

NEW ISSUE—FULL BOOK-ENTRY

RATING: Moody's: "___"
(See "MISCELLANEOUS – Rating" herein)

In the opinion of Stradling Yocca Carlson & Rauth, a Professional Corporation, San Francisco, California ("Bond Counsel"), under existing statutes, regulations, rulings and judicial decisions, interest on the Bonds is not excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes under Section 103 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. In the further opinion of Bond Counsel, interest (and original issue discount) on the Bonds is exempt from State of California personal income tax. See "TAX MATTERS" herein with respect to tax consequences relating to the Bonds.

\$ _____

MOUNTAIN VIEW WHISMAN SCHOOL DISTRICT
(Santa Clara County, California)
2021 General Obligation Refunding Bonds
(Federally Taxable)

Dated: Date of Delivery

Due: September 1, as shown herein

This cover page contains certain information for quick reference only. It is not a summary of this issue. Investors must read the entire Official Statement to obtain information essential to the making of an informed investment decision. Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined on this cover page shall have the meanings assigned to such terms herein.

The Mountain View Whisman School District (Santa Clara County, California) 2021 General Obligation Refunding Bonds (the "Bonds") are being issued by the Mountain View Whisman School District (the "District") to refund certain of its outstanding bonded indebtedness, and to pay the costs of issuing the Bonds.

The Bonds are general obligations of the District payable solely from *ad valorem* property taxes. The Board of Supervisors of Santa Clara County is empowered and obligated to levy *ad valorem* taxes, without limitation as to rate or amount, upon all property within the District subject to taxation thereby (except certain personal property which is taxable at limited rates), for the payment of principal of and interest on the Bonds when due.

The Bonds will be issued in book-entry form only, and will be initially issued and registered in the name of Cede & Co. as nominee for The Depository Trust Company, New York, New York (collectively referred to herein as "DTC"). Purchasers of interests in the Bonds (the "Beneficial Owners") will not receive physical certificates representing their interest in the Bonds.

The Bonds will be dated as of their respective dates of initial delivery, and will be issued as current interest bonds such that interest thereon will accrue from such initial delivery dates and be payable semiannually. Interest on the Bonds will be payable on March 1 and September 1 of each year, commencing September 1, 2021. The Bonds are issuable in denominations of \$5,000 principal amount or any integral multiple thereof.

Payments of principal of and interest on the Bonds will be made by U.S. Bank National Association, as Paying Agent, to DTC for subsequent disbursement to DTC Participants who will remit such payments to the Beneficial Owners of the Bonds.

The Bonds are subject to optional and mandatory sinking fund redemption as further described herein:

Maturity Schedules

(see inside front cover)

The Bonds will be offered when, as and if issued and received by the Underwriter subject to the approval of legality by Stradling Yocca Carlson & Rauth, a Professional Corporation, San Francisco, California, Bond Counsel. Certain matters will be passed on for the District by Stradling Yocca Carlson & Rauth, a Professional Corporation, as Disclosure Counsel, and for the Underwriter by Norton Rose Fulbright US LLP, Los Angeles, California. The Bonds, in book-entry form, will be available for delivery through the facilities of DTC in New York, New York on or about _____, 2021.



Dated: _____, 2021.

 Preliminary, subject to change.

This Preliminary Official Statement and the information contained herein are subject to completion or amendment. These securities may not be sold, nor may offers to buy them be accepted, prior to the time the Official Statement is delivered in final form. Under no circumstances shall this Preliminary Official Statement constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy, nor shall there be any sale of, these securities in any jurisdiction in which such offer, solicitation or sale would be unlawful.

MATURITY SCHEDULE

\$ _____
MOUNTAIN VIEW WHISMAN SCHOOL DISTRICT
(Santa Clara County, California)
2021 General Obligation Refunding Bonds
(Federally Taxable)

Base CUSIP:

\$ _____ **Serial Bonds**

<u>Maturity (September 1)</u>	<u>Principal Amount</u>	<u>Interest Rate</u>	<u>Yield</u>	<u>CUSIP</u>
-----------------------------------	-----------------------------	--------------------------	--------------	--------------

\$ _____ – _____ % **Term Bonds due September 1, 20__** – Yield _____ %^(*); **CUSIP:**

Preliminary, subject to change.

* CUSIP is a registered trademark of the American Bankers Association. CUSIP data herein is provided by CUSIP Global Services (“CGS”), managed by S&P Capital IQ on behalf of The American Bankers Association. This data is not intended to create a database and does not serve in any way as a substitute for the CGS database. None of the Underwriter, the Municipal Advisor or the District is responsible for the selection, uses or correctness of the CUSIP numbers set forth herein. CUSIP numbers have been assigned by an independent company not affiliated with the District, the Municipal Advisor or the Underwriter and are included solely for the convenience of the registered owners of the Bonds. The CUSIP number for a specific maturity is subject to being changed after the execution and delivery of the Bonds as a result of various subsequent actions including, but not limited to, a refunding in whole or in part or as a result of the procurement of secondary market portfolio insurance or other similar enhancement by investors that is applicable to all or a portion of certain maturities of the Bonds.

This Official Statement does not constitute an offering of any security other than the original offering of the Bonds of the District. No dealer, broker, salesperson or other person has been authorized by the District to give any information or to make any representations other than as contained in this Official Statement, and if given or made, such other information or representation not so authorized should not be relied upon as having been given or authorized by the District.

The issuance and sale of the Bonds have not been registered under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, both as amended, in reliance upon exemptions provided thereunder by Sections 3(a)2 and 3(a)12, respectively. This Official Statement does not constitute an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy in any state in which such offer or solicitation is not authorized or in which the person making such offer or solicitation is not qualified to do so or to any person to whom it is unlawful to make such offer or solicitation.

The information set forth herein, other than that provided by the District, has been obtained from sources which are believed to be reliable, but is not guaranteed as to accuracy or completeness, and is not to be construed as a representation by the District. The information and expressions of opinions herein are subject to change without notice and neither delivery of this Official Statement nor any sale made hereunder shall, under any circumstances, create any implication that there has been no change in the affairs of the District since the date hereof. This Official Statement is submitted in connection with the sale of the Bonds referred to herein and may not be reproduced or used, in whole or in part, for any other purpose.

When used in this Official Statement and in any continuing disclosure by the District in any press release and in any oral statement made with the approval of an authorized officer of the District or any other entity described or referenced in this Official Statement, the words or phrases “will likely result,” “are expected to,” “will continue,” “is anticipated,” “estimate,” “project,” “forecast,” “expect,” “intend” and similar expressions identify “forward looking statements” within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such statements are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those contemplated in such forward-looking statements. Any forecast is subject to such uncertainties. Inevitably, some assumptions used to develop the forecasts will not be realized and unanticipated events and circumstances may occur. Therefore, there are likely to be differences between forecasts and actual results, and those differences may be material.

The Underwriter has provided the following sentence for inclusion in this Official Statement: “The Underwriter has reviewed the information in this Official Statement in accordance with, and as part of, its responsibilities to investors under the federal securities laws as applied to the facts and circumstances of this transaction, but the Underwriter does not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such information.”

IN CONNECTION WITH THIS OFFERING, THE UNDERWRITER MAY OVER ALLOT OR EFFECT TRANSACTIONS WHICH STABILIZE OR MAINTAIN THE MARKET PRICE OF THE BONDS AT A LEVEL ABOVE THAT WHICH MIGHT OTHERWISE PREVAIL IN THE OPEN MARKET. SUCH STABILIZING, IF COMMENCED, MAY BE DISCONTINUED AT ANY TIME. THE UNDERWRITER MAY OFFER AND SELL THE BONDS TO CERTAIN DEALERS AND DEALER BANKS AND BANKS ACTING AS AGENT AT PRICES LOWER THAN THE PUBLIC OFFERING PRICES STATED ON THE INSIDE COVER PAGE HEREOF AND SAID PUBLIC OFFERING PRICES MAY BE CHANGED FROM TIME TO TIME BY THE UNDERWRITER.

The District maintains a website and certain social media accounts. However, the information presented on the District’s website is not incorporated into this Official Statement by any reference, and should not be relied upon in making investment decisions with respect to the Bonds.

MOUNTAIN VIEW WHISMAN SCHOOL DISTRICT

Board of Trustees

Devon Conley, *President*
Laura Blakely, *Vice President*
Laura Ramirez Berman, *Clerk*
Christopher Chiang, *Member*
Ellen Wheeler, *Member*

District Administration

Dr. Ayindé Rudolph, *Superintendent*
Dr. Rebecca Westover, *Chief Business Officer*

PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

Bond and Disclosure Counsel

Stradling Yocca Carlson & Rauth, a Professional Corporation
San Francisco, California

Municipal Advisor

Keygent LLC
El Segundo, California

Paying Agent and Escrow Agent

U.S. Bank National Association
San Francisco, California

Verification Agent

Causey Demgen & Moore P.C.
Denver, Colorado

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

INTRODUCTION	1
GENERAL	1
PURPOSES OF THE BONDS	2
AUTHORITY FOR ISSUANCE OF THE BONDS	2
SOURCES OF PAYMENT FOR THE BONDS	2
DESCRIPTION OF THE BONDS	2
TAX MATTERS	3
OFFERING AND DELIVERY OF THE BONDS	3
BOND OWNER’S RISKS	3
CONTINUING DISCLOSURE	3
PROFESSIONALS INVOLVED IN THE OFFERING	3
OTHER INFORMATION	4
THE BONDS	4
AUTHORITY FOR ISSUANCE	4
SECURITY AND SOURCES OF PAYMENT	4
STATUTORY LIENS	5
GENERAL PROVISIONS	6
ANNUAL DEBT SERVICE	7
APPLICATION AND INVESTMENT OF BOND PROCEEDS	8
REDEMPTION	9
BOOK-ENTRY ONLY SYSTEM	12
DISCONTINUATION OF BOOK-ENTRY ONLY SYSTEM; REGISTRATION, EXCHANGE AND TRANSFER OF BONDS	13
DEFEASANCE	14
ESTIMATED SOURCES AND USES OF FUNDS.....	15
TAX BASE FOR REPAYMENT OF BONDS.....	16
<i>AD VALOREM</i> PROPERTY TAXATION	16
ASSESSED VALUATIONS.....	17
ASSESSED VALUATION BY JURISDICTION.....	21
TAX LEVIES, COLLECTIONS AND DELINQUENCIES	21
ALTERNATIVE METHOD OF TAX APPORTIONMENT - “TEETER PLAN”	23
PRINCIPAL TAXPAYERS.....	25
STATEMENT OF DIRECT AND OVERLAPPING DEBT.....	26
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS AFFECTING DISTRICT REVENUES AND APPROPRIATIONS	28
ARTICLE XIII A OF THE CALIFORNIA CONSTITUTION	28
LEGISLATION IMPLEMENTING ARTICLE XIII A	29
PROPOSITION 19	29
UNITARY PROPERTY	29
PROPOSITION 50 AND PROPOSITION 171	30
ARTICLE XIII B OF THE CALIFORNIA CONSTITUTION	31
PROPOSITION 26	31
ARTICLE XIII C AND ARTICLE XIII D OF THE CALIFORNIA CONSTITUTION	32
PROPOSITIONS 98 AND 111	32
PROPOSITION 39	34
PROPOSITION 1 A AND PROPOSITION 22	35
PROPOSITION 55	35
<i>JARVIS VS. CONNELL</i>	36
PROPOSITION 2	36
FUTURE INITIATIVES.....	38

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont'd)

Page

DISTRICT FINANCIAL INFORMATION	39
STATE FUNDING OF EDUCATION.....	39
OTHER REVENUE SOURCES.....	43
CONSIDERATIONS REGARDING COVID-19.....	44
STATE BUDGET MEASURES.....	48
BUDGET PROCESS.....	54
ACCOUNTING PRACTICES.....	57
COMPARATIVE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.....	57
MOUNTAIN VIEW WHISMAN SCHOOL DISTRICT	59
INTRODUCTION.....	59
ADMINISTRATION.....	59
DISTRICT ENROLLMENT.....	60
LABOR RELATIONS.....	60
RETIREMENT PROGRAMS.....	61
OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS.....	69
RISK MANAGEMENT.....	70
DISTRICT DEBT STRUCTURE.....	71
TAX MATTERS	74
LIMITATION ON REMEDIES; BANKRUPTCY	74
GENERAL.....	74
STATUTORY LIEN.....	75
SPECIAL REVENUES.....	75
POSSESSION OF TAX REVENUES; REMEDIES.....	76
OPINION OF BOND COUNSEL QUALIFIED BY REFERENCE TO BANKRUPTCY, INSOLVENCY AND OTHER LAWS RELATING TO OR AFFECTING CREDITOR’S RIGHTS.....	76
LEGAL MATTERS	76
LEGALITY FOR INVESTMENT IN CALIFORNIA.....	76
CONTINUING DISCLOSURE.....	76
ABSENCE OF MATERIAL LITIGATION.....	76
LEGAL OPINION.....	77
VERIFICATION.....	77
MISCELLANEOUS	77
RATING.....	77
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.....	77
UNDERWRITING.....	78
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.....	78
APPENDIX A: FORM OF OPINION OF BOND COUNSEL FOR THE BONDS.....	A-1
APPENDIX B: 2019-20 AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF THE DISTRICT.....	B-1
APPENDIX C: FORM OF CONTINUING DISCLOSURE CERTIFICATE.....	C-1
APPENDIX D: ECONOMIC AND DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION FOR SANTA CLARA COUNTY AND THE CITY OF MOUNTAIN VIEW.....	D-1
APPENDIX E: SANTA CLARA COUNTY TREASURY POOL.....	E-1

\$ _____ *

MOUNTAIN VIEW WHISMAN SCHOOL DISTRICT
(Santa Clara County, California)
2021 General Obligation Refunding Bonds
(Federally Taxable)

INTRODUCTION

This Official Statement, which includes the cover page, inside cover page and appendices hereto, provides information in connection with the sale of the Mountain View Whisman School District (Santa Clara County, California) 2021 General Obligation Refunding Bonds (Federally Taxable) (the “Bonds”).

This Introduction is not a summary of this Official Statement. It is only a brief description of and guide to, and is qualified by, more complete and detailed information contained in the entire Official Statement, including the cover page, inside cover page and appendices hereto, and the documents summarized or described herein. A full review should be made of the entire Official Statement. The offering of the Bonds to potential investors is made only by means of the entire Official Statement.

General

The Mountain View Whisman School District (the “District”), as currently constituted, was created by the merger of the former Mountain View School District (referred to herein as the “Mountain View District”) with the former Whisman Elementary School District (referred to herein as the “Whisman District,” and, together with the Mountain View District, the “Former Districts”), as approved by the Santa Clara County Office of Education and by the registered voters of the Former Districts at the election held on November 7, 2000, and by virtue of a territory transfer from such Former Districts effective as of July 1, 2001.

The District currently covers approximately 11.8 square miles in the northwest corner of Santa Clara County (the “County”), with nearly all of its territory within the City of Mountain View. The District currently operates eight elementary schools, two middle schools and a preschool. For fiscal year 2020-21, the District has projected total average daily attendance (“ADA”) of _____ students. Taxable property within the District has a total fiscal year 2020-21 assessed valuation of \$32,732,560,123. However, the District’s enrollment and reportable ADA figures may be affected by the current of COVID-19 (as defined herein) pandemic. See “DISTRICT FINANCIAL INFORMATION – Considerations Regarding COVID-19” herein.

The District is governed by a five-member Board of Trustees (the “Board”), each member of which is elected to a four-year term. Elections for positions to the Board are held every two years, alternating between two and three available positions. The management and policies of the District are administered by a Superintendent appointed by the Board who is responsible for day-to-day District operations as well as the supervision of the District’s other personnel. Dr. Ayindé Rudolph is currently the District Superintendent.

The District’s audited financial statements for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2020 are attached hereto as APPENDIX B. See also “TAX BASE FOR REPAYMENT OF BONDS” herein for more information regarding the District’s assessed valuation, and “DISTRICT FINANCIAL INFORMATION”

* Preliminary, subject to change.

and “MOUNTAIN VIEW WHISMAN SCHOOL DISTRICT” herein for more information regarding the District generally.

Purposes of the Bonds

The Bonds are being issued to refund [a portion of] the District’s outstanding Election of 2012 General Obligation Bonds, Series A (the “2012 Series A Bonds”) and Election of 2012 General Obligation Bonds, Series B (the “2012 Series B Bonds,” and together with the 2012 Series A Bonds, the “Prior Bonds”). See also “THE BONDS – Application and Investment of Bond Proceeds” and “ESTIMATED SOURCES AND USES OF FUNDS” herein.

Authority for Issuance of the Bonds

The Bonds are issued pursuant to certain provisions of the Government Code (the “Government Code”) and pursuant to the Resolution (defined herein) adopted by the Board. See “THE BONDS – Authority for Issuance” herein.

Sources of Payment for the Bonds

The Bonds are general obligations of the District payable solely from *ad valorem* property taxes. The Board of Supervisors of the County is empowered and obligated to levy such *ad valorem* taxes, without limitation as to rate or amount, upon all property within the District subject to taxation thereby (except certain personal property which is taxable at limited rates), for the payment of principal of and interest on the Bonds when due. See “THE BONDS – Security and Sources of Payment” and “TAX BASE FOR REPAYMENT OF BONDS” herein.

Description of the Bonds

Form and Registration. The Bonds will be issued in fully registered form only, without coupons. The Bonds will be initially registered in the name of Cede & Co., as nominee of The Depository Trust Company, New York, New York (“DTC”), who will act as securities depository for the Bonds. See “THE BONDS – General Provisions” and “– Book-Entry Only System” herein. Purchasers of interests in the Bonds (the “Beneficial Owners”) will not receive physical certificates representing their interests in the Bonds purchased. In the event that the book-entry only system described below is no longer used with respect to the Bonds, the Bonds will be registered in accordance with the Resolution. See “THE BONDS – Discontinuation of Book-Entry Only System; Registration, Exchange and Transfer of Bonds” herein.

So long as Cede & Co. is the registered owner of the Bonds, as nominee of DTC, references herein to the “Owners,” “Bondowners” or “Holders” of the Bonds (other than under the caption “TAX MATTERS” and in APPENDIX A) will mean Cede & Co. and will not mean the Beneficial Owners of the Bonds.

Denominations. Individual purchases of interests in the Bonds will be available to purchasers of the Bonds in the denominations of \$5,000 principal amount, or any integral multiples thereof.

Redemption. The Bonds are subject to optional and mandatory sinking fund redemption prior to maturity, as further described herein. See “THE BONDS – Redemption” herein.

Payments. The Bonds will be dated as of the date of their initial execution and issuance (the “Date of Delivery”). Interest on the Bonds accrues from the Date of Delivery, and is payable

semiannually on each March 1 and September 1, commencing September 1, 2021 (each, a “Bond Payment Date”). Principal on the Bonds is payable on September 1 of each year, as shown on the inside cover page hereof.

Payments of the principal of and interest on the Bonds will be made by U.S. Bank National Association, as the designated paying agent, bond registrar and transfer agent (the “Paying Agent”), to DTC for subsequent disbursement through DTC Participants (defined herein) to the Beneficial Owners. See also “THE BONDS – Book-Entry Only System” herein.

Tax Matters

In the opinion of Stradling Yocca Carlson & Rauth, a Professional Corporation, San Francisco, California (“Bond Counsel”), under existing statutes, regulations, rulings and judicial decisions, interest on the Bonds is not excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes under Section 103 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”). In the further opinion of Bond Counsel, under existing statutes, regulations, rulings and judicial decisions, interest on the Bonds is exempt from State personal income tax. See “TAX MATTERS” herein.

