices using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):
 - 1 Exploration and Research
 - 2 Beginning Development
 - 3 Initial Implementation
 - 4 Full Implementation
 - 5 Full Implementation and Sustainability
- 4. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, respond to each of the prompts pertaining to each section of the tool.
- 5. Use the findings from the self-reflection process to inform the annual update to the LCAP and the LCAP development process, as well as the development of other school and district plans.

Sections of the Self-Reflection Tool

Section 1: Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 Beginning Development
- 3 Initial Implementation
- 4 Full Implementation
- 5 Full Implementation and Sustainability

	Building Relationships	1	2	3	4	5
1.	Rate the LEA's progress in developing the capacity of staff (i.e., administrators, teachers, and classified staff) to build trusting and respectful relationships with families.			3		
2.	Rate the LEA's progress in creating welcoming environments for all families in the community.				4	
3.	Rate the LEA's progress in supporting staff to learn about each family's strengths, cultures, languages, and goals for their children.			3		
4.	Rate the LEA's progress in developing multiple opportunities for the LEA and school sites to engage in 2-way communication between families and educators using language that is understandable and accessible to families.				4	

Building Relationships Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)

1. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

MVWSD is in the full Implementation phase in two of the four areas of building relationships. Results from the District's LCAP/Climate survey indicate that 83% of parent respondents agreed or strongly agreed that the District is successful in creating welcoming environments for all families in the community and 87% agreed or strongly agreed that the District is successful in developing multiple opportunities for the LEA and school sites to engage in 2-way communication between families and educators using language that is understandable and accessible to families.

2. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

MVWSD is in the Initial implementation phase in two areas of building relationships. Results from the District's LCAP/Climate survey indicate that 72% of parents agreed or strongly agreed that the District is working to develop the capacity of staff (admin, teachers, classified) to build trusting relationships with families and 76% of parents agreed or strongly agreed that the District is supporting staff to learn about each family's strengths, cultures, languages, and goals for their children.

3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Building Relationships Between School Staff and Families.

MVWSD is committed to improving communication with families and ensuring that they get needed information in easily accessible ways. This is a focus in the District's Strategic Plan 2027 in Goal Area 3 and in the District's Local Control Accountability Plan Goal 3 - Inclusive and Welcoming Culture. Effective communication builds understanding and trust and will allow the District to work together with parents to support student's wellbeing and development.

The district is working to streamline and differentiate communication streams so parents get information that more closely matches their family attributes and communication preferences and tone.

MVWSD also employs School and Community Engagement Facilitators at all school sites. The facilitator acts as a liaison between students, staff, and parents to remove barriers to improve student learning and achievement. Their responsibilities include serving as a resource for connecting students and parents to district and community agencies, resources, parent education, and other events and activities that are linked to strong, positive student outcomes.

Section 2: Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 Beginning Development
- 3 Initial Implementation
- 4 Full Implementation
- 5 Full Implementation and Sustainability

	Building Partnerships	1	2	3	4	5
5.	Rate the LEA's progress in providing professional learning and support to teachers and principals to improve a school's capacity to partner with families.		2			
6.	Rate the LEA's progress in providing families with information and resources to support student learning and development in the home.			3		
7.	Rate the LEA's progress in implementing policies or programs for teachers to meet with families and students to discuss student progress and ways to work together to support improved student outcomes.			3		
8.	Rate the LEA's progress in supporting families to understand and exercise their legal rights and advocate for their own students and all students.		2			

Building Partnerships Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)

1. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

MVWSD is in the initial implementation phase in two areas of Building Partnerships for student outcomes. Results from the District's LCAP/Climate survey indicate that 72% of parents agreed or strongly agreed that the District is providing families with information and resources to support student learning and development in the home and that the District is implementing policies or programs for teachers to meet with families and students to discuss student progress and ways to work together to support improved student outcomes. Additionally, While teachers are always available to meet with parents, the District does provide dedicated time, through minimum days in January, for all parents to meet with teachers to review student progress. The District also continues to use an online assessment and instruction platform - i-Ready. All students in the District take i-Ready diagnostic assessments in English Language Arts and mathematics three times per year. Results are sent home to parents so they can see students' progress and growth toward meeting grade level standards. The i-Ready instructional program is used in the classroom and can also be used at home to help students fill academic gaps or extend their learning.

2. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

MVWSD is in the beginning implementation phase in two areas of Building Partnerships for student outcomes. Results from the District's LCAP/Climate survey indicate that 65% of parents agreed or strongly agreed that the District is providing professional learning and support to teachers and principals to improve a school's capacity to partner with families and only 55% agreed or strongly agreed that the District is supporting families to understand and exercise their legal rights and advocate for their own students and all students.

3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes.

Again, the District has a focus on cultivating and maintaining an inclusive and welcoming culture that acknowledges, embraces, and empowers all stakeholders in its Strategic Plan (Goal 3). MVWSD is working directly and collaboratively with parents to build mutual capacity for supporting student learning and refining Parent University. Parent University is a series of learning sessions and conversations that empower adults to support students to thrive. Attendance at Parent University sessions increased during the 2021-22 school year and MVWSD is looking to continue to strengthen this program.

Section 3: Seeking Input for Decision-Making

Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice in this section using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):

Rating Scale (lowest to highest) -

- 1 Exploration and Research Phase
- 2 Beginning Development
- 3 Initial Implementation
- 4 Full Implementation
- 5 Full Implementation and Sustainability

	Seeking Input	1	2	3	4	5
9.	Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting principals and staff to effectively engage families in advisory groups and with decision-making.		2			
10	Rate the LEA's progress in building the capacity of and supporting family members to effectively engage in advisory groups and decision-making.		2			
11	Rate the LEA's progress in providing all families with opportunities to provide input on policies and programs, and implementing strategies to reach and seek input from any underrepresented groups in the school community.		2			
12	Rate the LEA's progress in providing opportunities to have families, teachers, principals, and district administrators work together to plan, design, implement and evaluate family engagement activities at school and district levels.		2			

Seeking Input for Decision-Making Dashboard Narrative Boxes (Limited to 3,000 characters)

1. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in Seeking Input for Decision-Making.

MVWSD is at the beginning implementation phase in all areas regarding input for decision making. Results from the District's LCAP/Climate survey indicate that 66% of parents agreed or strongly agreed that the District is providing all families with opportunities to provide input on policies and programs, and implementing strategies to reach and seek input from underrepresented groups in the school community. This is a strength relative to the other areas in this section.

2. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe the LEA's focus area(s) for improvement in Seeking Input for Decision-Making.

MVWSD is at the beginning implementation phase in all areas regarding input for decision making. Results from the District's LCAP/Climate survey indicate that 60% of parents agreed or strongly agreed that the District is working to build the capacity of and supporting principals and staff to effectively engage families in advisory groups and with decision-making and 62% of parents agreed or strongly agreed that the District is providing opportunities to have families, teachers, principals, and district administrators work together to plan, design, implement and evaluate family engagement activities at school and district levels. Only 59% of parents agreed or strongly agreed the District is building the capacity of and supporting family members to effectively engage in advisory groups and decision making.

3. Based on the analysis of educational partner input and local data, briefly describe how the LEA will improve engagement of underrepresented families identified during the self-reflection process in relation to Seeking Input for Decision-Making.

All school sites have School Site Councils and English Learner Advisory Committees, which play critical roles in developing school site plans. MVWSD has a District Advisory Committee and a District English Learner Advisory Committee. All committees are involved in the development of the Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP). Additionally, when the District is seeking input on issues, these groups are consulted and their input collected and used in the decision making processes.

In order to provide families with opportunities to share input on policies and programs, MVWSD uses survey tools. The Climate/LCAP survey is given each year in February and results are used to develop the LCAP and school site plans. While survey participation has been good overall, there are still lower response rates for underrepresented families. The District will be working with the sites' School and Community Engagement Facilitators on a plan to increase survey responses rates in 2022-23.