Offering and Delivery of the Bonds

The Bonds are offered when, as and if issued, subject to approval as to their legality by Bond Counsel. It is anticipated that the Bonds in book-entry form will be available for delivery through the facilities DTC in New York, New York, on or about _____, 2021.

Bond Owner’s Risks

The Bonds are general obligations of the District payable solely from *ad valorem* property taxes which may be levied on all taxable property in the District, without limitation as to rate or amount (except with respect to certain personal property which is taxable at limited rates). For more complete information regarding the taxation of property within the District, and certain other considerations, see “TAX BASE FOR REPAYMENT OF BONDS,” and “LIMITATION ON REMEDIES; BANKRUPTCY” herein.

Continuing Disclosure

The District has covenanted that it will comply with and carry out the provisions of that certain Continuing Disclosure Certificate relating to the Bonds. Pursuant thereto, the District will covenant for the benefit of the Owners and Beneficial Owners of the Bonds to make available certain financial information and operating data relating to the District and to provide notices of the occurrence of certain listed events, in compliance with S.E.C. Rule 15c2-12(b)(5) (the “Rule”). The specific nature of the information to be made available and of the notices of listed events is summarized below under “LEGAL MATTERS – Continuing Disclosure – Current Undertaking” and “APPENDIX C – FORM OF CONTINUING DISCLOSURE CERTIFICATE” herein.

Professionals Involved in the Offering

Stradling Yocca Carlson & Rauth, a Professional Corporation, San Francisco, California, is acting as Bond Counsel and Disclosure Counsel to the District with respect to the Bonds. Keygent LLC, El Segundo, California, is acting as Municipal Advisor to the District with respect to the Bonds. Stradling Yocca Carlson and Rauth and Keygent LLC will each receive compensation from the District contingent upon the sale and delivery of the Bonds. Certain matters will be passed on for RBC Capital Markets,

LLC (the “Underwriter”) by Norton Rose Fulbright US LLP, Los Angeles, California. In addition to serving as Paying Agent, U.S. Bank National Association will act as Escrow Agent (as defined herein) for the Refunded Bonds (as defined herein). Causey Demgen & Moore P.C. will serve as verification agent (the “Verification Agent”) with respect to the Refunded Bonds.

Other Information

This Official Statement speaks only as of its date, and the information contained herein is subject to change. Copies of documents referred to herein and information concerning the Bonds are available from the Mountain View Whisman School District, 1400 Montecito Avenue, Mountain View Whisman, California 94043. The District may impose a charge for copying, mailing and handling.

No dealer, broker, salesperson or other person has been authorized by the District to give any information or to make any representations other than as contained herein and, if given or made, such other information or representations must not be relied upon as having been authorized by the District. This Official Statement does not constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy nor shall there be any sale of the Bonds by a person in any jurisdiction in which it is unlawful for such person to make such an offer, solicitation or sale.

This Official Statement is not to be construed as a contract with the purchasers of the Bonds. Statements contained in this Official Statement which involve estimates, forecasts or matters of opinion, whether or not expressly so described herein, are intended solely as such and are not to be construed as representations of fact. The summaries and references to documents, statutes and constitutional provisions referred to herein do not purport to be comprehensive or definitive, and are qualified in their entirety by reference to each such documents, statutes and constitutional provisions.

The information set forth herein, other than that provided by the District, has been obtained from official sources which are believed to be reliable but it is not guaranteed as to accuracy or completeness, and is not to be construed as a representation by the District. The information and expressions of opinions herein are subject to change without notice and neither delivery of this Official Statement nor any sale made hereunder shall, under any circumstances, create any implication that there has been no change in the affairs of the District since the date hereof. This Official Statement is submitted in connection with the sale of the Bonds referred to herein and may not be reproduced or used, in whole or in part, for any other purpose.

THE BONDS

Authority for Issuance

The Bonds are issued pursuant to the provisions of Articles 9 and 11 of Chapter 3 of Part 1 of Division 2 of Title 5 of the Government Code, and pursuant to a resolution adopted by the Board on February 4, 2021 (the “Resolution”).

Security and Sources of Payment

The Bonds are general obligations of the District payable solely from *ad valorem* property taxes. The Board of Supervisors of the County is empowered and obligated to annually levy *ad valorem* property taxes upon all property subject to taxation by the District, without limitation as to rate or amount (except certain personal property which is taxable at limited rates), for the payment of principal of and interest on the Bonds when due. The levy may include allowance for an annual reserve, established for the purpose of avoiding fluctuating tax levies. Such taxes will be levied annually in addition to all other

taxes during the period that the Bonds are outstanding in an amount sufficient to pay the principal of and interest on the Bonds when due. Such taxes, when collected, will be placed in the Debt Service Fund (defined herein) created by the Resolution, which is required to be segregated and maintained by the County and which is designated for the payment of the Bonds, and interest thereon when due, and for no other purpose. Pursuant to the Resolution, the District has pledged funds on deposit in the Debt Service Fund to the payment of the Bonds. Although the County is obligated to levy *ad valorem* property taxes for the payment of the Bonds as described above, and will maintain the Debt Service Fund, none of the Bonds are a debt of the County.

The moneys in the Debt Service Fund, to the extent necessary to pay the principal of and interest on the Bonds as the same becomes due and payable, will be transferred to the Paying Agent. The Paying Agent will in turn remit the funds to DTC for remittance of such principal and interest to its Participants for subsequent disbursement to the Beneficial Owners of the Bonds.

The rate of the annual *ad valorem* property taxes levied by the County to repay the Bonds will be determined by the relationship between the assessed valuation of taxable property in the District and the amount of debt service due on the Bonds in any year. Fluctuations in the annual debt service on the Bonds and the assessed value of taxable property in the District may cause the annual tax rates to fluctuate. Economic and other factors beyond the District's control, such as general market decline in land values, reclassification of property to a class exempt from taxation, whether by ownership or use (such as exemptions for property owned by the State and local agencies and property used for qualified education, hospital, charitable or religious purposes), or the complete or partial destruction of the taxable property caused by a natural or manmade disaster, such as earthquake, flood, wildfire, drought, outbreak of disease or toxic contamination, could cause a reduction in the assessed value of taxable property within the District and necessitate a corresponding increase in the respective annual tax rates. For further information regarding the District's assessed valuation, tax rates, overlapping debt, and other matters concerning taxation, see "CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS AFFECTING DISTRICT REVENUES AND APPROPRIATIONS – Article XIII A of the California Constitution" and "TAX BASE FOR REPAYMENT OF BONDS – Assessed Valuations" herein.

Statutory Liens

Pursuant to Government Code Section 53515, the Bonds will be secured by a statutory lien on all revenues received pursuant to the levy and collection of *ad valorem* property taxes for the payment thereof. The lien automatically attaches, without further action or authorization by the Board, and is valid and binding from the time the Bonds are executed and delivered. The revenues received pursuant to the levy and collection of the *ad valorem* property tax will be immediately subject to the lien, and such lien will be enforceable against the District, its successor, transferees and creditors, and all other parties asserting rights therein, irrespective of whether such parties have notice of the lien and without the need for physical delivery, recordation, filing or further act.

This statutory lien, by its terms, secures not only the Bonds, but also any other bonds of the District issued after January 1, 2016 and payable, both as to principal and interest, from the proceeds of *ad valorem* property taxes that may be levied pursuant to paragraphs (2) and (3) of subdivision (b) of Section 1 of Article XIII A of the California Constitution. The statutory lien provision does not specify the relative priority of obligations so secured or a method of allocation in the event that the revenues received pursuant to the levy and collection of such *ad valorem* property taxes are insufficient to pay all amounts then due and owing that are secured by the statutory lien.

General Provisions

The Bonds will be issued in book-entry form only and will be initially issued and registered in the name of Cede & Co. Beneficial Owners will not receive certificates representing their interests in the Bonds.

Interest on the Bonds accrues from the Date of Delivery, and is payable on each Bond Payment Date, commencing September 1, 2021. Interest on the Bonds shall be computed on the basis of a 360-day year of twelve 30-day months. Each Bond shall bear interest from the Bond Payment Date next preceding the date of authentication thereof unless it is authenticated as of a day during the period from the 16th day of the month immediately preceding any Bond Payment Date to and including such Bond Payment Date, in which event it shall bear interest from such Bond Payment Date, or unless it is authenticated on or before August 15, 2021, in which event it shall bear interest from the Date of Delivery. The Bonds are issuable in denominations of \$5,000 principal amount, or any integral multiple thereof, and mature on September 1, in the years and amounts set forth on the inside cover page hereof.

Payment of interest on any Bond on any Bond Payment Date will be made to the person appearing on the registration books of the Paying Agent as the registered Owner thereof as of the 15th day of the month immediately preceding such Bond Payment Date (the "Record Date"), such interest to be paid by wire transfer to the bank and account number on file with the Paying Agent as of the Record Date. The principal of and redemption premiums, if any, payable on the Bonds shall be payable upon maturity upon surrender at the principal office of the Paying Agent. The principal of, and interest, and redemption premiums, if any, on the Bonds shall be payable in lawful money of the United States of America. The Paying Agent is authorized to pay the Bonds when duly presented for payment at maturity, and to cancel all Bonds upon payment thereof. So long as the Bonds are held in the book-entry system of DTC, all payments of principal of and interest on the Bonds will be made by the Paying Agent to Cede & Co. (as a nominee of DTC), as the registered Owner of the Bonds.

Annual Debt Service

The following table displays the annual debt service requirements of the District for the Bonds (assuming no optional redemptions):

Year Ending September 1	Prior Outstanding Bond Debt Service⁽¹⁾	Annual Principal Payment	Annual Interest Payment⁽²⁾	Total Annual Debt Service Payment
--	--	---	--	--

Total

* Preliminary, subject to change.

⁽¹⁾ Does not include debt service on the Refunded Bonds (as defined herein). See “—Application and Investment of Bond Proceeds – Refunding Plan” herein.

⁽²⁾ Interest payable semiannually on March 1 and September 1 of each year, commencing September 1, 2021.

See “MOUNTAIN VIEW WHISMAN SCHOOL DISTRICT – District Debt Structure – General Obligation Bonds” herein for a full table of the annual debt service requirements for the District’s outstanding general obligation bonded debt.

Application and Investment of Bond Proceeds

Refunding Plan. The proceeds from the sale of the Bonds will be used by the District to refund portions of the Prior Bonds and to pay the costs of issuing the Bonds. The tables below show the maturities of the Prior Bonds to be refunded with proceeds of the Bonds (referred to herein as the “Refunded Bonds”).

REFUNDED BONDS
Mountain View Whisman School District
Election of 2012 General Obligation Bonds, Series A

<u>Maturity Date</u> <u>(September 1)</u>	<u>CUSIP</u>	<u>Principal</u> <u>Amount</u>	<u>Redemption</u> <u>Date</u>	<u>Redemption Price</u> <u>(% of Principal</u> <u>Amount)</u>
			9/1/2023	100
			9/1/2023	100
			9/1/2023	100
			9/1/2023	100
			9/1/2023	100

REFUNDED BONDS
Mountain View Whisman School District
Election of 2012 General Obligation Bonds, Series B

<u>Maturity Date</u> <u>(September 1)</u>	<u>CUSIP</u>	<u>Principal</u> <u>Amount</u>	<u>Redemption</u> <u>Date</u>	<u>Redemption Price</u> <u>(% of Principal</u> <u>Amount)</u>
			9/1/2026	100
			9/1/2026	100
			9/1/2026	100
			9/1/2026	100
			9/1/2026	100

The net proceeds from the sale of the Bonds will be deposited with U.S. Bank National Association, acting as escrow agent (the “Escrow Agent”), to the credit of and escrow fund (the “Escrow Fund”) held pursuant to an escrow agreement (the “Escrow Agreement”) by and between the District and the Escrow Agent. A portion of the amount deposited in the Escrow Fund will be used to purchase certain non-callable direct and general obligations of the United States of America, or non-callable obligations the payment of which is unconditionally guaranteed by the United States of America, the principal of and interest on which will be sufficient, together with any monies deposited in the Escrow Fund and held as cash, to enable the Escrow Agent to pay the principal of the Refunded Bonds on the

* Preliminary, subject to change.

† CUSIP is a registered trademark of the American Bankers Association. CUSIP data herein is provided by CGS, managed by S&P Capital IQ on behalf of The American Bankers Association. CUSIP numbers have been assigned by an independent company not affiliated with the District, the Municipal Advisor or the Underwriter. The CUSIP number for a specific maturity is subject to being changed as a result of various subsequent actions including, but not limited to, a refunding in whole or in part or as a result of the procurement of secondary market portfolio insurance or other similar enhancement by investors.

respective first optional redemption dates therefor, as well as the interest due on such Refunded Bonds on and before such dates

The sufficiency of the amounts on deposit in the Escrow Fund, together with realizable interest and earnings thereon, to pay the redemption prices of the Refunded Bonds on the above-referenced dates, and the interest due on the Refunded Bonds on and prior to such dates, will be verified by Causey Demgen & Moore P.C., as verification agent (the “Verification Agent”). See “LEGAL MATTERS – Escrow Verification” herein. As a result of the deposit and application of funds so provided in the Escrow Agreement, and assuming the accuracy of the computations of the Underwriter and the Verification Agent, the Refunded Bonds will be defeased and the obligation of the County to levy *ad valorem* property taxes for payment of the Refunded Bonds will terminate

Debt Service Fund. Any accrued interest received by the County from the sale of the Bonds will be paid to the County treasury, to the credit of the debt service fund created by the Resolution (the “Debt Service Fund”) and used only for payment of principal of and interest on the Bonds, and for no other purpose. Any excess proceeds of the Bonds not needed for the authorized purposes for which the Bonds are being issued will be transferred to the Debt Service Fund and applied to the payment of principal of and interest on the Bonds. If, after payment in full of the Bonds, there remain excess proceeds, any such excess amounts will be transferred to the general fund of the District.

Investment of Proceeds. Moneys in Escrow Fund will be invested as described above. Moneys in the Debt Service Fund will be invested through the County’s pooled investment fund. See “APPENDIX E – SANTA CLARA COUNTY TREASURY POOL” attached hereto.

Redemption

Optional Redemption.* The Bonds maturing on or before September 1, 20__ are not subject to redemption prior to their fixed maturity dates. The Bonds maturing on or after September 1, 20__ may be redeemed prior to their respective stated maturity dates at the option of the District, from any source of funds, in whole or in part, on September 1, 20__ or on any date thereafter, at a redemption price equal to the principal amount of such Bonds called for redemption, together with interest accrued thereon to the date fixed for redemption, without premium.

Mandatory Redemption: The Bonds maturing on September 1, 20__ (the “20__ Term Bonds”), are subject to redemption prior to maturity from mandatory sinking fund payments on September 1 of each year, on and after September 1, 20__, at a redemption price equal to the principal amount thereof, together with accrued interest to the date fixed for redemption, without premium. The principal amount represented by such 20__ Term Bonds to be so redeemed and the dates therefor and the final payment date is as indicated in the following table:

<u>Year Ending September 1</u>	<u>Principal To Be Redeemed</u>
---	--

[⊙] Maturity.

* Preliminary, subject to change.

In the event that a portion of any of the 20__ Term Bonds shown above are optionally redeemed prior to maturity, the remaining mandatory sinking fund payments shown above shall be reduced proportionately, or as otherwise directed by the District, in integral multiples of \$5,000 of principal amount, in respect of the portion of such 20__ Term Bonds optionally redeemed.

Selection of Bonds for Redemption. Whenever provision is made for the optional redemption of Bonds and less than all Bonds are to be redeemed, the Paying Agent, upon written instruction from the District, shall select Bonds for redemption as so directed and if not directed by the District, in inverse order of maturity. Within a maturity, the Paying Agent, shall select Bonds for redemption as directed by the District and, if not so directed, by lot. Redemption by lot shall be in such manner as the Paying Agent shall determine; provided, however, that, with respect to redemption by lot, the portion of any Bond to be redeemed in part shall be in a principal amount of \$5,000, or any integral multiple thereof.

Redemption Notice. When redemption is authorized or required pursuant to the Resolution, the Paying Agent, upon written instruction from the District, will give notice (a “Redemption Notice”) of the redemption of the Bonds. Each Redemption Notice will specify (a) the Bonds or designated portions thereof (in the case of redemption of the Bonds in part but not in whole) which are to be redeemed, (b) the date of redemption, (c) the place or places where the redemption will be made, including the name and address of the Paying Agent, (d) the redemption price, (e) the CUSIP numbers (if any) assigned to the Bonds to be redeemed, (f) the Bond numbers of the Bonds to be redeemed in whole or in part and, in the case of any Bond to be redeemed in part only, the portion of the principal amount of such Bond to be redeemed, and (g) the original issue date, interest rate and stated maturity date of each Bond to be redeemed in whole or in part.

The Paying Agent will take the following actions with respect to each such Redemption Notice: (a) at least 20 but not more than 45 days prior to the redemption date, such Redemption Notice will be given to the respective Owners of Bonds designated for redemption by registered or certified mail, postage prepaid, at their addresses appearing on the bond register; (b) at least 20 but not more than 45 days prior to the redemption date, such Redemption Notice will be given by registered or certified mail, postage prepaid, telephonically confirmed facsimile transmission, or overnight delivery service, to the Securities Depository; (c) at least 20 but not more than 45 days prior to the redemption date, such Redemption Notice will be given by registered or certified mail, postage prepaid, or overnight delivery service, to one of the Information Services; and (d) provide a Redemption Notice to such other persons as may be required pursuant to the Continuing Disclosure Certificate.

“Information Services” means the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board’s Electronic Municipal Market Access System; or, such other services providing information with respect to called municipal obligations as the District may specify in writing to the Paying Agent or as the Paying Agent may select.

“Securities Depository” shall mean The Depository Trust Company, 55 Water Street, New York, New York 10041.

A certificate of the Paying Agent or the District that a Redemption Notice has been given as provided in the Resolution will be conclusive as against all parties. Neither failure to receive any Redemption Notice nor any defect in any such Redemption Notice so given will affect the sufficiency of the proceedings for the redemption of the affected Bonds. Each check issued or other transfer of funds made by the Paying Agent for the purpose of redeeming Bonds will bear or include the CUSIP number identifying, by issue and maturity, the Bonds being redeemed with the proceeds of such check or other transfer.

Payment of Redeemed Bonds. When a Redemption Notice has been given substantially as described above, and, when the amount necessary for the redemption of the Bonds called for redemption (principal, interest, and premium, if any) is irrevocably set aside in trust for that purpose, as described in “—Defeasance” herein, the Bonds designated for redemption in such notice will become due and payable on the date fixed for redemption thereof and upon presentation and surrender of said Bonds at the place specified in the Redemption Notice, said Bonds will be redeemed and paid at the redemption price out of such funds. All unpaid interest payable at or prior to the redemption date will continue to be payable to the respective Owners, but without interest thereon.

Partial Redemption of Bonds. Upon the surrender of any Bond redeemed in part only, the Paying Agent will authenticate and deliver to the Owner thereof a new Bond or Bonds of like series, tenor and maturity and of authorized denominations equal in Transfer Amounts to the unredeemed portion of the Bond surrendered. Such partial redemption is valid upon payment of the amount required to be paid to such Owner, and the County and the District will be released and discharged thereupon from all liability to the extent of such payment.

Effect of Redemption Notice. If on the applicable designated redemption date, money for the redemption of the Bonds to be redeemed, together with interest accrued to such redemption date, is held by an independent escrow agent selected by the District so as to be available therefor on such redemption date as described in “—Defeasance” herein and if a Redemption Notice thereof will have been given substantially as described above, then from and after such redemption date, interest on the Bonds to be redeemed shall cease to accrue and become payable.

Rescission of Redemption Notice. With respect to any Redemption Notice in connection with the optional redemption of Bonds (or portions thereof) as described above, unless upon the giving of such notice such Bonds or portions thereof shall be deemed to have been defeased as described in “—Defeasance” herein, such Redemption Notice will state that such redemption will be conditional upon the receipt by an independent escrow agent selected by the District, on or prior to the date fixed for such redemption, of the moneys necessary and sufficient to pay the principal, premium, if any, and interest on, such Bonds (or portions thereof) to be redeemed, and that if such moneys shall not have been so received said Redemption Notice will be of no force and effect, no portion of the Bonds will be subject to redemption on such date and such Bonds will not be required to be redeemed on such date. In the event that such Redemption Notice contains such a condition and such moneys are not so received, the redemption will not be made and the Paying Agent will within a reasonable time thereafter (but in no event later than the date originally set for redemption) give notice to the persons to whom and in the manner in which the Redemption Notice was given that such moneys were not so received. In addition, the District will have the right to rescind any Redemption Notice, by written notice to the Paying Agent, on or prior to the date fixed for such redemption. The Paying Agent will distribute a notice of the rescission of such Redemption Notice in the same manner as such notice was originally provided.

Bonds No Longer Outstanding. When any Bonds (or portions thereof), which have been duly called for redemption prior to maturity, or with respect to which irrevocable instructions to call for redemption prior to maturity at the earliest redemption date have been given to the Paying Agent, in form satisfactory to it, and sufficient moneys shall be held irrevocably in trust for the payment of the redemption price of such Bonds or portions thereof, and, accrued interest thereon to the date fixed for redemption, then such Bonds will no longer be deemed outstanding and shall be surrendered to the Paying Agent for cancellation.

Book-Entry Only System

The information under this caption concerning DTC and DTC's book-entry system has been obtained from sources that the District believes to be reliable, but the District takes no responsibility for the accuracy or completeness thereof. The District cannot and does not give any assurances that DTC, DTC Participants or Indirect Participants (as defined herein) will distribute to the Beneficial Owners (a) payments of interest, principal or premium, if any, with respect to the Bonds, (b) certificates representing ownership interest in or other confirmation or ownership interest in the Bonds, or (c) redemption or other notices sent to DTC or Cede & Co., its nominee, as the registered owner of the Bonds, or that they will so do on a timely basis or that DTC, DTC Participants or DTC Indirect Participants will act in the manner described in this Official Statement. The current "Rules" applicable to DTC are on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission and the current "Procedures" of DTC to be followed in dealing with DTC Participants are on file with DTC.

The Depository Trust Company ("DTC"), New York, NY, will act as securities depository for the Bonds. The Bonds will be issued as fully-registered securities registered in the name of Cede & Co. (DTC's partnership nominee) or such other name as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. One fully-registered Bond certificate will be issued for each maturity of the Bonds, in the aggregate principal amount of such maturity, and will be deposited with DTC.

DTC, the world's largest securities depository, is a limited-purpose trust company organized under the New York Banking Law, a "banking organization" within the meaning of the New York Banking Law, a member of the Federal Reserve System, a "clearing corporation" within the meaning of the New York Uniform Commercial Code, and a "clearing agency" registered pursuant to the provisions of Section 17A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. DTC holds and provides asset servicing for over 3.6 million issues of U.S. and non-U.S. equity issues, corporate and municipal debt issues, and money market instruments (from over 100 countries) that DTC's participants ("Direct Participants") deposit with DTC. DTC also facilitates the post-trade settlement among Direct Participants of sales and other securities transactions in deposited securities, through electronic computerized book-entry transfers and pledges between Direct Participants' accounts. This eliminates the need for physical movement of securities certificates. Direct Participants include both U.S. and non-U.S. securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, clearing corporations, and certain other organizations. DTC is a wholly-owned subsidiary of The Depository Trust & Clearing Corporation ("DTCC"). DTCC is the holding company for DTC, National Securities Clearing Corporation and Fixed Income Clearing Corporation, all of which are registered clearing agencies. DTCC is owned by the users of its regulated subsidiaries. Access to the DTC system is also available to others such as both U.S. and non-U.S. securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, and clearing corporations that clear through or maintain a custodial relationship with a Direct Participant, either directly or indirectly ("Indirect Participants"). DTC is rated "AA+" by Standard & Poor's. The DTC Rules applicable to its Participants are on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission. More information about DTC can be found at www.dtcc.com.

Purchases of Bonds under the DTC system must be made by or through Direct Participants, which will receive a credit for the Bonds on DTC's records. The ownership interest of each actual purchaser of each Bond ("Beneficial Owner") is in turn to be recorded on the Direct and Indirect Participants' records. Beneficial Owners will not receive written confirmation from DTC of their purchase. Beneficial Owners are, however, expected to receive written confirmations providing details of the transaction, as well as periodic statements of their holdings, from the Direct or Indirect Participant through which the Beneficial Owner entered into the transaction. Transfers of ownership interests in the Bonds are to be accomplished by entries made on the books of Direct and Indirect Participants acting on behalf of Beneficial Owners. Beneficial Owners will not receive certificates representing their ownership interests in Bonds, except in the event that use of the book-entry system for the Bonds is discontinued.

To facilitate subsequent transfers, all Bonds deposited by Direct Participants with DTC are registered in the name of DTC's partnership nominee, Cede & Co., or such other name as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. The deposit of Bonds with DTC and their registration in the name of Cede & Co. or such other DTC nominee do not effect any change in beneficial ownership. DTC has no knowledge of the actual Beneficial Owners of the Bonds; DTC's records reflect only the identity of the Direct Participants to whose accounts such Bonds are credited, which may or may not be the Beneficial Owners. The Direct and Indirect Participants will remain responsible for keeping account of their holdings on behalf of their customers.

Conveyance of notices and other communications by DTC to Direct Participants, by Direct Participants to Indirect Participants, and by Direct Participants and Indirect Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by arrangements among them, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time.

Redemption notices shall be sent to DTC. If less than all of the Bonds within an issue are being redeemed, DTC's practice is to determine by lot the amount of the interest of each Direct Participant in such issue to be redeemed.

Neither DTC nor Cede & Co. (nor any other DTC nominee) will consent or vote with respect to Bonds unless authorized by a Direct Participant in accordance with DTC's Procedures. Under its usual procedures, DTC mails an Omnibus Proxy to the District as soon as possible after the record date. The Omnibus Proxy assigns Cede & Co.'s consenting or voting rights to those Direct Participants to whose accounts Bonds are credited on the record date (identified in a listing attached to the Omnibus Proxy).

Redemption proceeds and distribution on the Bonds will be made to Cede & Co., or such other nominee as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. DTC's practice is to credit Direct Participants' accounts upon DTC's receipt of funds and corresponding detail information from the District or Paying Agent, on payable date in accordance with their respective holdings shown on DTC's records. Payments by Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by standing instructions and customary practices, as is the case with securities held for the accounts of customers in bearer form or registered in "street name," and will be the responsibility of such Participant and not of DTC nor its nominee, Paying Agent, or the District, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time. Payment of redemption proceeds and distribution to Cede & Co. (or such other nominee as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC) is the responsibility of the District or Paying Agent, disbursement of such payments to Direct Participants will be the responsibility of DTC, and disbursement of such payments to the Beneficial Owners will be the responsibility of Direct and Indirect Participants.

DTC may discontinue providing its services as depository with respect to the Bonds at any time by giving reasonable notice to the District or Paying Agent. Under such circumstances, in the event that a successor depository is not obtained, Bond certificates are required to be printed and delivered.

The District may decide to discontinue use of the system of book-entry transfers through DTC (or a successor securities depository). In that event, Bond certificates will be printed and delivered.

Discontinuation of Book-Entry Only System; Registration, Exchange and Transfer of Bonds

So long as any of the Bonds remain outstanding, the District will cause the Paying Agent to maintain at its principal office all books and records necessary for the registration, exchange and transfer of such Bonds, which shall at all times be open to inspection by the District, and, upon presentation for such purpose, the Paying Agent shall, under such reasonable regulations as it may prescribe, register,

exchange or transfer or cause to be registered, exchanged or transferred, on said books, Bonds as provided in the Resolution.

In the event that the book-entry only system as described herein is no longer used with respect to the Bonds, the following provisions will govern the registration, transfer, and exchange of the Bonds.

The principal of, premium, if any, and interest on the Bonds upon the redemption thereof will be payable in lawful money of the United States of America upon presentation and surrender of the Bonds at the principal trust office of the Paying Agent. Interest on the Bonds will be paid by the Paying Agent by wire transfer to the person whose name appears on the registration books of the Paying Agent as the registered Owner, and to that person's address appearing on the registration books as of the close of business on the Record Date.

Any Bond may be exchanged for Bonds of like series, tenor, maturity and Transfer Amount (which with respect to any outstanding Bonds means the principal amount thereof, as applicable) upon presentation and surrender at the designated office of the Paying Agent, together with a request for exchange signed by the registered Owner or by a person legally empowered to do so in a form satisfactory to the Paying Agent. A Bond may be transferred on the Bond Register only upon presentation and surrender of the Bond at the designated office of the Paying Agent together with an assignment executed by the Owner or by a person legally empowered to do so in a form satisfactory to the Paying Agent. Upon exchange or transfer, the Paying Agent shall complete, authenticate and deliver a new Bond or Bonds of like series, tenor and of any authorized denomination or denominations requested by the Owner equal to the Transfer Amount of the Bond surrendered and bearing or accruing interest at the same rate and maturing on the same date.

Neither the District nor the Paying Agent will be required (a) to issue or transfer any Bonds during a period beginning with the opening of business on the 16th day next preceding either any Bond Payment Date, or any date of selection of Bonds to be redeemed and ending with the close of business on the Bond Payment Date, or any day on which the applicable Redemption Notice is given or (b) to transfer any Bonds which have been selected or called for redemption in whole or in part.

Defeasance

All or any portion of the outstanding maturities of the Bonds may be defeased prior to maturity in the following ways:

(a) Cash: by irrevocably depositing with an independent escrow agent selected by the District an amount of cash which together with any amounts transferred from the applicable Debt Service Fund (if any) is sufficient to pay all such Bonds outstanding and designated for defeasance (including all principal thereof, accrued interest thereon and redemption premiums, if any) at or before their maturity date; or

(b) Government Obligations: by irrevocably depositing with an independent escrow agent selected by the District noncallable Government Obligations together with any amounts transferred from the Debt Service Fund, if any, and any other cash, if required, in such amount as will, together with the interest to accrue thereon, in the opinion of an independent certified public accountant, be fully sufficient to pay and discharge all Bonds outstanding and designated for defeasance (including all principal thereof, accrued interest thereon and redemption premiums, if any) at or before their maturity date;

then, notwithstanding that any Bonds shall not have been surrendered for payment, all obligations of the District with respect to all outstanding Bonds being defeased shall cease and terminate, except only the obligation of the independent escrow agent selected by the District to pay or cause to be paid from funds deposited pursuant to paragraphs (a) or (b) above, to the Owners of the Bonds not so surrendered and paid all sums due with respect thereto.

“Government Obligations” means direct and general obligations of the United States of America, obligations that are unconditionally guaranteed as to principal and interest by the United States of America (which may consist of obligations of the Resolution Funding Corporation that constitute interest strips), and obligations secured or otherwise guaranteed, directly or indirectly, as to principal and interest by a pledge of the full faith and credit of the United States of America. In the case of direct and general obligations of the United States of America, Government Obligations shall include evidences of direct ownership of proportionate interests in future interest or principal payments of such obligations. Investments in such proportionate interests must be limited to circumstances where (a) a bank or trust company acts as custodian and holds the underlying United States obligations; (b) the owner of the investment is the real party in interest and has the right to proceed directly and individually against the obligor of the underlying United States obligations; and (c) the underlying United States obligations are held in a special account, segregated from the custodian’s general assets, and are not available to satisfy any claim of the custodian, any person claiming through the custodian, or any person to whom the custodian may be obligated; provided that such obligations are rated or assessed at least as high as direct and general obligations of the United States of America by either S&P Global Ratings, a business unit of Standard & Poor’s Financial Services LLC (“S&P”) or Moody’s Investors Service (“Moody’s”).

ESTIMATED SOURCES AND USES OF FUNDS

The proceeds of the Bonds are expected to be applied as follows:

Sources of Funds

Principal Amount of Bonds

Total Sources

Uses of Funds

Costs of Issuance⁽¹⁾

Underwriter’s Discount

Escrow Fund

Total Uses

⁽¹⁾ Reflects all costs of issuance, including legal and municipal advisory fees, printing costs, rating agency fees, escrow agent fees, verification agent fees, the costs and fees of the Paying Agent, Escrow Agent and Verification Agent.

TAX BASE FOR REPAYMENT OF BONDS

The information in this section describes ad valorem property taxation, assessed valuation, and other measures of the tax base of the District. The Bonds are payable solely from ad valorem property taxes levied and collected by the County on taxable property in the District, which taxes are unlimited as to rate or amount. The District's general fund is not a source for the repayment of the Bonds.

Ad Valorem Property Taxation

District property taxes are assessed and collected by the County at the same time and on the same tax rolls as County, city and special district property taxes. Assessed valuations are the same for both District and County taxing purposes.

Taxes are levied for each fiscal year on taxable real and personal property which is located in the District as of the preceding January 1. For assessment and collection purposes, property is classified either as "secured" or "unsecured" and is listed accordingly on separate parts of the assessment roll. The "secured roll" is that part of the assessment roll containing State assessed public utilities property and real property having a tax lien which is sufficient, in the opinion of the assessor, to secure payment of the taxes. Other property is assessed on the "unsecured roll." Unsecured property comprises certain property not attached to land such as personal property or business property. Boats and airplanes are examples of such property. Unsecured property is assessed on the "unsecured roll." A supplemental roll is developed when property changes hands or new construction is completed. The County levies and collects all property taxes for property falling within the County's taxing boundaries.

The valuation of secured property is established as of January 1 and is subsequently enrolled in August. Property taxes on the secured roll are payable in two installments, due November 1 and February 1 of the calendar year. If unpaid, such taxes become delinquent on December 10 and April 10, respectively, and a 10% penalty attaches to any delinquent installment plus any additional amount determined by the Treasurer. After the second installment of taxes on the secured roll is delinquent, the tax collector shall collect a cost of \$10 for preparing the delinquent tax records and giving notice of delinquency. Property on the secured roll with delinquent taxes is declared tax-defaulted on July 1 of the calendar year. Such property may thereafter be redeemed, until the right of redemption is terminated, by payment of the delinquent taxes and the delinquency penalty, plus a \$15 redemption fee and a redemption penalty of 1.5% per month to the time of redemption. If taxes are unpaid for a period of five years or more, the property is subject to sale by the Treasurer.

Property taxes on the unsecured roll as of July 31 become delinquent if they are not paid by August 31 and are thereafter subject to a delinquent penalty of 10%. Taxes added to the unsecure tax roll after July 31, if unpaid are delinquent and subject to a penalty of 10% on the last day of the month succeeding the month of enrollment. In the case of unsecured property taxes, an additional penalty of 1.5% per month begins to accrue when such taxes remain unpaid on the last day of the second month after the 10% penalty attaches. The taxing authority has four ways of collecting unsecured personal property taxes: (1) a civil action against the assessee; (2) filing a certificate in the office of the county clerk specifying certain facts in order to obtain a judgment lien on specific property of the assessee; (3) filing a certificate of delinquency for record in the county recorder's office in order to obtain a lien on specified property of the assessee; and (4) seizure and sale of personal property, improvements or possessory interests belonging or assessed to the assessee.

State law exempts from taxation \$7,000 of the full cash value of an owner-occupied dwelling, but this exemption does not result in any loss of revenue to local agencies, since the State reimburses local agencies for the value of the exemptions.

All property is assessed using full cash value as defined by Article XIII A of the State Constitution. State law provides exemptions from ad valorem property taxation for certain classes of property such as churches, colleges, non-profit hospitals, and charitable institutions.

Future assessed valuation growth allowed under Article XIII A (new construction, certain changes of ownership, 2% inflation) will be allocated on the basis of “situs” among the jurisdictions that serve the tax rate area within which the growth occurs. Local agencies and K-14 school districts (as defined herein) will share the growth of “base” revenues from the tax rate area. Each year’s growth allocation becomes part of each agency’s allocation in the following year.

Assessed Valuations

The assessed valuation of property in the District is established by the tax assessing authority for the county in which such property is located, except for public utility property which is assessed by the State Board of Equalization. Assessed valuations are reported at 100% of the “full cash value” of the property, as defined in Article XIII A of the California Constitution. For a discussion of how properties currently are assessed, see “CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS AFFECTING DISTRICT REVENUES AND APPROPRIATIONS” herein.

Property within the District has a total assessed valuation for fiscal year 2020-21 of \$32,732,560,123. The following table represents a 10-year history of assessed valuations in the District, as of the date the equalized assessment tax roll is established in August of each year, excluding any exemptions granted after such date in each year:

**ASSESSED VALUATIONS
Fiscal Years 2011-12 through 2020-21
Mountain View Whisman School District**

	<u>Secured</u>	<u>Utility</u>	<u>Unsecured</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>% Change⁰¹</u>
2011-12	\$13,159,151,354	\$300,280	\$1,722,299,889	\$14,881,751,523	--
2012-13	13,572,776,763	300,820	2,282,020,843	15,855,098,426	6.54%
2013-14	14,726,997,086	300,820	2,428,206,083	17,155,503,989	8.20
2014-15	16,408,518,082	26,160	1,942,743,524	18,351,287,766	6.97
2015-16	18,416,803,916	20,160	2,240,277,105	20,657,107,181	12.56
2016-17	21,016,045,949	26,160	2,500,644,770	23,516,716,879	13.84
2017-18	22,999,583,865	26,160	2,996,572,849	25,996,182,874	10.54
2018-19	24,698,734,967	0	2,405,281,064	27,104,016,031	4.26
2019-20	26,976,064,535	0	2,392,675,301	29,368,739,836	8.36
2020-21	30,404,239,412	0	2,328,320,711	32,732,560,123	11.45

⁰¹ Percent change calculated by Municipal Advisor from figures provided by California Municipal Statistics, Inc.
Source: California Municipal Statistics, Inc.

Certain classes of property, such as churches, colleges, not-for-profit hospitals, and charitable institutions, are exempt from property taxation and do not appear on the tax rolls.

Economic and other factors beyond the District’s control, such as general market decline in property values, the outbreak of disease, disruption in financial markets that may reduce availability of financing for purchasers of property, reclassification of property to a class exempt from taxation, whether by ownership or use (such as exemptions for property owned by the State and local agencies and property used for qualified education, hospital, charitable or religious purposes), or the complete or partial destruction of the taxable property caused by a natural or manmade disaster, such as earthquake, drought, flood, fire, outbreak of disease or toxic contamination, could cause a reduction in the assessed value of taxable property within the District. Any such reduction would result in a corresponding increase in the

annual tax rate levied by the County to pay the debt service with respect to the Bonds. See also “THE BONDS – Security and Sources of Payment” and “DISTRICT FINANCIAL INFORMATION – Considerations Regarding COVID-19” herein.

Appeals of Assessed Valuations. Under California law, property owners may apply for a reduction of their property tax assessment by filing a written application, in form prescribed by the State Board of Equalization (the “SBE”), with the appropriate county board of equalization or assessment appeals board. In most cases, the appeal is filed because the applicant believes that present market conditions (such as residential home prices) cause the property to be worth less than its current assessed value. Any reduction in the assessment ultimately granted as a result of such appeal applies to the year for which application is made and during which the written application was filed. Such reductions are subject to yearly reappraisals and may be adjusted back to their original values when market conditions improve. Once the property has regained its prior value, adjusted for inflation, it once again is subject to the annual inflationary factor growth rate allowed under Article XIII A. See “CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS AFFECTING DISTRICT REVENUES AND APPROPRIATIONS – Article XIII A of the California Constitution” herein.