Begun in 2021-22, another related project is an effort to improve how information is shared with/input is solicited from families, especially those who are traditionally underrepresented. The District engaged in several input-gathering exercises across multiple stakeholder groups to identify the obstacles in the way of accessible information and the potential improvements/functions that would better serve the specific habits and needs of families.

The District is in the beginning phase of developing opportunities for families, teachers, principals and district administrators to work together to plan, design, implement and evaluate family engagement activities. Within the communications project referenced above, questions were also asked about current family engagement activities and how they could be improved. Additional work is currently being done through District and site committees as well as this is an area of constant improvement, especially in the context of serving underrepresented families.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

LEAs will provide a narrative summary of the local administration and analysis of a local climate survey that captures a valid measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness in at least one grade within the grade span (e.g., K–5, 6–8, 9–12) in a text box provided in the California School Dashboard (response limited to 3,000 characters). LEAs will have an opportunity to include differences among student groups, and for surveys that provide an overall score, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, report the overall score for all students and student groups. This summary may also include an analysis of a subset of specific items on a local survey and additional data collection tools that are particularly relevant to school conditions and climate.

- 1. **DATA:** Reflect on the key learnings from the survey results and share what the LEA learned.
- 2. **MEANING:** What do the disaggregated results (if applicable) of the survey and other data collection methods reveal about schools in the LEA, such as areas of strength or growth, challenges, and barriers?
- 3. **USE:** What revisions, decisions, or actions has, or will, the LEA implement in response to the results for continuous improvement purposes? Why? If you have already implemented actions, did you see the results you were seeking?

Summary of Climate Survey Results

In 2021-22, MVWSD administered a Local Control Accountability (LCAP)/Climate Survey to all students in grades 4-8. The survey provided measures of perceptions of school safety and connectedness. Overall the total number of respondents to the District's LCAP/Climate survey was lower than in 2021. Additionally, many results throughout areas of the survey were lower than in previous years, although in many cases results are similar to results received pre-pandemic. The District believes that several factors contributed to the lower response rates and lower ratings including:

The District/community was just coming out of a large COVID surge that impacted staff, students and families, and anxiety about the possibility of another surge after the February Break was high.

The District was in the middle of mediation with the Mountain View Educators Association on the Collective Bargaining Agreement.

Students, staff and families were experiencing pandemic fatigue and were wanting to have more "typical" conditions for everyday life and schooling.

Restrictions for social distancing and masking have impacted the school environment resulting in frustration for students, staff and families.

Below are the survey highlights. On the LCAP survey 79% of our students agree or strongly agree that adults at school care about their success. 81% indicated that they trust their teachers and 74% are comfortable asking teachers questions. 92% of students state that they have friends at school. 72% of students feel safe on campus. 87% of students agreed or strongly agreed that students from different cultural backgrounds become friends. Areas of improvement from students perspective include focusing more on developing a student's character (62%) and being treated respectfully (62%). 68% of students agree or strongly agree that there are clear and fair consequences for breaking rules at school.

MVWSD LCAP Goal 2 is to develop and implement programs and processes to equitably and effectively support students' social-emotional health and behavior. Action 1 in this goal is to continue to have At-Risk Supervisors for all District schools. At-Risk Supervisors work directly with at-risk students and parents to provide support and interventions as needed. Having staff in these positions has been especially important in the 2021-22 school year as students transitioned back to in person learning. Action 8 is to implement a plan of action for competency-based Social Emotional Learning. Also, the District has School and Community Engagement Facilitators (SCEF - LCAP goal 3, action1) to act as a liaison between students, staff, and parents to remove barriers to improve student learning and achievement. They play an important role in supporting student and family connectedness to our schools.