A second type of assessment appeal involves a challenge to the base year value of an assessed property. Appeals for reduction in the base year value of an assessment, if successful, reduce the assessment for the year in which the appeal is taken and prospectively thereafter. The base year is determined by the completion date of new construction or the date of change of ownership. Any base year appeal must be made within four years of the change of ownership or new construction date.

In addition to the above-described taxpayer appeals, county assessors may independently reduce assessed valuations based on changes in the market value of property, or for other factors such as the complete or partial destruction of taxable property caused by natural or man-made disasters such as earthquakes, drought, floods, fire, or toxic contamination pursuant to relevant provisions of the State Constitution. See also “CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS AFFECTING DISTRICT REVENUES AND APPROPRIATIONS – Article XIII A of the California Constitution” herein. Such reductions are subject to yearly reappraisals by the county assessor and may be adjusted back to their original values when real estate market conditions improve. Once property has regained its prior assessed value, adjusted for inflation, it once again is subject to the annual inflationary growth rate factor allowed under Article XIII A.

No assurance can be given that property tax appeals or actions by the County Assessor in the future will not significantly reduce the assessed valuation of property within the District.

Assembly Bill 102. On June 27, 2017, the Governor signed into law Assembly Bill 102 (“AB 102”). AB 102 restructures the functions of the SBE and creates two new separate agencies: (i) the California Department of Tax and Fee Administration, and (ii) the Office of Tax Appeals. Under AB 102, the California Department of Tax and Fee Administration will take over programs previously in the SBE Property Tax Department, such as the Tax Area Services Section, which is responsible for maintaining all property tax-rate area maps and for maintaining special revenue district boundaries. Under AB 102, the SBE will continue to perform the duties assigned by the State Constitution related to property taxes, however, beginning January 1, 2018, the SBE will only hear appeals related to the programs that it constitutionally administers and the Office of Tax Appeals will hear tax appeals on all other taxes and fee matters, such as sales and use tax and other special taxes and fees. AB 102 obligates the Office of Tax Appeals to adopt regulations as necessary to carry out its duties, powers, and responsibilities.

Assessed Valuation of Single Family Homes. The following table shows the distribution of single family homes within the District among various fiscal year 2020-21 assessed valuation ranges, as well as the average and median assessed valuation of single family homes within the District.

ASSESSED VALUATION OF SINGLE FAMILY HOMES
Fiscal Year 2020-21
Mountain View Whisman School District

⁽¹⁾ Improved single family residential parcels. Excludes condominiums and parcels with multiple family units.
Source: California Municipal Statistics, Inc.

[REMAINDER OF PAGE LEFT BLANK]

Assessed Valuation and Parcels by Land Use. The following table shows a per-parcel analysis of the distribution of taxable property within the District by principal use, and the fiscal year 2020-21 assessed valuation of such parcels.

ASSESSED VALUATION AND PARCELS BY LAND USE
Fiscal Year 2020-21
Mountain View Whisman School District

⁽¹⁾ Local secured assessed valuation; excluding tax-exempt property.
Source: California Municipal Statistics, Inc.

[REMAINDER OF PAGE LEFT BLANK]

Assessed Valuation by Jurisdiction

The following table shows the assessed valuation by jurisdiction for fiscal year 2020-21.

ASSESSED VALUATION AND PARCELS BY JURISDICTION Fiscal Year 2020-21 Mountain View Whisman School District

Source: California Municipal Statistics, Inc.

Tax Levies, Collections and Delinquencies

The tables below show annual secured tax levies within the boundaries of the District, and amounts delinquent as of June 30, for the payment of (i) District bonds during fiscal years 2012-13 through 2019-20, and (ii) bonds of the Former Districts, during fiscal years 2010-11 through 2019-20.

SECURED TAX CHARGES AND DELINQUENCY RATES Mountain View Whisman School District Fiscal Years 2012-13 to 2019-20

<u>Tax Year</u>	<u>Secured Tax Charge(1)</u>	<u>Amount Delinquent June 30⁽¹⁾</u>	<u>Percent Delinquent June 30</u>
2012-13	--	--	0.46%
2013-14	\$3,780,409.52	\$17,671.45	0.47
2014-15	1,328,405.06	5,900.98	0.44
2015-16	1,256,128.30	11,206.20	0.89
2016-17	5,305,506.98	17,089.85	0.32
2017-18	5,306,571.71	12,305.58	0.23
2018-19	6,122,604.24	42,469.47	0.69
2019-20	6,526,000.48	20,487.76	0.31

⁽¹⁾ District general obligation bond debt service levy only. Dollar amounts for fiscal year 2012-13 are unavailable. *Ad valorem* tax levies for bonds of the District began in fiscal year 2012-13.

Source: California Municipal Statistics, Inc.

SECURED TAX CHARGES AND DELINQUENCY RATES
Former Mountain View School District Area
Fiscal Years 2010-11 to 2019-20

<u>Tax Year</u>	<u>Secured Tax Charge⁽¹⁾</u>	<u>Amount Delinquent</u>	<u>Percent Delinquent</u>
		<u>June 30⁽¹⁾</u>	<u>June 30</u>
2010-11	\$2,649,482.86	\$25,487.19	0.965%
2011-12	2,505,472.41	19,486.63	0.78
2012-13	--	--	0.46
2013-14	2,684,603.65	15,165.18	0.56
2014-15	2,766,241.52	13,007.45	0.47
2015-16	2,519,693.50	17,993.17	0.71
2016-17	2,347,279.17	8,725.27	0.37
2017-18	2,553,278.82	6,384.04	0.25
2018-19	2,772,036.37	27,248.90	0.98
2019-20	2,733,964.69	7,572.08	0.28

⁽¹⁾ Former Mountain View School District general obligation bond debt service levy only. Dollar amounts for fiscal year 2012-13 are unavailable.

Source: California Municipal Statistics, Inc.

SECURED TAX CHARGES AND DELINQUENCY RATES
Former Whisman School District Area
Fiscal Years 2010-11 to 2019-20

<u>Tax Year</u>	<u>Secured Tax Charge⁽¹⁾</u>	<u>Amount Delinquent</u>	<u>Percent Delinquent</u>
		<u>June 30⁽¹⁾</u>	<u>June 30</u>
2010-11	\$1,581,043.51	\$14,189.33	0.90%
2011-12	2,003,936.27	16,367.47	0.82
2012-13	--	--	0.46
2013-14	1,975,007.11	5,602.14	0.28
2014-15	2,529,758.20	10,038.50	0.40
2015-16	2,691,378.73	33,003.08	1.23
2016-17	2,546,387.35	5,887.20	0.23
2017-18	2,621,047.29	5,210.01	0.20
2018-19	3,313,032.91	5,427.00	0.16
2019-20	3,422,514.57	12,972.95	0.38

⁽¹⁾ Former Whisman School District general obligation bond debt service levy only. Dollar amounts for fiscal year 2012-13 are unavailable.

Source: California Municipal Statistics, Inc.

Alternative Method of Tax Apportionment - “Teeter Plan”

The Board of Supervisors has implemented the Alternative Method of Distribution of Tax Levies and Collections and of Tax Sale Proceeds (the “Teeter Plan”), as provided for in Section 4701 *et seq.* of the State Revenue and Taxation Code. Under the Teeter Plan, the County apportions secured property taxes on an accrual basis when due (irrespective of actual collections) to its local political subdivisions, including the District, for which the County acts as the tax-levying or tax-collecting agency.

The Teeter Plan is applicable to all tax levies for which the County acts as the tax-levying or tax-collecting agency, or for which the County treasury is the legal depository of the tax collections. As adopted by the County, the Teeter Plan excludes Mello-Roos Community Facilities Districts and special assessment districts which provide for accelerated judicial foreclosure of property for which assessments are delinquent.

The *ad valorem* property tax to be levied to pay the principal of and interest on the Bonds will be subject to the Teeter Plan, beginning in the first year of such levy. The District will receive the benefit of 100% of the *ad valorem* property tax levied to pay the Bonds irrespective of actual delinquencies in the collection of the tax by the County.

The Teeter Plan is to remain in effect unless the Board of Supervisors orders its discontinuance or unless, prior to the commencement of any fiscal year of the County (which commences on July 1), the Board of Supervisors receives a petition for its discontinuance joined in by a resolution adopted by at least two-thirds of the participating revenue districts in the County. In the event the Board of Supervisors is to order discontinuance of the Teeter Plan subsequent to its implementation, only those secured property taxes actually collected would be allocated to political subdivisions (including the District) for which the County acts as the tax-levying or tax-collecting agency.

There can be no assurance that the County will always maintain the Teeter Plan or will have sufficient funds available to distribute the full amount of the District’s share of property tax collections to the District. The ability of the County to maintain the Teeter Plan may depend on its financial resources and may be affected by future property tax delinquencies. Property tax delinquencies may be impacted by economic and other factors beyond the District’s or the County’s control, including the ability or willingness of property owners to pay property taxes during an economic recession or depression. An economic recession or depression could be caused by many factors outside the control of the District, including high interest rates, reduced consumer confidence, reduced real wages or reduced economic activity as a result of the spread of COVID-19 or other outbreak of disease or natural or manmade disaster. See “DISTRICT FINANCIAL INFORMATION – Considerations Regarding COVID-19” herein. However, notwithstanding any possible future change to or discontinuation of the Teeter Plan, State law requires the County to levy *ad valorem* property taxes sufficient to pay the Bonds when due.

Tax Rates

Representative tax rate areas (each, a “TRA”) located within the boundaries of the District are TRAs 05-000 and 05-010. The tables on the following page show the total *ad valorem* property tax rates, as a percentage of assessed valuation, levied by all taxing entities in these TRAs during the five-year period from fiscal years 2016-17 through 2020-21.

SUMMARY OF AD VALOREM TAX RATES
Mountain View Whisman School District
Fiscal Years 2016-17 through 2020-21

TRA 05-000 – 2020-21 Assessed Valuation: \$ ^(a)

	<u>2016-17</u>	<u>2017-18</u>	<u>2018-19</u>	<u>2019-20</u>	<u>2020-21</u>
General Tax Rate	1.00000%	1.00000%	1.00000%	1.0000%	
County Retirement Levy	0.03880	0.03880	0.03880	0.03880	
County Hospital Bonds	0.00860	0.02086	0.01770	0.01690	
Mountain View Elementary School District	0.01730	0.01750	0.01750	0.01570	
Mountain View-Whisman School District	0.02530	0.02350	0.02500	0.02390	
El Camino Hospital District	0.01290	0.01000	0.01000	0.01000	
Foothill-De Anza Community College District	0.02340	0.02200	0.02170	0.02080	
Mountain View-Los Altos Union High School District	0.01120	0.01070	0.04090	0.03650	
Mid-Peninsula Regional Open Space District	<u>0.00060</u>	<u>0.00090</u>	<u>0.00180</u>	<u>0.00160</u>	
Total Tax Rate	1.13810%	1.14426%	1.17340%	1.16420%	
Santa Clara Valley Water District – State Water Project	<u>0.00860</u>	<u>0.00620</u>	<u>0.00420</u>	<u>0.00410</u>	
Total Tax Rate	0.00860%	0.00620%	0.00420%	0.00410%	

TRA 05-010 – 2020-21 Assessed Valuation: \$ ^(a)

	<u>2016-17</u>	<u>2017-18</u>	<u>2018-19</u>	<u>2019-20</u>
General Tax Rate	1.00000%	1.00000%	1.00000%	1.0000%
County Retirement Levy	0.03880	0.03880	0.03880	0.03880
County Hospital Bonds	0.00860	0.02086	0.01770	0.01690
Whisman Elementary School District	0.02530	0.02350	0.02500	0.02390
Mountain View Whisman School District	0.03440	0.03280	0.03830	0.03460
El Camino Hospital District	0.01290	0.01000	0.01000	0.01000
Foothill-De Anza Community College District	0.02340	0.02200	0.02170	0.02080
Mountain View-Los Altos Union High School District	0.01120	0.01070	0.04090	0.03650
Mid-Peninsula Regional Open Space District	<u>0.00060</u>	<u>0.00090</u>	<u>0.00180</u>	<u>0.00160</u>
Total Tax Rate	1.15520%	1.15956%	1.19420%	1.18310%
Santa Clara Valley Water District – State Water Project	<u>0.00860</u>	<u>0.00620</u>	<u>0.00420</u>	<u>0.00410</u>
Total Tax Rate	0.00860%	0.00620%	0.00420%	0.00410%

Source: California Municipal Statistics, Inc.

[REMAINDER OF PAGE LEFT BLANK]

Principal Taxpayers

The more property (by assessed value) which is owned by a single taxpayer within the District, the greater amount of tax collections that are exposed to weaknesses in such a taxpayer's financial situation and ability or willingness to pay property taxes. The following table lists the 20 largest local secured taxpayers in the District in terms of their fiscal year 2020-21 secured assessed valuations. Each taxpayer listed below is a name listed on the tax rolls. The District cannot make any representation as to whether individual persons, corporations or other organizations are liable for tax payments with respect to multiple properties held in various names that in aggregate may be larger than is suggested by the table below:

20 LARGEST LOCAL SECURED TAXPAYERS
Fiscal Year 2020-21
Mountain View Whisman School District

⁰¹ 2020-21 Local Secured Assessed Valuation: \$_____.
Source: California Municipal Statistics, Inc.

Google Inc. ("Google") is the largest secured taxpayer in the District, representing _____% of the District's total secured assessed valuation. Google is a multi-national technology company, specializing in Internet-related goods and services including online advertising, cloud computing and software. Google's Internet search engine, www.google.com, is one of the most visited websites in the world. Google is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Alphabet Inc., ("Alphabet"), a publicly traded holding corporation. As of _____, Alphabet reported year-end revenues and fourth quarter operating income for the Google segment of its business of \$_____ billion and \$_____ billion, respectively. Google's total operating income for 2020 was reported at \$_____ billion. For additional information, see www.abc.xyz. Information presented on the websites described above is not incorporated herein by any reference.

Google generates a majority of its total revenues from the display of ads online. In fiscal year December 31, 2020, such revenue represented ___% of Google’s total revenues. In Google’s annual report for fiscal year December 31, 2020, it states that many of Google’s advertisers can terminate their contracts with Google at any time, and, if such advertisers do not perceive that they are receiving value under their contract, they may choose to discontinue business with Google. Google identifies changes to its advertising policies and its privacy policies, as well as changes to the advertising and privacy policies of other companies, as factors that could impact the generation of revenues from ad sales. In addition, the increase in the prevalence of ad blocking software could impair Google’s ability to provide third-party digital advertising. Google also notes that expenditures by advertisers are generally cyclical and reflect overall economic conditions and budgeting and buying patterns. Adverse conditions in the larger economy can also have a material negative effect on the demand for advertising and cause Google’s advertisers to reduce the amounts that they spend on advertising, which could harm Google’s financial condition and operating results. See also “DISTRICT FINANCIAL INFORMATION – Considerations Regarding COVID-19” herein.

Statement of Direct and Overlapping Debt

Set forth on the following page is a direct and overlapping debt report (the “Debt Report”) prepared by California Municipal Statistics, Inc. dated as of _____, 2021, for debt issued as of _____ 2021. The Debt Report is included for general information purposes only. The District has not reviewed the Debt Report for completeness or accuracy and makes no representation in connection therewith.

The Debt Report generally includes long-term obligations sold in the public credit markets by public agencies whose boundaries overlap the boundaries of the District in whole or in part. Such long-term obligations generally are not payable from revenues of the District (except as indicated) nor are they necessarily obligations secured by land within the District. In many cases long-term obligations issued by a public agency are payable only from the general fund or other revenues of such public agency.

The table shows the percentage of each overlapping entity’s assessed value located within the boundaries of the District. The table also shows the corresponding portion of the overlapping entity’s existing debt payable from property taxes levied within the District. The total amount of debt for each overlapping entity is not given in the table.

The first column in the table names each public agency which has outstanding debt as of the date of the report and whose territory overlaps the District in whole or in part. The second column shows the percentage of each overlapping agency’s assessed value located within the boundaries of the District. This percentage, multiplied by the total outstanding debt of each overlapping agency (which is not shown in the table) produces the amount shown in the third column, which is the apportionment of each overlapping agency’s outstanding debt to taxable property in the District.

STATEMENT OF DIRECT AND OVERLAPPING DEBT
Mountain View Whisman School District

⁽¹⁾ Excludes the Bonds described herein but includes the Refunded Bonds.

⁽²⁾ Excludes tax and revenue anticipation notes, enterprise revenue, mortgage revenue and non-bonded capital lease obligations.

Source: California Municipal Statistics, Inc.

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS AFFECTING DISTRICT REVENUES AND APPROPRIATIONS

The principal of and interest on the Bonds are payable solely from the proceeds of an ad valorem property tax levied by the County for the payment thereof. (See “THE BONDS – Security and Sources of Payment” herein) Articles XIII A, XIII B, XIII C and XIII D of the State Constitution, Propositions 98 and 111, and certain other provisions of law discussed below, are included in this section to describe the potential effect of these Constitutional and statutory measures on the ability of the County to levy taxes on behalf of the District and to the District to spend tax proceeds for operating and other purposes, and it should not be inferred from the inclusion of such materials that these laws impose any limitation on the ability of the County to levy ad valorem property taxes for payment of the Bonds.

Article XIII A of the California Constitution

Article XIII A (“Article XIII A”) of the State Constitution limits the amount of *ad valorem* property taxes on real property to 1% of “full cash value” as determined by the county assessor. Article XIII A defines “full cash value” to mean “the county assessor’s valuation of real property as shown on the 1975-76 bill under “full cash value,” or thereafter, the appraised value of real property when purchased, newly constructed or a change in ownership has occurred after the 1975 assessment,” subject to exemptions in certain circumstances of property transfer or reconstruction. Determined in this manner, the full cash value is also referred to as the “base year value.” The full cash value is subject to annual adjustment to reflect increases, not to exceed 2% for any year, or decreases in the consumer price index or comparable local data, or to reflect reductions in property value caused by damage, destruction or other factors.

Article XIII A has been amended to allow for temporary reductions of assessed value in instances where the fair market value of real property falls below the adjusted base year value described above. Proposition 8—approved by the voters in November of 1978—provides for the enrollment of the lesser of the base year value or the market value of real property, taking into account reductions in value due to damage, destruction, depreciation, obsolescence, removal of property, or other factors causing a similar decline. In these instances, the market value is required to be reviewed annually until the market value exceeds the base year value, adjusted for inflation. Reductions in assessed value could result in a corresponding increase in the annual tax rate levied by the County to pay debt service on the Bonds. See “THE BONDS – Security and Sources of Payment” and “TAX BASE FOR REPAYMENT OF BONDS” herein.

Article XIII A requires a vote of two-thirds or more of the qualified electorate of a city, county, special district or other public agency to impose special taxes, while totally precluding the imposition of any additional *ad valorem* property, sales or transaction tax on real property. Article XIII A exempts from the 1% tax limitation any taxes above that level required to pay debt service (a) on any indebtedness approved by the voters prior to July 1, 1978, or (b), as the result of an amendment approved by State voters on June 3, 1986, on any bonded indebtedness approved by two-thirds or more of the votes cast by the voters for the acquisition or improvement of real property on or after July 1, 1978, or (c) bonded indebtedness incurred by a school district or community college district for the construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation or replacement of school facilities or the acquisition or lease of real property for school facilities, approved by fifty-five percent or more of the votes cast on the proposition, but only if certain accountability measures are included in the proposition. In addition, Article XIII A requires the approval of two-thirds or more of all members of the state legislature to change any state taxes for the purpose of increasing tax revenues.

Legislation Implementing Article XIII A

Legislation has been enacted and amended a number of times since 1978 to implement Article XIII A. Under current law, local agencies are no longer permitted to levy directly any property tax (except to pay voter-approved indebtedness). The 1% property tax is automatically levied by the county and distributed according to a formula among taxing agencies. The formula apportions the tax roughly in proportion to the relative shares of taxes levied prior to 1979.

Increases of assessed valuation resulting from reappraisals of property due to new construction, change in ownership or from the annual adjustment not to exceed 2% are allocated among the various jurisdictions in the “taxing area” based upon their respective “situs.” Any such allocation made to a local agency continues as part of its allocation in future years.

All taxable property value included in this Official Statement is shown at 100% of taxable value (unless noted differently) and all tax rates reflect the \$1 per \$100 of taxable value.

Both the United States Supreme Court and the California State Supreme Court have upheld the general validity of Article XIII A.

Proposition 19

On November 3, 2020, State voters approved Proposition 19, a legislatively referred constitutional amendment (“Proposition 19”), which amends Article XIII A to: (i) expand special rules that give property tax savings to homeowners that are over the age of 55, severely disabled, or whose property has been impacted by wildfire or natural disaster, when they buy a different home; (ii) narrow existing special rules for inherited properties; and (iii) dedicate most of the potential new State revenue generated from Proposition 19 toward fire protection. The District cannot make any assurance as to what effect the implementation of Proposition 19 will have on District revenues or the assessed valuation of real property in the District.

Unitary Property

Some amount of property tax revenue of the District is derived from utility property which is considered part of a utility system with components located in many taxing jurisdictions (“unitary property”). Under the State Constitution, such property is assessed by the State Board of Equalization (“SBE”) as part of a “going concern” rather than as individual pieces of real or personal property. State-assessed unitary and certain other property is allocated to the counties by SBE, taxed at special county-wide rates, and the tax revenues distributed to taxing jurisdictions (including the District) according to statutory formulae generally based on the distribution of taxes in the prior year. So long as the District is a community supported district (as described herein), taxes lost through any reduction in assessed valuation will be not be compensated by the State as equalization aid under the State’s school financing formula. See “DISTRICT FINANCIAL INFORMATION” herein.

Proposition 50 and Proposition 171

On June 3, 1986, the voters of the State approved Proposition 50. Proposition 50 amends Section 2 of Article XIII A of the State Constitution to allow owners of property that was “substantially damaged or destroyed” by a disaster, as declared by the Governor (the “Damaged Property”), to transfer their existing base year value (the “Original Base Year Value”) to a comparable replacement property within the same county, which is acquired or constructed within five years after the disaster. At the time of such transfer, the Damaged Property will be reassessed at its full cash value immediately prior to damage or destruction (the “Original Cash Value”); however, such property will retain its base year value notwithstanding such a transfer. Property is substantially damaged or destroyed if either the land or the improvements sustain physical damage amounting to more than 50% of either the land or improvements full cash value immediately prior to the disaster. There is no filing deadline, but the assessor can only correct four years of assessments when the owner fails to file a claim within four years of acquiring a replacement property.

Under Proposition 50, the base year value of the replacement property (the “Replacement Base Year Value”) depends on the relation of the full cash value of the replacement property (the “Replacement Cash Value”) to the Original Cash Value: if the Replacement Cash Value exceeds 120% of the Original Cash Value, then the Replacement Base Year Value is calculated by combining the Original Base Year Value with such excessive Replacement Cash Value; if the Replacement Cash Value does not exceed 120% of the Original Cash Value, then the Replacement Base Year Value equals the Original Base Year Value; if the Replacement Cash Value is less than the Original Cash Value, then the Replacement Base Year Value equals the Replacement Cash Value. The replacement property must be comparable in size, utility, and function to the Damaged Property.

On November 2, 1993, the voters of the State approved Proposition 171. Proposition 171 amends subdivision (e) of Section 2 of Article XIII A of the State Constitution to allow owners of Damaged Property to transfer their Original Base Year Value to a “comparable replacement property” located within another county in the State, which is acquired or newly constructed within three years after the disaster.

Intra-county transfers under Proposition 171 are more restrictive than inter-county transfers under Proposition 50. For example, Proposition 171 (1) only applies to (a) structures that are owned and occupied by property owners as their principal place of residence and (b) land of a “reasonable size that is used as a site for a residence;” (2) explicitly does not apply to property owned by firms, partnerships, associations, corporations, companies, or legal entities of any kind; (3) only applies to replacement property located in a county that adopted an ordinance allowing Proposition 171 transfers; (4) claims must be timely filed within three years of the date of purchase or completion of new construction; and (5) only applies to comparable replacement property, which has a full cash value that is of “equal or lesser value” than the Original Cash Value.

Within the context of Proposition 171, “equal or lesser value” means that the amount of the Replacement Cash Value does not exceed either (1) 105% of the Original Cash Value when the replacement property is acquired or constructed within one year of the destruction, (2) 110% of the Original Cash Value when the replacement property is acquired or constructed within two years of the destruction, or (3) 115% of the Original Cash Value when the replacement property is acquired or constructed within three years of the destruction.

Article XIII B of the California Constitution

Article XIII B (“Article XIII B”) of the State Constitution, as subsequently amended by Propositions 98 and 111, respectively, limits the annual appropriations of the State and of any city, county, school district, authority or other political subdivision of the State to the level of appropriations of the particular governmental entity for the prior fiscal year, as adjusted for changes in the cost of living and in population and for transfers in the financial responsibility for providing services and for certain declared emergencies. As amended, Article XIII B defines:

- (a) “change in the cost of living” with respect to school districts to mean the percentage change in California per capita income from the preceding year, and
- (b) “change in population” with respect to a school district to mean the percentage change in the ADA of the school district from the preceding fiscal year.

For fiscal years beginning on or after July 1, 1990, the appropriations limit of each entity of government shall be the appropriations limit for the 1986-87 fiscal year adjusted for the changes made from that fiscal year pursuant to the provisions of Article XIII B, as amended.

The appropriations of an entity of local government subject to Article XIII B limitations include the proceeds of taxes levied by or for that entity and the proceeds of certain State subventions to that entity. “Proceeds of taxes” include, but are not limited to, all tax revenues and the proceeds to the entity from (a) regulatory licenses, user charges and user fees (but only to the extent that these proceeds exceed the reasonable costs in providing the regulation, product or service), and (b) the investment of tax revenues.

Appropriations subject to limitation do not include (a) refunds of taxes, (b) appropriations for bonded debt service such as the Bonds, (c) appropriations required to comply with certain mandates of the courts or the federal government, (d) appropriations of certain special districts, (e) appropriations for all qualified capital outlay projects as defined by the legislature, (f) appropriations derived from certain fuel and vehicle taxes and (g) appropriations derived from certain taxes on tobacco products.

Article XIII B includes a requirement that all revenues received by an entity of government other than the State in a fiscal year and in the fiscal year immediately following it in excess of the amount permitted to be appropriated during that fiscal year and the fiscal year immediately following it shall be returned by a revision of tax rates or fee schedules within the next two subsequent fiscal years.

Article XIII B also includes a requirement that fifty percent of all revenues received by the State in a fiscal year and in the fiscal year immediately following it in excess of the amount permitted to be appropriated during that fiscal year and the fiscal year immediately following it shall be transferred and allocated to the State School Fund pursuant to Section 8.5 of Article XVI of the State Constitution. See “– Propositions 98 and 111” herein.

Proposition 26

On November 2, 2010, voters in the State approved Proposition 26. Proposition 26 amends Article XIII C of the State Constitution to expand the definition of “tax” to include “any levy, charge, or exaction of any kind imposed by a local government” except the following: (1) a charge imposed for a specific benefit conferred or privilege granted directly to the payor that is not provided to those not charged, and which does not exceed the reasonable costs to the local government of conferring the benefit or granting the privilege; (2) a charge imposed for a specific government service or product provided

directly to the payor that is not provided to those not charged, and which does not exceed the reasonable costs to the local government of providing the service or product; (3) a charge imposed for the reasonable regulatory costs to a local government for issuing licenses and permits, performing investigations, inspections, and audits, enforcing agricultural marketing orders, and the administrative enforcement and adjudication thereof; (4) a charge imposed for entrance to or use of local government property, or the purchase, rental, or lease of local government property; (5) a fine, penalty, or other monetary charge imposed by the judicial branch of government or a local government, as a result of a violation of law; (6) a charge imposed as a condition of property development; and (7) assessments and property-related fees imposed in accordance with the provisions of Article XIID. Proposition 26 provides that the local government bears the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that a levy, charge, or other exaction is not a tax, that the amount is no more than necessary to cover the reasonable costs of the governmental activity, and that the manner in which those costs are allocated to a payor bear a fair or reasonable relationship to the payor's burdens on, or benefits received from, the governmental activity.

Article XIIC and Article XIID of the California Constitution

On November 5, 1996, the voters of the State approved Proposition 218, popularly known as the "Right to Vote on Taxes Act." Proposition 218 added to the California Constitution Articles XIIC and XIID (respectively, "Article XIIC" and "Article XIID"), which contain a number of provisions affecting the ability of local agencies, including school districts, to levy and collect both existing and future taxes, assessments, fees and charges.

According to the "Title and Summary" of Proposition 218 prepared by the California Attorney General, Proposition 218 limits "the authority of local governments to impose taxes and property-related assessments, fees and charges." Among other things, Article XIIC establishes that every tax is either a "general tax" (imposed for general governmental purposes) or a "special tax" (imposed for specific purposes), prohibits special purpose government agencies such as school districts from levying general taxes, and prohibits any local agency from imposing, extending or increasing any special tax beyond its maximum authorized rate without a two-thirds vote; and also provides that the initiative power will not be limited in matters of reducing or repealing local taxes, assessments, fees and charges. Article XIIC further provides that no tax may be assessed on property other than *ad valorem* property taxes imposed in accordance with Articles XIII and XIII A of the California Constitution and special taxes approved by a two-thirds vote under Article XIII A, Section 4. Article XIID deals with assessments and property-related fees and charges, and explicitly provides that nothing in Article XIIC or XIID will be construed to affect existing laws relating to the imposition of fees or charges as a condition of property development.

The District does not impose any taxes, assessments, or property-related fees or charges which are subject to the provisions of Proposition 218. It does, however, receive a portion of the basic 1% *ad valorem* property tax levied and collected by the County pursuant to Article XIII A of the California Constitution. The provisions of Proposition 218 may have an indirect effect on the District, such as by limiting or reducing the revenues otherwise available to other local governments whose boundaries encompass property located within the District thereby causing such local governments to reduce service levels and possibly adversely affecting the value of property within the District.

Propositions 98 and 111

On November 8, 1988, voters of the State approved Proposition 98, a combined initiative constitutional amendment and statute called the "Classroom Instructional Improvement and Accountability Act" (the "Accountability Act"). Certain provisions of the Accountability Act have, however, been modified by Proposition 111, discussed below, the provisions of which became effective

on July 1, 1990. The Accountability Act changed State funding of public education below the university level and the operation of the State's appropriations limit. The Accountability Act guarantees State funding for K-12 school districts and community college districts (hereinafter referred to collectively as "K-14 school districts") at a level equal to the greater of (a) the same percentage of State general fund revenues as the percentage appropriated to such districts in the 1986-87 fiscal year, and (b) the amount actually appropriated to such districts from the State general fund in the previous fiscal year, adjusted for increases in enrollment and changes in the cost of living. The Accountability Act permits the Legislature to suspend this formula for a one-year period.

The Accountability Act also changed how tax revenues in excess of the State appropriations limit are distributed. Any excess State tax revenues up to a specified amount are, instead of being returned to taxpayers, transferred to K-14 school districts. Any such transfer to K-14 school districts would be excluded from the appropriations limit for K-14 school districts and the K-14 school district appropriations limit for the next year is automatically increased by the amount of such transfer. These additional moneys enter the base funding calculation for K-14 school districts for subsequent years, creating further pressure on other portions of the State budget, particularly if revenues decline in a year following an Article XIII B surplus. The maximum amount of excess tax revenues which can be transferred to K-14 school districts is 4% of the minimum State spending for education mandated by the Accountability Act.

Since the Accountability Act is unclear in some details, there can be no assurances that the Legislature or a court might not interpret the Accountability Act to require a different percentage of State general fund revenues to be allocated to K-14 school districts, or to apply the relevant percentage to the State's budgets in a different way than is proposed in the Governor's Budget.

On June 5, 1990, the voters of the State approved Proposition 111 (Senate Constitutional Amendment No. 1) called the "Traffic Congestion Relief and Spending Limitation Act of 1990" ("Proposition 111") which further modified Article XIII B and Sections 8 and 8.5 of Article XVI of the State Constitution with respect to appropriations limitations and school funding priority and allocation.

The most significant provisions of Proposition 111 are summarized as follows:

- a. Annual Adjustments to Spending Limit. The annual adjustments to the Article XIII B spending limit were liberalized to be more closely linked to the rate of economic growth. Instead of being tied to the Consumer Price Index, the "change in the cost of living" is now measured by the change in California per capita personal income. The definition of "change in population" specifies that a portion of the State's spending limit is to be adjusted to reflect changes in school attendance.
- b. Treatment of Excess Tax Revenues. "Excess" tax revenues with respect to Article XIII B are now determined based on a two-year cycle, so that the State can avoid having to return to taxpayers excess tax revenues in one year if its appropriations in the next fiscal year are under its limit. In addition, the Proposition 98 provision regarding excess tax revenues was modified. After any two-year period, if there are excess State tax revenues, 50% of the excess are to be transferred to K-14 school districts with the balance returned to taxpayers; under prior law, 100% of excess State tax revenues went to K-14 school districts, but only up to a maximum of 4% of the schools' minimum funding level. Also, reversing prior law, any excess State tax revenues transferred to K-14 school districts are not built into the school districts' base expenditures for calculating their entitlement for State aid in the next year, and the State's appropriations limit is not to be increased by this amount.

- c. Exclusions from Spending Limit. Two exceptions were added to the calculation of appropriations which are subject to the Article XIII B spending limit. First, there are excluded all appropriations for “qualified capital outlay projects” as defined by the Legislature. Second, there are excluded any increases in gasoline taxes above the 1990 level (then nine cents per gallon), sales and use taxes on such increment in gasoline taxes, and increases in receipts from vehicle weight fees above the levels in effect on January 1, 1990. These latter provisions were necessary to make effective the transportation funding package approved by the Legislature and the Governor, which was expected to raise over \$15 billion in additional taxes from 1990 through 2000 to fund transportation programs.
- d. Recalculation of Appropriations Limit. The Article XIII B appropriations limit for each unit of government, including the State, is to be recalculated beginning in fiscal year 1990-91. It is based on the actual limit for fiscal year 1986-87, adjusted forward to 1990-91 as if Proposition 111 had been in effect.
- e. School Funding Guarantee. There is a complex adjustment in the formula enacted in Proposition 98 which guarantees K-14 school districts a certain amount of State general fund revenues. Under prior law, K-14 school districts were guaranteed the greater of (1) 40.9% of State general fund revenues (“Test 1”) or (2) the amount appropriated in the prior year adjusted for changes in the cost of living (measured as in Article XIII B by reference to per capita personal income) and enrollment (“Test 2”). Under Proposition 111, schools will receive the greater of (1) Test 1, (2) Test 2, or (3) a third test (“Test 3”), which will replace Test 2 in any year when growth in per capita State general fund revenues from the prior year is less than the annual growth in California per capita personal income. Under Test 3, schools will receive the amount appropriated in the prior year adjusted for change in enrollment and per capita State general fund revenues, plus an additional small adjustment factor. If Test 3 is used in any year, the difference between Test 3 and Test 2 will become a “credit” to schools which will be paid in future years when State general fund revenue growth exceeds personal income growth.

Proposition 39

On November 7, 2000, California voters approved an amendment (commonly known as Proposition 39) to the California Constitution. Proposition 39 is an initiated Constitutional amendment that (1) allows school facilities bond measures to be approved by 55% (rather than two-thirds) of the voters in local elections and permits property taxes to exceed the current 1% limit in order to repay the bonds and (2) changes existing statutory law regarding charter school facilities. As adopted, the constitutional amendments may be changed only with another Statewide vote of the people. The statutory provisions could be changed by a majority vote of both houses of the Legislature and approval by the Governor, but only to further the purposes of the proposition. The local school jurisdictions affected by this proposition are K-12 school districts, including the District, community college districts, and county offices of education. As noted above, the California Constitution previously limited property taxes to 1 percent of the value of property, such that property taxes could only exceed this limit to pay for (1) any local government debts approved by the voters prior to July 1, 1978 or (2) bonds to acquire or improve real property that receive two-thirds voter approval after July 1, 1978.

The 55% vote requirement authorized by Proposition 39 applies only if the local bond measure presented to the voters includes: (1) a requirement that the bond funds can be used only for construction, rehabilitation, equipping of school facilities, or the acquisition or lease of real property for school facilities; (2) a specific list of school projects to be funded and certification that the school board has

evaluated safety, class size reduction, and information technology needs in developing the list; and (3) a requirement that the school board conduct annual, independent financial and performance audits until all bond funds have been spent to ensure that the bond funds have been used only for the projects listed in the measure. Legislation approved in June 2000 places certain limitations on local school bonds to be approved by 55% of the voters. These provisions require that such bonds may be issued only if the tax rate per \$100,000 of taxable property value projected to be levied as the result of any single election would not exceed \$60 (for a unified school district), \$30 (for a high school or elementary school district), or \$25 (for a community college district), when assessed valuation is projected to increase in accordance with Article XIII A of the State Constitution. These requirements are not part of Proposition 39 and can be changed with a majority vote of both houses of the Legislature and approval by the Governor.

Proposition 1A and Proposition 22

On November 2, 2004, California voters approved Proposition 1A, which amends the State constitution to significantly reduce the State's authority over major local government revenue sources. Under Proposition 1A, the State cannot (i) reduce local sales tax rates or alter the method of allocating the revenue generated by such taxes, (ii) shift property taxes from local governments to schools or community colleges, (iii) change how property tax revenues are shared among local governments without two-third approval of both houses of the State Legislature or (iv) decrease Vehicle License Fee revenues without providing local governments with equal replacement funding. Proposition 1A does allow the State to approve voluntary exchanges of local sales tax and property tax revenues among local governments within a county. Proposition 1A also amends the State Constitution to require the State to suspend certain State laws creating mandates in any year that the State does not fully reimburse local governments for their costs to comply with the mandates. This provision does not apply to mandates relating to schools or community colleges or to those mandates relating to employee rights.

Proposition 22, The Local Taxpayer, Public Safety, and Transportation Protection Act, approved by the voters of the State on November 2, 2010, prohibits the State from enacting new laws that require redevelopment agencies to shift funds to schools or other agencies and eliminates the State's authority to shift property taxes temporarily during a severe financial hardship of the State. In addition, Proposition 22 restricts the State's authority to use State fuel tax revenues to pay debt service on state transportation bonds, to borrow or change the distribution of state fuel tax revenues, and to use vehicle license fee revenues to reimburse local governments for state mandated costs. Proposition 22 impacts resources in the State's general fund and transportation funds, the State's main funding source for schools and community colleges, as well as universities, prisons and health and social services programs. According to an analysis of Proposition 22 submitted by the Legislative Analyst's Office (the "LAO") on July 15, 2010, the expected reduction in resources available for the State to spend on these other programs as a consequence of the passage of Proposition 22 was expected to be approximately \$1 billion in fiscal year 2010-11, with an estimated immediate fiscal effect equal to approximately 1 percent of the State's total general fund spending. The longer-term effect of Proposition 22, according to the LAO analysis, was projected to be an increase in the State's general fund costs by approximately \$1 billion annually for several decades. See also "DISTRICT FINANCIAL INFORMATION – Dissolution of Redevelopment Agencies" herein.