The District will continue with convening a Health and Wellness committee (Goal 2, Action 3) to review policies and programs that support overall student wellness. The District is also continuing its partnership with Santa Clara County Behavioral Health Services.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

LEAs provide a narrative summary of the extent to which all students have access to and are enrolled in a broad course of study by addressing, at a minimum, the following four prompts:

- 1. Briefly identify the locally selected measures or tools that the LEA is using to track the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study, based on grade spans, unduplicated student groups, and individuals with exceptional needs served. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
- 2. Using the locally selected measures or tools, summarize the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. The summary should identify any differences across school sites and student groups in access to, and enrollment in, a broad course of study, and may describe progress over time in the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
- 3. Given the results of the tool or locally selected measures, identify the barriers preventing the LEA from providing access to a broad course of study for all students. (response limited to 1,500 characters)
- 4. In response to the results of the tool or locally selected measures, what revisions, decisions, or new actions will the LEA implement, or has the LEA implemented, to ensure access to a broad course of study for all students? (response limited to 1,500 characters)

The locally selected measures or tools used to track the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study, based on grade spans, unduplicated student groups, and individuals with exceptional needs served.

The District uses the following tools to track the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study.

Elementary School

Daily class schedules teacher-created and in Powerschool that show student enrollment in core subjects, English Language Development, and special education. The District also reviews art and music schedules and agreements with the Community School of Music and Art, physical education schedules and agreements with Rhythm and Moves, Response to Instruction for intervention or enrichment schedules and staffing, and Puberty Talk program schedules and agreements.

Middle School

Daily class schedules in Powerschool which show student enrollment in core subjects, English Language Development, Special Education, electives, physical education and Response to Instruction, the middle school elective catalogs, and the Teen Talk program schedules and agreements. In the 2021-22 school year all students were offered a broad course of study.

A summary of the differences across school sites and student groups having access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study, and may include a description of progress over time in the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study

In the Mountain View Whisman School District, all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study.

Elementary Schools: In reviewing school and grade level data for the 2022-22 school year all elementary students had access to a broad course of study as outlined by Education Code with some modifications due to the pandemic: Enrollment in instrumental music especially for aerosol producing instruments was lower for 5th grade than in past years.

Students had to use instrument masks and bell covers and instruction was provided outside as much as possible which was not always optimal.

Middle Schools: In reviewing student schedules and associated data for the 2020-21 school year all middle school students had access to a broad course of study as outlined by Education Code with some exceptions due to the pandemic:

Enrollment in instrumental music especially for aerosol producing instruments was lower than in past years. Students had to use instrument masks and bell covers and instruction was provided outside as much as possible which was not always optimal.

Identification of any barriers preventing access to a broad course of study for all students.

Elementary School

The District reopened fully in person for the 2021-22 school year. All students attending District elementary schools had access to a broad course of study.

Students that enrolled in the District's Remote Independent Study program were offered a broad course of study although students only had live instruction one hour per week and the majority of courses, including art, music and physical education lessons were taught asynchronously.

Middle School

The District reopened fully in person for the 2021-22 school year. All students had access to a broad course of study.

Students that enrolled in the District's Remote Independent Study program were offered a broad course of study including electives although students only had live instruction one hour per week and the majority of courses, including electives and physical education lessons were taught asynchronously

Revisions, decisions, or new actions that will be implemented, or has been implemented, to ensure access to a broad course of study for all students.

MVWSD has done the following in order to better provide students access to a broad course of study: Overall

The District will open in August 2022 with regular schedules with students in person learning five days per week. Delivery of physical education, art and music classes will be determined based on COVID restrictions (outside, specialized masks, bell covers etc.)

Middle Schools

The middle schools will return to the eight-period schedule which allows all students to have at least one choice elective and includes:

Response to Instruction periods

Co-taught classes

Math courses that start all students on a pathway to Algebra 1 or Geometry in 8th grade

Choice electives for all students

Delivery of physical education, art and music classes will be determined based on COVID restrictions (outside, specialized masks, bell covers etc.)