Proposition 55

The California Children's Education and Health Care Protection Act of 2016 (also known as "Proposition 55") is a constitutional amendment approved by the voters of the State on November 8, 2016. Proposition 55 extends, through 2030, the increases to personal income tax rates for high-income taxpayers that were approved as part of Temporary Taxes to Fund Education, Guaranteed Local Public Safety Funding, Initiative Constitutional Amendment (also known as "Proposition 30"). Proposition 30

increased the marginal personal income tax rate by: (i) 1% for taxable income over \$250,000 but less than \$300,001 for single filers (over \$500,000 but less than \$600,001 for joint filers and over \$340,000 but less than \$408,001 for head-of-household filers), (ii) 2% for taxable income over \$300,000 but less than \$500,001 for single filers (over \$600,000 but less than \$1,000,001 for joint filers and over \$408,000 but less than \$680,001 for head-of-household filers), and (iii) 3% for taxable income over \$500,000 for single filers (over \$1,000,000 for joint filers and over \$680,000 for head-of-household filers).

The revenues generated from the personal income tax increases will be included in the calculation of the Proposition 98 Minimum Funding Guarantee (defined herein) for school districts and community college districts. See “CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS AFFECTING DISTRICT REVENUES AND APPROPRIATIONS – Propositions 98 and 111” herein. From an accounting perspective, the revenues generated from the personal income tax increases are being deposited into the State account created pursuant to Proposition 30 called the Education Protection Account (the “EPA”). Pursuant to Proposition 30, funds in the EPA will be allocated quarterly, with 89% of such funds provided to schools districts and 11% provided to community college districts. The funds will be distributed to school districts and community college districts in the same manner as existing unrestricted per-student funding, except that no school district will receive less than \$200 per unit of ADA and no community college district will receive less than \$100 per full time equivalent student. The governing board of each school district and community college district is granted sole authority to determine how the moneys received from the EPA are spent, provided that the appropriate governing board is required to make these spending determinations in open session at a public meeting and such local governing board is prohibited from using any funds from the EPA for salaries or benefits of administrators or any other administrative costs.

Jarvis vs. Connell

On May 29, 2002, the California Court of Appeal for the Second District decided the case of *Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association, et al. v. Kathleen Connell* (as Controller of the State of California). The Court of Appeal held that either a final budget bill, an emergency appropriation, a self-executing authorization pursuant to state statutes (such as continuing appropriations) or the California Constitution or a federal mandate is necessary for the State Controller to disburse funds. The foregoing requirement could apply to amounts budgeted by the District as being received from the State. To the extent the holding in such case would apply to State payments reflected in the District’s budget, the requirement that there be either a final budget bill or an emergency appropriation may result in the delay of such payments to the District if such required legislative action is delayed, unless the payments are self-executing authorizations or are subject to a federal mandate. On May 1, 2003, the California Supreme Court upheld the holding of the Court of Appeal, stating that the Controller is not authorized under State law to disburse funds prior to the enactment of a budget or other proper appropriation, but under federal law, the Controller is required, notwithstanding a budget impasse and the limitations imposed by State law, to timely pay those State employees who are subject to the minimum wage and overtime compensation provisions of the federal Fair Labor Standards Act.

Proposition 2

On November 4, 2014, voters approved the Rainy Day Budget Stabilization Fund Act (also known as “Proposition 2”). Proposition 2 is a legislatively-referred constitutional amendment which makes certain changes to State budgeting practices, including substantially revising the conditions under which transfers are made to and from the State’s Budget Stabilization Account (the “BSA”) established by the California Balanced Budget Act of 2004 (also known as Proposition 58).

Under Proposition 2, and beginning in fiscal year 2015-16 and each fiscal year thereafter, the State will generally be required to annually transfer to the BSA an amount equal to 1.5% of estimated State general fund revenues (the “Annual BSA Transfer”). Supplemental transfers to the BSA (a “Supplemental BSA Transfer”) are also required in any fiscal year in which the estimated State general fund revenues that are allocable to capital gains taxes exceed 8% of total estimated general fund tax revenues. Such excess capital gains taxes—net of any portion thereof owed to K-14 school districts pursuant to Proposition 98—will be transferred to the BSA. Proposition 2 also increases the maximum size of the BSA to an amount equal to 10% of estimated State general fund revenues for any given fiscal year. In any fiscal year in which a required transfer to the BSA would result in an amount in excess of the 10% threshold, Proposition 2 requires such excess to be expended on State infrastructure, including deferred maintenance.

For the first 15-year period ending with the 2029-30 fiscal year, Proposition 2 provides that half of any required transfer to the BSA, either annual or supplemental, must be appropriated to reduce certain State liabilities, including making certain payments owed to K-14 school districts, repaying State interfund borrowing, reimbursing local governments for State mandated services, and reducing or prefunding accrued liabilities associated with State-level pension and retirement benefits. Following the initial 15-year period, the Governor and the Legislature are given discretion to apply up to half of any required transfer to the BSA to the reduction of such State liabilities. Any amount not applied towards such reduction must be transferred to the BSA or applied to infrastructure, as described above.

Proposition 2 changes the conditions under which the Governor and the Legislature may draw upon or reduce transfers to the BSA. The Governor does not retain unilateral discretion to suspend transfers to the BSA, nor does the Legislature retain discretion to transfer funds from the BSA for any reason, as previously provided by law. Rather, the Governor must declare a “budget emergency,” defined as an emergency within the meaning of Article XIII B of the Constitution or a determination that estimated resources are inadequate to fund State general fund expenditures, for the current or ensuing fiscal year, at a level equal to the highest level of State spending within the three immediately preceding fiscal years. Any such declaration must be followed by a legislative bill providing for a reduction or transfer. Draws on the BSA are limited to the amount necessary to address the budget emergency, and no draw in any fiscal year may exceed 50% of funds on deposit in the BSA unless a budget emergency was declared in the preceding fiscal year.

Proposition 2 also requires the creation of the Public School System Stabilization Account (the “PSSSA”) into which transfers will be made in any fiscal year in which a Supplemental BSA Transfer is required (as described above). Such transfer will be equal to the portion of capital gains taxes above the 8% threshold that would otherwise be paid to K-14 school districts as part of the minimum funding guarantee. A transfer to the PSSSA will only be made if certain additional conditions are met, as follows: (i) the minimum funding guarantee was not suspended in the immediately preceding fiscal year, (ii) the operative Proposition 98 formula for the fiscal year in which a PSSSA transfer might be made is “Test 1,” (iii) no maintenance factor obligation is being created in the budgetary legislation for the fiscal year in which a PSSSA transfer might be made, (iv) all prior maintenance factor obligations have been fully repaid, and (v) the minimum funding guarantee for the fiscal year in which a PSSSA transfer might be made is higher than the immediately preceding fiscal year, as adjusted for ADA growth and cost of living. Proposition 2 caps the size of the PSSSA at 10% of the estimated minimum guarantee in any fiscal year, and any excess funds must be paid to K-14 school districts. Reductions to any required transfer to the PSSSA, or draws on the PSSSA, are subject to the same budget emergency requirements described above. However, Proposition 2 also mandates draws on the PSSSA in any fiscal year in which the estimated minimum funding guarantee is less than the prior year’s funding level, as adjusted for ADA growth and cost of living.

SB 858. Senate Bill 858 (“SB 858”) became effective upon the passage of Proposition 2. SB 858 includes provisions which could limit the amount of reserves that may be maintained by a school district in certain circumstances. Under SB 858, in any fiscal year immediately following a fiscal year in which the State has made a transfer into the PSSSA, any adopted or revised budget by a school district would need to contain a combined unassigned and assigned ending fund balance that (a) for school districts with an A.D.A. of less than 400,000, is not more than two times the amount of the reserve for economic uncertainties mandated by the California Education Code (the “Education Code”), or (b) for school districts with an ADA that is more than 400,000, is not more than three times the amount of the reserve for economic uncertainties mandated by the Education Code. In certain cases, the county superintendent of schools may grant a school district a waiver from this limitation on reserves for up to two consecutive years within a three-year period if there are certain extraordinary fiscal circumstances.

The District, which has an ADA of less than 400,000, is required to maintain a reserve for economic uncertainty in an amount equal to 3% of its general fund expenditures and other financing uses.

SB 751. Senate Bill 751 (“SB 751”), enacted on October 11, 2017, alters the reserve requirements imposed by SB 858. Under SB 751, in a fiscal year immediately after a fiscal year in which the amount of moneys in the PSSSA is equal to or exceeds 3% of the combined total general fund revenues appropriated for school districts and allocated local proceeds of taxes for that fiscal year, a school district budget that is adopted or revised cannot have an assigned or unassigned ending fund balance that exceeds 10% of those funds. SB 751 excludes from the requirements of those provisions community supported school districts (also known as “basic aid” districts) and small school districts having fewer than 2,501 units of average daily attendance.

The Bonds are payable from *ad valorem* property taxes to be levied within the District pursuant to the State Constitution and other State law. Accordingly, the District does not expect SB 858 or SB 751 to adversely affect its ability to pay the principal of and interest on the Bonds as and when due.

Future Initiatives

Article XIII A, Article XIII B, Article XIII C and Article XIII D of the State Constitution and Propositions 22, 26, 30, 39, 55 and 98 were each adopted as measures that qualified for the ballot pursuant to the State’s initiative process. From time to time other initiative measures could be adopted further affecting District revenues or the District’s ability to expend revenues. The nature and impact of these measures cannot be anticipated by the District.

DISTRICT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The information in this section concerning the District's general fund finances is provided as supplementary information only, and it should not be inferred from the inclusion of this information in this Official Statement that the principal of or interest on the Bonds is payable from the general fund of the District. The Bonds will be payable solely from the proceeds of an ad valorem property tax which is required to be levied by the County in an amount sufficient for the payment thereof.

State Funding of Education

School district revenues consist primarily of guaranteed State moneys, local property taxes and funds received from the State in the form of categorical aid under ongoing programs of local assistance. All State aid is subject to the appropriation of funds in the State's annual budget.

Revenue Limit Funding. Previously, school districts operated under general purpose revenue limits established by the State Department of Education. In general, revenue limits were calculated for each school district by multiplying the ADA for such district by a base revenue limit per unit of ADA. Revenue limit calculations were subject to adjustment in accordance with a number of factors designed to provide cost of living adjustments ("COLAs") and to equalize revenues among school districts of the same type. Funding of a school district's revenue limit was provided by a mix of local property taxes and State apportionments of basic and equalization aid. Since fiscal year 2013-14, school districts have been funded based on uniform system of funding grants assigned to certain grade spans. See "—Local Control Funding Formula" herein.

Local Control Funding Formula. State Assembly Bill 97 (Stats. 2013, Chapter 47) ("AB 97"), enacted as part of the fiscal year 2013-14 State budget, established the system for funding school districts, charter schools and county offices of education. Certain provisions of AB 97 were amended and clarified by Senate Bill 91 (Stats. 2013, Chapter 49) ("SB 91").

The primary component of AB 97 was the implementation of the Local Control Funding Formula ("LCFF"), which replaced the revenue limit funding system for determining State apportionments, as well as the majority of categorical program funding. State allocations are now provided on the basis of target base funding grants per unit of ADA (a "Base Grant") assigned to each of four grade spans. Each Base Grant is subject to certain adjustments and add-ons, as discussed below. During the implementation period of the LCFF, an annual transition adjustment was calculated for each school district, equal to such district's proportionate share of appropriations included in the State budget to close the gap between the prior-year funding level and the target allocation following full implementation of the LCFF. In each year, school districts had the same proportion of their respective funding gaps closed, with dollar amounts varying depending on the size of a district's funding gap.

The Base Grants per unit of ADA for each grade span are as follows: (i) \$6,845 for grades K-3; (ii) \$6,947 for grades 4-6; (iii) \$7,154 for grades 7-8; and (iv) \$8,289 for grades 9-12. During the implementation period of the LCFF, Base Grants were required to be adjusted annually for COLAs by applying the implicit price deflator for government goods and services. The provision of COLAs is now subject to appropriation for such adjustment in the annual State budget. The differences among Base Grants are linked to differentials in statewide average revenue limit rates by district type, and are intended to recognize the generally higher costs of education at higher grade levels. See also "—State Budget Measures" for information on the adjusted Base Grants provided by current budgetary legislation.

The Base Grants for grades K-3 and 9-12 are subject to adjustments of 10.4% and 2.6%, respectively, to cover the costs of class size reduction in early grades and the provision of career technical

education in high schools. Unless otherwise collectively bargained for, school districts serving students in grades K-3 must maintain an average class enrollment of 24 or fewer students in grades K-3 at each school site in order to continue receiving the adjustment to the K-3 Base Grant. Such school districts must also make progress towards this class size reduction goal in proportion to the growth in their funding over the implementation period. AB 97 also provides additional add-ons to school districts that received categorical block grant funding pursuant to the Targeted Instructional Improvement and Home-to-School Transportation programs prior to the implementation of the LCFF.

School districts that serve students of limited English proficiency (“EL” students), students from low income families that are eligible for free or reduced priced meals (“LI” students) and foster youth are eligible to receive additional funding grants. Enrollment counts are unduplicated, such that students may not be counted as both EL and LI (foster youth automatically meet the eligibility requirements for free or reduced priced meals). AB 97 authorizes a supplemental grant add-on (each, a “Supplemental Grant”) is authorized for school districts that serve EL/LI students, equal to 20% of the applicable Base Grant multiplied by such district’s percentage of unduplicated EL/LI student enrollment. School districts whose EL/LI populations exceed 55% of their total enrollment are eligible for a concentration grant add-on (each, a “Concentration Grant”) equal to 50% of the applicable Base Grant multiplied by the percentage of such district’s unduplicated EL/LI student enrollment in excess of the 55% threshold.

[REMAINDER OF PAGE LEFT BLANK]

The following table shows a breakdown of the District’s ADA by grade span, total enrollment, and the percentage of EL/LI student enrollment for fiscal years 2013-14 through 2019-20, and projected amounts for fiscal year 2020-21. See also “—Considerations Regarding COVID-19” herein.

ADA, ENROLLMENT AND EL/LI ENROLLMENT PERCENTAGE
Fiscal Years 2013-14 through 2020-21
Mountain View Whisman School District

Fiscal Year	Average Daily Attendance⁽¹⁾			Enrollment		
	K-3	4-6	7-8	Total ADA	Total Enrollment⁽²⁾	% of EL/LI Enrollment
2013-14	2,424	1,531	914	4,869	5,052	51.0%
2014-15	2,401	1,607	872	4,883	5,069	49.1
2015-16	2,383	1,637	903	4,923	5,084	51.0
2016-17	2,354	1,625	964	4,943	5,125	49.1
2017-18	2,375	1,594	1,000	4,969	5,132	47.8
2018-19	2,394	1,540	1,006	4,940	5,107	44.9
2019-20 ⁽³⁾						
2020-21 ⁽⁴⁾						

⁽¹⁾ Except for fiscal year 2020-21, reflects ADA as of the second principal reporting period (P-2 ADA), ending on or before the last attendance month prior to April 15 of each school year. An attendance month is equal to each four-week period of instruction beginning with the first day of school for a particular school district. Excludes students enrolled in the Charter School. See “MOUNTAIN VIEW WHISMAN SCHOOL DISTRICT - Charter School” herein.

⁽²⁾ Reflects certified enrollment as of the fall census day (the first Wednesday in October), which is reported to the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (“CALPADS”) in each school year and used to calculate each school district’s unduplicated EL/LI student enrollment. Adjustments may be made to the certified EL/LI counts by the State Department of Education. CALPADS figures generally exclude preschool and adult transitional students. For purposes of calculating Supplemental and Concentration Grants, a school district’s fiscal year 2013-14 percentage of unduplicated EL/LI students is expressed solely as a percentage of its fiscal year 2013-14 total enrollment. For fiscal year 2014-15, the percentage of unduplicated EL/LI enrollment is based on the two-year average of EL/LI enrollment in fiscal years 2013-14 and 2014-15. Beginning in fiscal year 2015-16, a school district’s percentage of unduplicated EL/LI students is based on a rolling average of such district’s EL/LI enrollment for the then-current fiscal year and the two immediately preceding fiscal years. Excludes students enrolled in the Charter School. See “MOUNTAIN VIEW WHISMAN SCHOOL DISTRICT - Charter School” herein.

⁽³⁾ For the 2019-20 school year, due to the outbreak of COVID-19, P-2 ADA only reflects full school months from July 1, 2019 through February 29, 2020. See “-Considerations Regarding COVID-19” herein

⁽⁴⁾ Projected.

Source: Mountain View Whisman School District.

For certain school districts that would have received greater funding levels under the prior revenue limit system, the LCFF provides for a permanent economic recovery target (“ERT”) add-on, equal to the difference between the revenue limit allocations such districts would have received under the prior system in fiscal year 2020-21, and the target LCFF allocations owed to such districts in the same year. To derive the projected funding levels, the LCFF assumes the discontinuance of deficit revenue limit funding, implementation of COLAs in fiscal years 2014-15 through 2020-21, and restoration of categorical funding to pre-recession levels. The ERT add-on will be paid incrementally over the implementation period of the LCFF. The District does not qualify for the ERT add-on.

The sum of a school district’s adjusted Base, Supplemental and Concentration Grants will be multiplied by such district’s P-2 ADA for the current or prior year, whichever is greater (with certain adjustments applicable to small school districts). This funding amount, together with any applicable ERT or categorical block grant add-ons, yields a district’s total LCFF allocation. Generally, the amount of

annual State apportionments received by a school district will amount to the difference between such total LCFF allocation and such district's share of applicable local property taxes. Most school districts receive a significant portion of their funding from such State apportionments. As a result, decreases in State revenues may significantly affect appropriations made by the State Legislature to school districts.

Community Supported District. Certain schools districts, known as "Basic Aid" or "community supported" districts, have allocable local property tax collections that equal or exceed such districts' total LCFF allocation, and result in the receipt of no State apportionment aid. Community supported school districts receive only special categorical funding, which is deemed to satisfy the "basic aid" requirement of \$120 per student per year guaranteed by Article IX, Section 6 of the State Constitution. The implication for community supported districts is that the legislatively determined allocations to school districts, and other politically determined factors, are less significant in determining their primary funding sources. Rather, property tax growth and the local economy are the primary determinants. The District has qualified as a community supported district for the past ten fiscal years. For fiscal year 2019-20, the District's estimates the local property tax receipts exceeded the District's total LCFF allocation by approximately \$_____, and the District projects that local property tax receipts will exceed the District's total LCFF allocation by approximately \$_____ million in fiscal year 2020-21.

Accountability. Regulations adopted by the State Board of Education require that school districts increase or improve services for EL/LI students in proportion to the increase in funds apportioned to such districts on the basis of the number and concentration of such EL/LI students, and detail the conditions under which school districts can use supplemental or concentration funding on a school-wide or district-wide basis.

School districts are also required to adopt local control and accountability plans ("LCAPs") disclosing annual goals for all students, as well as certain numerically significant student subgroups, to be achieved in eight areas of State priority identified by the LCFF. LCAPs may also specify additional local priorities. LCAPs must specify the actions to be taken to achieve each goal, including actions to correct identified deficiencies with regard to areas of State priority. LCAPs covering a three-year period were required to be adopted beginning in fiscal year 2014-15, and updated annually thereafter. The State Board of Education has adopted a template LCAP for use by school districts.

Support and Intervention. AB 97, as amended by SB 91, established a new system of support and intervention to assist school districts meet the performance expectations outlined in their respective LCAPs. School districts must adopt their LCAPs (or annual updates thereto) in tandem with their annual operating budgets, and not later than five days thereafter submit such LCAPs or updates to their respective county superintendents of schools. On or before August 15 of each year, a county superintendent may seek clarification regarding the contents of a district's LCAP (or annual update thereto), and the district is required to respond to such a request within 15 days. Within 15 days of receiving such a response, the county superintendent can submit non-binding recommendations for amending the LCAP or annual update, and such recommendations must be considered by the respective school district at a public hearing within 15 days. A district's LCAP or annual update must be approved by the county superintendent by October 8 of each year if the superintendent determines that (i) the LCAP or annual update adheres to the State template, and (ii) the district's budgeted expenditures are sufficient to implement the actions and strategies outlined in the LCAP.

A school district is required to receive additional support if its respective LCAP or annual update thereto is not approved, if the district requests technical assistance from its respective county superintendent, or if the district does not improve student achievement across more than one State priority for one or more student subgroups. Such support can include a review of a district's strengths and weaknesses in the eight State priority areas, or the assignment of an academic expert to assist the district

identify and implement programs designed to improve outcomes. Assistance may be provided by the California Collaborative for Educational Excellence, a State agency created by the LCFF and charged with assisting school districts to achieve the goals set forth in their LCAPs. The State Board of Education has developed rubrics to assess school district performance and the need for support and intervention.

The State Superintendent of Public Instruction (the “State Superintendent”) is further authorized, with the approval of the State Board of Education, to intervene in the management of persistently underperforming school districts. The State Superintendent may intervene directly or assign an academic trustee to act on his or her behalf. In so doing, the State Superintendent is authorized to (i) modify a district’s LCAP, (ii) impose budget revisions designed to improve student outcomes, and (iii) stay or rescind actions of the local governing board that would prevent such district from improving student outcomes; provided, however, that the State Superintendent is not authorized to rescind an action required by a local collective bargaining agreement.

Other State Sources. In addition to State allocations determined pursuant to the LCFF, the District receives other State revenues consisting primarily of restricted revenues designed to implement State mandated programs. Beginning in fiscal year 2013-14, categorical spending restrictions associated with a majority of State mandated programs were eliminated, and funding for these programs was folded into the LCFF. Categorical funding for certain programs was excluded from the LCFF, and school districts will continue to receive restricted State revenues to fund these programs.

Other Revenue Sources

Federal and Local Sources. The federal government provides funding for several school district programs, including specialized programs such as Every Student Succeeds Act, special education programs, and specialized programs such as Drug Free Schools, Innovative Strategies, and Vocational & Applied Technology. In addition, the District receives additional local revenues beyond local property tax collections, such as leases and rentals, interest earnings, interagency services, developer fees and other local sources. With respect to the District, certain of these are discussed below.

Leases. The District has entered into lease agreements with various lessees for use and possession of certain surplus District facilities. None of the agreements contain purchase options. The District expects to receive approximately \$5.2 million annually from such leases through fiscal year 2020-21. [TO BE UPDATED].

Shoreline Agreement. The District has entered into an agreement dated as of June 20, 2013 (the “Shoreline Agreement”) with the Mountain View-Los Altos Union High School District (the “High School District”) and the Mountain View Shoreline Regional Park Community (the “Shoreline Community”) to create a joint powers authority under Government Code Sections 6502 *et seq.* for the purpose of distributing to the District and the High School District certain tax-increment revenues received by the Shoreline Community.

The Shoreline Community is a public body created by the Shoreline Regional Park Community Act of 1969 (the “Shoreline Act”) for the purpose of developing bayfront lands within the City of Mountain View, including the construction and rehabilitation of necessary infrastructure and the construction and operation of a regional park. The assessed value of property within the Shoreline Community was frozen after its creation, and, pursuant to the Shoreline Act, all subsequent tax increment generated by increases to assessed valuation has been directed back to the Shoreline Community in order to achieve its purposes. The Shoreline Community is not a redevelopment agency for purposes of California law.

Pursuant to prior agreements, the Shoreline Community has distributed tax increment revenues to the District and the High School District. Under the Shoreline Agreement, the amount of revenues distributed to the District was increased to a minimum of \$2,874,000 beginning in fiscal year 2013-14 (the “Minimum Payment”). In subsequent fiscal years, the Minimum Payment is subject to adjustment based on the percentage change in property tax revenues received by the Shoreline Community. The joint powers authority created under the Shoreline Agreements administers payments made to the District and the High School District, and each district is required to use such funds for expenditures consistent with the goals of the joint powers authority. All payments received by the District pursuant to the Shoreline Agreement are accounted for within the its general fund, and do not count as a local property tax offset to the State-paid portion of the District’s LCFF allocation.

The Shoreline Agreement runs through June 30, 2023. All payments made by the Shoreline Community to the District and the High School District are made expressly subordinate to the payment of any existing or future debt obligations issued by the Shoreline Community (as of June 30, 2020, the Shoreline Community had approximately \$___ million of outstanding tax allocation bonds, revenue bonds and loans). Payments may also be suspended as a result of any financial, environmental or natural or other disaster which prevents the Shoreline Community from making payments to the districts.

Developer Fees. The District collects developer fees to finance essential school facilities within the District. Residential development is assessed a fee of \$___ per square foot, while commercial development is assessed a fee of \$___ per square foot. The following table of developer fee revenues reflects the collection of fees since fiscal year 2011-12.

DEVELOPER FEES
Fiscal Years 2011-12 through 2020-21
Mountain View Whisman School District

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Amount</u>
2011-12	\$677,998
2012-13	250,000
2013-14	1,746,110
2014-15	1,698,768
2015-16	854,374
2016-17	2,518,612
2017-18	1,711,184
2018-19	693,612
2019-20	
2020-21 ^{o)}	

^{o)} Projected.
Source: Mountain View Whisman School District.

Considerations Regarding COVID-19

An outbreak of disease or similar public health threat, such as the current coronavirus (“COVID-19”) outbreak, or fear of such an event, could have an adverse impact on the District’s financial condition and operating results.

The spread of COVID-19 is having significant negative impacts throughout the world, including in the District. The World Health Organization has declared the COVID-19 outbreak to be a pandemic, and states of emergency have been declared by the State and the United States. The purpose behind these declarations are to coordinate and formalize emergency actions and across federal, State and local governmental agencies, and to proactively prepare for a wider spread of the virus. On March 27, 2020 the

Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act (the “CARES Act”) was signed by the President of the United States. The CARES Act appropriates over \$2 trillion to, among other things, (i) provide cash payments to individuals, (ii) expand unemployment assistance and eligibility, (iii) provide emergency grants and loans for small businesses, (iv) provide loans and other assistance to corporations, including the airline industry, (v) provide funding for hospitals and community health centers, (vi) expand funding for safety net programs, including child nutrition programs, and (vii) provide aid to state and local governments. On December 27, 2020, the President of the United States signed the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021, which includes approximately \$900 billion worth of provisions for additional COVID-related relief, including extension of or additional funding for various relief programs implemented by the CARES Act. The Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021 provides approximately \$82 billion of COVID-19 related relief for education, including \$54.3 billion for K-12 schools (largely through Title I funding), \$22.7 billion for higher education and \$4 billion for state governors to spend at their discretion. See also “—State Budget Measures” herein.

State law allows school districts to apply for a waiver to hold them harmless from the loss of LCFF funding based on attendance and state instructional time penalties when they are forced to close schools due to emergency conditions. In addition, the Governor has enacted Executive Order N-26-20 (“Executive Order N-26-20”), which (i) generally streamlines the process of applying for such waivers for closures related to COVID-19 and (ii) directs school districts to use LCFF apportionment to fund distance learning and high quality educational opportunities, provide school meals and, as practicable, arrange for the supervision of students during school hours.

On March 17, 2020, Senate Bill 89 (“SB 89”) and Senate Bill 117 (“SB 117”) were signed by the Governor, both of which took effect immediately. SB 89 amends the Budget Act of 2019 by appropriating \$500,000,000 from the State general fund for any purpose related to the Governor’s March 4, 2020 emergency proclamation. SB 117, among other things, (i) specifies that for school districts that comply with Executive Order N-26-20, the ADA reported to the State Department of Education for the second period and the annual period for apportionment purposes for the 2019-20 school year only includes all full school months from July 1, 2019 through February 29, 2020, (ii) prevents the loss of funding related to an instructional time penalty because of a school closed due to the COVID-19 by deeming the instructional days and minutes requirements to have been met during the period of time the school was closed due to COVID-19, (iii) requires a school district to be credited with the ADA it would have received had it been able to operate its After School Education and Safety Program during the time the school was closed due to COVID-19, and (iv) appropriates \$100,000,000 from the State general fund to the State Superintendent to be apportioned to certain local educational agencies for purposes of purchasing personal protective equipment, or paying for supplies and labor related to cleaning school sites.

[DESCRIPTION OF COVID RELIEF FUNDS RECEIVED BY THE DISTRICT TO COME].

On March 19, 2020, the Governor ordered all California residents to stay home or at their place of residence to protect the general health and well-being, except as needed to maintain continuity of 16 critical infrastructure sectors described therein (the “Stay Home Order”). The District’s schools are currently closed for in-person instruction, the District has extended this closure through the end of the current school year, and the District has implemented distance learning programs for its students during such period of closure.

To date there have been a number of confirmed cases of COVID-19 in the County and health officials are expecting the number of confirmed cases to grow. The COVID-19 outbreak has resulted in the imposition of restrictions on mass gatherings and widespread temporary closings of businesses, universities and schools (including the District’s schools). The U.S. is restricting certain non-US citizens

and permanent residents from entering the country. In addition, stock markets in the U.S. and globally have been volatile, with significant declines attributed to coronavirus concerns.

On May 4, 2020, the Governor enacted Executive Order N-60-20 (“Executive Order N-60-20”), which directs the State Public Health Officer to establish criteria to determine whether and how particular local jurisdictions may implement public health measures that are less restrictive than statewide directives, as the State transitions from Stage 1 to Stage 2, and then Stage 3 of reopening. The order provided that stages would be phased in gradually, and counties which met readiness criteria and worked with the State Department of Public Health could open more public spaces and workplaces, as outlined by the State, with variances allowed by county. Pursuant to Executive Order N-60-20, local jurisdictions may issue their own public health measures to slow the spread of COVID-19.

On June 29, 2020, Senate Bill 98 (“SB 98”), the education omnibus bill to the 2020-21 State Budget, was signed by the Governor, which takes effect immediately. SB 98 provides that distance learning may be offered by a school district during the 2020-21 academic year on a local educational agency or schoolwide level as a result of an order or guidance from a State public health officer or a local public health officer or for pupils who are medically fragile or would be put at risk by in-person instruction, or who are self-quarantining because of exposure to COVID-19. SB 98 provides requirements for distance learning, including, but not limited to: (i) confirmation or provision of access for all pupils to connectivity and devices adequate to participate in the educational program and complete assigned work, (ii) content aligned to grade level standards that is provided at a level of quality and intellectual challenge substantially equivalent to in-person instruction, (iii) support for pupils who are not performing at grade level or need support in other areas, (iv) special education services, (v) designated and integrated instruction in English language development for English learners, and (vi) daily live interaction with certificated employees and peers. For additional information about the provisions of SB 98, see “—State Budget Measures – 2020-21 State Budget” herein.

On August 28, 2020, the Governor released a new system, Blueprint for a Safer California (the “Blueprint”), which places the State’s 58 counties into four color-coded tiers – purple, red, orange and yellow, in descending order of severity – based on the number of new daily cases of COVID-19 and the percentage of positive tests, and establishes criteria for loosening and tightening restrictions on activities. Counties must spend at least three weeks in each tier before advancing to the next one. Schools can reopen for limited in-person instruction with local health official approval in counties that have been in the red tier (a daily new case of 4 to 7 per 100,000 people and 5-8% of positive tests) or a lower tier for two weeks. Counties in the purple tier can reopen elementary schools if the local health department provides a waiver. Schools that are not authorized to reopen, including TK-6 schools that have not received a waiver, may provide structured, in-person supervision and services to students under the State’s guidance for small cohorts/groups of children and youth. The County is currently assigned to the purple tier, and in-person instruction is not permitted.

On November 19, 2020, the California Department of Public Health issued a limited Stay at Home order, effective November 21, 2020 for those counties under Tier One (Purple) of the Blueprint, requiring that all gatherings with members of other households and all activities conducted outside the residence, lodging, or temporary accommodation with members of other households cease between 10:00 p.m. PST and 5:00 a.m. PST, except for those activities associated with the operation, maintenance, or usage of critical infrastructure or required by law.

On December 3, 2020, the California Department of Public Health announced a Regional Stay at Home Order (the “Regional Stay at Home Order”), and a supplemental order, signed December 6, 2020, which divides the State into four regions (Norther California, Bay Area, Greater Sacramento, San Joaquin Valley, and Southern California), which will go into effect at 11:59 PM the day after a region has been

announced to have less than 15% ICU availability. The supplemental order clarifies retail operations and goes into effect immediately. The orders prohibit private gatherings of any size, close sector operations except for critical infrastructure and retail, and require 100% masking and physical distancing in all others. Guidance related to schools remains in effect and unchanged. Schools that have reopened for in-person instruction may remain open, and schools may continue to bring students back for in-person instruction under the existing elementary school waiver process or cohort guidance provided by the California Department of Public Health. The Regional Stay at Home Order went into effect in the County on _____.

Since the County is currently assigned to the purple tier, the District is currently in an all distance learning environment. The District may not reopen for in-person learning until such time as the County is out of the purple tier for two weeks, except as otherwise described above. The District will continue to evaluate the State's school reopening guidelines and will consult with local health officials and the State's school reopening guidelines in implementing the District's plans for the current and coming academic year.

Other potential impacts to the District associated with the COVID-19 outbreak include, but are not limited to, increasing costs and challenges relating to establishing distance learning programs or other measures to permit instruction while schools remain closed, disruption of the regional and local economy with corresponding decreases in tax revenues, including property tax revenue, sales tax revenue and other revenues, increases in tax delinquencies, potential declines in property values, and decreases in new home sales, and real estate development. The economic consequences and the volatility in the U.S. and global stock markets resulting from the spread of COVID-19, and responses thereto by local, State, and the federal governments, could have a material impact on the investments in the State pension trusts, which could materially increase the unfunded actuarial accrued liability of the STRS Defined Benefit Program and PERS Schools Pool, which, in turn, could result in material changes to the District's required contribution rates in future fiscal years. See "MOUNTAIN VIEW WHISMAN SCHOOL DISTRICT – Retirement Programs" herein.

The COVID-19 outbreak is ongoing, and the ultimate geographic spread of the virus, the duration and severity of the outbreak, and the economic and other of actions that may be taken by governmental authorities to contain the outbreak or to treat its impact are uncertain. Additional information with respect to events surround the outbreak of COVID-19 and responses thereto can be found on State and local government websites, including but not limited to: the Governor's office (<http://www.gov.ca.gov>), California Department of Public Health (<https://covid19.ca.gov/>) and the County Department of Health (<https://www.sccgov.org/sites/covid19/Pages/home.aspx>). The District has not incorporated by reference the information on such websites, and the District does not assume any responsibility for the accuracy of the information on such websites.

The ultimate impact of COVID-19 on the District's operations and finances is unknown. There can be no assurances that the spread of COVID-19, or the responses thereto by local, State, or the federal government, will not materially adversely impact the local, state and national economies or the assessed valuation of property within the District, or adversely impact enrollment or ADA within the District and, notwithstanding SB 117 or the Blueprint, materially adversely impact the financial condition or operations of the District. See also "TAX BASE FOR REPAYMENT OF THE BONDS –Assessed Valuations" herein.

State Budget Measures

The following information concerning the State's budgets has been obtained from publicly available information which the District believes to be reliable; however, the District does not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of this information and has not independently verified such information. Furthermore, it should not be inferred from the inclusion of this information herein that the principal of or interest on the Bonds is payable from the general fund of the District. The Bonds are payable solely from the proceeds of an ad valorem property tax required to be levied by the County in an amount sufficient for the payment thereof.

2020-21 State Budget. On June 29, 2020, the Governor signed into law the State budget for fiscal year 2020-21 (the "2020-21 Budget"). The following information is drawn from the DOF's and LAO's summaries of the 2020-21 Budget.

As with the Governor's May revision (the "May Revision") to the proposed State budget, the 2020-21 Budget acknowledged that the rapid onset of COVID-19 had an immediate and severe impact on the State's economy. The ensuing recession caused significant job losses, precipitous drops in family and business income, and exacerbated inequality. The 2020-21 Budget included a number of measures intended to address a projected deficit of \$54.3 billion identified by the May Revision, and occasioned principally by declines in the State's three main tax revenues (personal income, sales and use, and corporate). The measures included in the 2020-21 Budget, and described below, were intended to close this deficit and set aside \$2.6 billion in the State's traditional general fund reserve, including \$716 million for the State to respond to the changing conditions of the COVID-19 pandemic:

- *Draw Down of Reserves* – The 2020-21 Budget drew down \$8.8 billion in total State reserves, including \$7.8 billion from the BSA, \$450 million from the Safety Net Reserve and all funds in the PSSSA.
- *Triggers* – The 2020-21 Budget included \$11.1 billion in reductions and deferrals that would have been restored if at least \$14 billion in federal funds were received by October 15, 2020. If the State had received less than this amount, reductions and deferrals were to be partially restored. The triggers included \$6.6 billion in deferred spending on education, \$970 million in funding for the California State University and University of California systems, \$2.8 billion in State employee compensation and \$150 million for courts, as well as funding for various other State programs. The triggers would also have funded an additional \$250 million for county programs to backfill revenue losses. Such federal funds, however, were not received by the October 15 date identified in the 2020-21 Budget. The District can make no representation as to whether such federal funds will be received or in what amount. See "—Future Actions and Events" herein.
- *Federal Funds* – The 2020-21 Budget relied on \$10.1 billion in federal funds allocated to the State, including \$8.1 billion of which had already been received as of the passage of the 2020-21 Budget. This relief included a temporary increase in the federal government's share of Medicaid costs, a portion of the State's Coronavirus Relief Fund allocation pursuant to the CARES Act and federal funds provided for childcare programs.
- *Borrowing/Transfers/Deferrals* – The 2020-21 Budget relied on \$9.3 billion in special fund borrowing and transfers, as well as deferrals to K-14 education discussed further herein. Approximately \$900 million of special fund borrowing was associated with reductions to State employee compensation and was to be subject to the triggers discussed above.

- *Increased Revenues* – The 2020-21 Budget temporarily suspended for three years net operating loss tax deductions for medium and large businesses and limited business tax credits, with an estimated increase in tax revenues of \$4.3 billion in fiscal year 2020-21.
- *Cancelled Expansions, Updated Assumptions and Other Measures* – The 2020-21 Budget included an additional \$10.6 billion of measures, including cancelling multiple programmatic expansions, anticipated governmental efficiencies, higher ongoing revenues above the forecast included in the May Revision, and lower health and human services caseload costs than assumed by the May Revision.

For fiscal year 2019-20, the 2020-21 Budget projected total general fund revenues and transfers of \$137.6 billion and authorized expenditures of \$146.9 billion. The State was projected to end the 2019-20 fiscal year with total available general fund reserves of \$17 billion, including \$16.1 billion in the BSA and \$900 million in the Safety Net Reserve Fund. For fiscal year 2020-21, the 2020-21 Budget projected total general fund revenues and transfers of \$137.7 billion and authorized expenditures of \$133.9 billion. The State was projected to end the 2020-21 fiscal year with total available general fund reserves of \$11.4 billion, including \$2.6 billion in the traditional general fund reserve (of which \$716 million is earmarked for COVID-related responses), \$8.3 billion in the BSA and \$450 million in the Safety Net Reserve Fund.

As a result of the projected reduction of State revenues occasioned by the COVID-19 pandemic, the 2020-21 Budget estimated that the Proposition 98 minimum funding guarantee for fiscal year 2020-21 would be \$70.1 billion, approximately \$10 billion below the revised prior-year funding level. For K-12 school districts, this would have resulted in per-pupil spending in fiscal year 2020-21 of \$10,654, a reduction of \$1,339 from the prior year.

The 2020-21 Budget proposed several measures intended to ameliorate the immediate impact of State revenue declines, and avoid a permanent decline in education funding:

- *Local Control Funding Formula* – The 2020-21 Budget provided for \$1.9 billion in LCFF apportionment deferrals for fiscal year 2019-20. The deferrals increased to \$11 billion in fiscal year 2020-21, which was to result in LCFF funding remaining at 2019-20 levels in both years. The 2020-21 Budget also suspended the statutory COLA in fiscal 2020-21. Of the total deferrals, \$5.8 billion were to be triggered off in fiscal year 2020-21 if sufficient federal funding for this purpose was received. Such federal funds, however, were not received by the October 15 date identified in the 2020-21 Budget. The District can make no representation as to whether such federal funds will be received or in what amount. See “—Future Actions and Events” herein.
- *Learning Loss Mitigation* – The 2020-21 Budget included a one-time investment of \$5.3 billion (\$4.75 billion in CARES Act funding and \$539.9 million in Proposition 98 funding) to local educational agencies to address learning losses related to COVID-19 school closures. Of these funds, \$2.9 billion was to be allocated based on LCFF supplemental and concentration grant allocations, \$1.5 billion based on the number of students with exceptional needs, and \$979.8 million based on total LCFF allocations.
- *Supplemental Appropriations* – The 2020-21 Budget provided for a new, multi-year payment obligation to supplement K-14 education funding. The total obligation would equal approximately \$12.4 billion, and reflected the administration’s estimate of the additional funding K-14 school districts would have received in the absence of COVID-19-related reductions. Under this proposal the State will make annual payments toward this obligation

beginning in fiscal year 2021-22. These payments would equal 1.5% of State general fund revenue. The 2020-21 Budget also increased the share of State general fund revenue required to be spent on K-14 school districts from 38% to 40% by fiscal year 2023-24.

- *CalSTRS/CalPERS* – The 2020-21 Budget redirected \$2.3 billion in funds previously appropriated for prefunding CalSTRS and CalPERS liabilities, and instead applied them to further reduce local educational agency contribution rates for such programs in fiscal years 2020-21 and 2021-22. This reduced CalSTRS employer rates to 16.15% in fiscal year 2020-21 and 16.02% in fiscal year 2021-22. CalPERS employer rates would be reduced to 20.7% in fiscal year 2020-21 and 22.84% in fiscal year 2021-22. See also “MOUNTAIN VIEW WHISMAN SCHOOL DISTRICT – Retirement Programs” herein.
- *Federal Funds* – In addition to the CARES Act funding previously discussed, the 2020-21 Budget appropriated \$1.6 billion in federal Elementary and Secondary School Emergency Relief funds awarded to the State. Of this amount, approximately \$1.5 billion was to be allocated to local educational agencies in proportion to the amount of federal Title I-A funding such agencies receive, to be used for COVID-19 related costs. The remaining amount was to be allocated to state-level activities.
- *Temporary Revenue Increases* – As discussed above, as part of closing the State’s projected deficit, the 2020-21 Budget provided for a temporary revenue increase of approximately \$4.3 billion in fiscal year 2020-21, of which approximately \$1.6 billion counted towards the Proposition 98 funding guarantee.

Other significant features of K-12 education funding in the 2020-21 Budget included the following:

- *Special Education* – The 2020-21 Budget increased special education base rates to \$625 per pupil, and provided \$100 million to increase funding for students with low-incidence disabilities.
- *Average Daily Attendance* – The 2020-21 Budget provided for a hold-harmless for calculating apportionments in fiscal year 2020-21. ADA will be based on the 2019-20 year, except for new charter schools commencing instruction in fiscal year 2020-21. The 2020-21 Budget also provided an exemption for local educational agencies from certain annual minimum instructional minute requirements, and included requirements for distance learning to ensure that, in the absence of in-person instruction, students continue to receive access to quality education.
- *LCAPs* – In April of 2020, the Governor issued an executive order allowing local educational agencies to submit their LCAP (as defined herein) for fiscal year 2020-21 in December, in lieu of the usual July 1 deadline. Recognizing that federal relief funds needed to be expended on an accelerated timeline, and to ensure transparency, the 2020-21 Budget replaced the December LCAP with a Learning Continuity and Attendance Plan to be completed by September 30, 2020. The 2020-21 Budget required the State Superintendent of Public Instruction to develop a template of this plan for use by local educational agencies which included a description of how such agencies would provide continuity of learning during the pandemic, expenditures related to addressing the impacts of the pandemic, and how such agencies increased or improved services in proportion to concentration funding received under the LCFF.

- *Employee Protections* – The 2020-21 Budget suspended school districts’ window to lay off teachers and other non-administrative certificated staff, which typically runs from the time the budget is approved by the State Legislature to August 15. The 2020-21 Budget also suspended layoffs of classified staff working in transportation, nutrition and custodial services from July 1, 2020 through June 30, 2021.

For additional information regarding the 2020-21 Budget, see the DOF website at www.dof.ca.gov and the LAO website at www.lao.ca.gov. However, the information presented on such websites is not incorporated herein by reference.

Proposed Fiscal Year 2021-22 Budget. On January 8, 2021, the Governor released his proposed State budget for fiscal year 2021-22 (the “Proposed 2021-22 Budget”). The information below is drawn from the DOF summary of the Proposed 2021-22 Budget.

The Proposed 2021-22 Budget indicates that, since the adoption of the 2020-21 Budget, the administration’s economic forecast and revenue projections have significantly improved, driven in large part by a rebound in the stock market and an attendant growth in capital gains tax revenues. However, the Proposed 2021-22 Budget acknowledges that the risks to the revenue forecast remain higher than usual, and economic inequality has intensified since the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic. The Proposed 2021-22 Budget acknowledges that the State is currently in the midst of a second and more serious wave of COVID-19 infections, but that federally-approved COVID-19 vaccines are arriving to assist the recovery from the pandemic.

The Proposed 2021-22 Budget indicates that the revenue forecast was finalized prior to the passage of the most recent federal stimulus bill. See “–Considerations Regarding COVID-19” herein. Of the almost \$900 billion in federal funding that was approved, the Proposed 2021-22 Budget identifies approximately \$106 billion allocable to the State, including \$42.4 billion in direct assistance to individuals and families (including \$38.3 billion in unemployment benefits and direct payments), \$2.2 billion for COVID-19 testing, tracing and vaccine distribution, \$700 million for health and mental health services, \$50.1 billion in business and transportation support, and \$10.1 billion for education. The Governor’s May revision to the Proposed 2021-22 Budget will include a revised revenue forecast that will reflect this federal assistance. The Proposed 2021-22 Budget also acknowledges that further federal relief will be critical to assisting individuals and businesses survive and recover from the pandemic.

For fiscal year 2020-21, the Proposed 2021-22 Budget projects total general fund revenues and transfers of \$168.1 billion and expenditures of \$156 billion. The State is projected to end the 2020-21 fiscal year with total available general fund reserves of approximately \$22.7 billion, including \$9 billion in the traditional State reserve, \$12.5 billion in the BSA, \$747 million in the PSSSA and \$450 million in the Safety Net Reserve Fund. For fiscal year 2021-22, the Proposed 2021-22 Budget projects total general fund revenues and transfers of \$170.6 billion and authorizes expenditures of \$164.5 billion. The State is projected to end the 2021-22 fiscal year with total available general fund reserves of approximately \$22 billion, including \$2.9 billion in the traditional general fund reserve, \$15.6 billion in the BSA, \$3 billion in the PSSSA and \$450 million in the Safety Net Reserve Fund. As a result of the projected year-end balance in the PSSSA, school district reserve caps would be triggered in fiscal year 2022-23 under the provisions of SB 858 and SB 751. See also “CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS AFFECTING DISTRICT REVENUES AND APPROPRIATIONS – Proposition 2” herein.

In recognition of the need to address the various impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic, the Proposed 2021-22 Budget includes a package of measures intended to be implemented through legislative action earlier than the traditional State budget timeline. For immediate action in January, this package

includes \$3 billion in direct support for workers and small businesses and \$2 billion to support the re-opening of K-12 schools (as further described herein). For early action in the spring, the package includes \$4.7 billion in instructional support for K-14 school districts, \$973 million in jobs and workforce training, \$561 million in environmental sustainability measures and \$262 million in housing and homelessness-related measures.

As a result of the expected increases in State general fund revenues, the Proposed 2021-22 Budget sets the Proposition 98 minimum funding guarantee for fiscal year 2021-22 at \$85.8 billion. This represents a year-to-year increase of \$14.9 billion over the level included in the 2020-21 Budget. The Proposed 2021-22 Budget also makes retroactive increases to the minimum funding guarantee in fiscal years 2019-20 and 2020-21 of \$1.9 billion and \$11.9 billion, respectively, due almost exclusively to increases in allocable general fund revenues in those years. As a result of these revisions, total per-pupil expenditures for K-12 education are projected to be \$18,837 in fiscal year 2020-21 and \$18,000 in fiscal year 2021-22. The year-to-year decrease reflects a significant allocation of one-time federal funding in fiscal year 2020-21. Ongoing per-pupil spending from Proposition 98 funding is \$12,648 in fiscal year 2021-22, an increase of \$1,994 from the level provided in the 2020-21 Budget.

Other significant features of K-12 education funding include the following:

- *Re-opening Schools* – \$2 billion in one-time Proposition 98 funding available beginning in February, 2021 to augment resources for local educational agencies to resume safe, in-person instruction. Funding will be available on a per-pupil basis for all county schools, school districts and charter schools (with the exception of non-classroom based charter schools and independent study programs) that continue or commence in-person instruction by set dates. Specifically, all such educational agencies that continue or resume instruction (i) by February 16, for all transitional Kindergarten through 2nd grade students, disabled students, foster and homeless youth, and students without access to technology or high-speed internet, and (ii) by March 15 for all 3rd grade students, will be eligible for base grants starting at \$450 and increasing to more than \$700 per pupil for schools with higher enrollments of EL/LI students. Schools with later start dates will qualify for proportionally lower base grants, except those schools in counties with high rates of COVID-19 community spread. Schools in counties with high rates of community spread will be eligible for the full February grant amount if they re-open for instruction pursuant to State and local health guidance. Funds may be used for any purpose that supports instruction, including enhancing and expanding COVID-19 testing, personal protective equipment, improving ventilation and the safety of indoor and outdoor spaces, teacher and staff salaries for those providing and supporting in-person instruction, and social and mental health supportive services.
- *Local Control Funding Formula* – \$64.5 billion in total LCFF funding, including an allocation to fund a combined COLA of 3.84%. This reflects both the 2.31% COLA that would have been due in fiscal year 2020-21, and which was suspended by the 2020-21 Budget, and a 1.5% adjustment for fiscal year 2021-22. With few exceptions, the Proposed 2021-22 Budget assumes in-person instruction in fiscal year 2021-22, and accordingly does not provide an ADA hold-harmless for purposes of calculating apportionments. However, because of the hold-harmless provided for fiscal year 2020-21 by the prior year's budgetary legislation, local educational agencies that experience enrollment declines in fiscal year 2021-22 will retain the ability to receive apportionments based on the higher of their 2019-20 or 2020-21 ADA. The Proposed 2021-22 Budget also provides an increase of \$10.2 million in ongoing Proposition 98 funding to reflect a 1.5% COLA for county offices of education.

- *Categorical Programs* – An increase of \$85.7 million in ongoing Proposition 98 funding to reflect a 1.5% COLA for categorical programs which remain outside of the LCFF.
- *Deferrals* – The Proposed 2021-22 Budget pays off LCFF apportionment deferrals for fiscal year 2019-20 that were provided for by the 2020-21 Budget, as well as \$7.3 billion of the LCFF deferral for fiscal year 2021-22. This leaves an ongoing deferral balance of \$3.7 billion due in fiscal year 2021-22.
- *Supplemental Payment* – The 2020-21 Budget provided for a new, multi-year payment obligation to avoid a permanent decline in K-14 education funding as a result of then-projected reductions in available revenues. The Proposed 2021-22 Budget would eliminate this supplemental payment obligation in its entirety. However, in recognition of the extraordinary needs of students and the public school system related to the COVID-19 pandemic, the Proposed 2021-22 Budget provides a one-time supplemental payment to K-14 education of \$2.3 billion.
- *Educator and Professional Development* – \$315.3 million to develop quality training in high-need areas and provide timely access to training. The Proposed 2021-22 Budget also includes \$225 million to improve the State’s teacher pipeline, including providing grants to students enrolled in teacher preparation programs, support for clinical teacher preparation programs and grants to recruit non-certificated school employees.
- *Community Schools* - \$264.9 million in one-time Proposition 98 funding to expand networks of community schools and establish new community schools, which typically integrate health, mental health and other services for students and families and provide these services directly on school campuses.
- *Learning Loss Mitigation* - \$4.6 billion in one-time Proposition 98 funding to facilitate targeted interventions by local educational agencies that focus on student achievement and well-being most affected by COVID-19 related disruptions to educational learning, including interventions with low-income families, English-learners and foster and homeless youth.
- *Federal Funds* – As a result of recent federal stimulus legislation, the Proposed 2021-22 Budget estimates that the State could receive more than \$6 billion for the Elementary and Secondary Schools Emergency Relief Fund and \$400 million for the Governor’s Emergency Education Relief Fund. These funds are expected to assist schools in reopening and remaining open for in-person instruction.
- *Proposition 51* – The Kindergarten Through Community College Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2016 (also known as Proposition 51) is a voter initiative approved at the November 8, 2016 election that authorizes the sale and issuance of \$9 billion in State general obligation bonds for the new construction and modernization of K-14 facilities. The Proposed 2020-21 Budget allocates \$1.5 billion of such bond funds for K-12 school facility projects.

For additional information regarding the Proposed 2021-22 Budget, see the DOF website at www.dof.ca.gov. However, the information presented on such website is not incorporated herein by reference.

Future Actions and Events. The District cannot predict what actions will be taken in the future by the State legislature and the Governor to address changing State revenues and expenditures. The District also cannot predict the impact such actions will have on State revenues available in the current or future years for education. The State budget will be affected by national and State economic conditions and other factors over which the District will have no control. Certain actions or results could produce a significant shortfall of revenue and cash, and could consequently impair the State’s ability to fund schools. The COVID-19 pandemic has already resulted in significant negative economic effects at State and federal levels, and additional negative economic effects are possible, each of which could negatively impact anticipated State revenue levels. In addition, the pandemic could also result in higher State expenditures, of both a direct nature (such as those related to managing the outbreak) and an indirect nature (such as higher public usage of need-based programs resulting from unemployment or disability). See “—Considerations Regarding COVID-19” herein. The District also cannot predict whether the federal government will provide additional funding in amounts sufficient to offset any of the fiscal impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic described above. State budget shortfalls in future fiscal years may also have an adverse financial impact on the financial condition of the District. However, the obligation to levy *ad valorem* property taxes upon all taxable property within the District for the payment of principal of and interest on the Bonds would not be impaired.

Budget Process

State Budgeting Requirements. The District is required by provisions of the Education Code to maintain a balanced budget each year, in which the sum of expenditures and the ending fund balance cannot exceed the sum of revenues and the carry-over fund balance from the previous year. The State Department of Education imposes a uniform budgeting and accounting format for school districts. The budget process for school districts was substantially amended by Assembly Bill 1200 (“AB 1200”), which became State law on October 14, 1991. Portions of AB 1200 are summarized below. The budget process has been further amended by subsequent amendments, including Senate Bill 97, which became law on September 26, 2013 (requiring budgets to include sufficient funds to implement local control and accountability plans), Senate Bill 858, which became law on June 20, 2014 (requiring budgets’ ending fund balances to exceed the minimum recommended reserve for economic uncertainties), and Assembly Bill 2585, which became State law on September 9, 2014 (eliminating the dual budget cycle option for school districts).

School districts must adopt a budget on or before July 1 of each year. The budget must be submitted to the county superintendent within five days of adoption or by July 1, whichever occurs first. The county superintendent will examine the adopted budget for compliance with the standards and criteria adopted by the State Board of Education and identify technical corrections necessary to bring the budget into compliance, and will determine if the budget allows the district to meet its current obligations, if the budget is consistent with a financial plan that will enable the district to meet its multi-year financial commitments, whether the budget includes the expenditures necessary to implement a LCAP, and whether the budget’s ending fund balance exceeds the minimum recommended reserve for economic uncertainties.

On or before September 15, the county superintendent will approve, conditionally approve or disapprove the adopted budget for each school district. Budgets will be disapproved if they fail the above standards. The district board must be notified by September 15 of the county superintendent’s recommendations for revision and reasons for the recommendations. The county superintendent may assign a fiscal advisor or appoint a committee to examine and comment on the superintendent’s recommendations. The committee must report its findings no later than September 20. Any recommendations made by the county superintendent must be made available by the district for public

inspection. No later than October 22, the county superintendent must notify the State Superintendent of Public Instruction of all school districts whose budget may be disapproved.

For districts whose budgets have been disapproved, the district must revise and readopt its budget by October 8, reflecting changes in projected income and expense since July 1, including responding to the county superintendent's recommendations. The county superintendent must determine if the budget conforms with the standards and criteria applicable to final district budgets and not later than November 8, will approve or disapprove the revised budgets. If the budget is disapproved, the county superintendent will call for the formation of a budget review committee pursuant to Education Code Section 42127.1. No later than November 8, the county superintendent must notify the State Superintendent of Public Instruction of all school districts whose budget has been disapproved. Until a district's budget is approved, the district will operate on the lesser of its proposed budget for the current fiscal year or the last budget adopted and reviewed for the prior fiscal year.

Interim Financial Reporting. Under the provisions of AB 1200, each school district is required to file interim certifications with the county office of education as to its ability to meet its financial obligations for the remainder of the then-current fiscal year and, based on current forecasts, for the subsequent two fiscal years. The county office of education reviews the certification and issues either a positive, negative or qualified certification. A positive certification is assigned to any school district that will meet its financial obligations for the current fiscal year and subsequent two fiscal years. A negative certification is assigned to any school district that will be unable to meet its financial obligations for the remainder of the current fiscal year or subsequent fiscal year. A qualified certification is assigned to any school district that may not meet its financial obligations for the current fiscal year or subsequent two fiscal years.

Within the past five years, the District has submitted, and the County superintendent of schools has accepted, positive certifications on all of the District's interim financial reports. The District has never had an adopted budget disapproved by the County superintendent of schools, and has never submitted or received a negative certification of an interim financial report pursuant to AB 1200.

Budgeting Trends. The following table sets forth the District's general fund adopted budgets for fiscal years 2016-17 through 2020-21, ending results for fiscal years 2016-17 through 2019-20 and projected figures for fiscal year 2020-21.

[REMAINDER OF PAGE LEFT BLANK]

GENERAL FUND BUDGETING⁽¹⁾
Fiscal Years 2016-17 through 2020-21
Mountain View Whisman School District

	Fiscal Year 2016-17		Fiscal Year 2017-18		Fiscal Year 2018-19		Fiscal Year 2019-20		Fiscal Year 2020-21	
	<u>Budgeted</u>	<u>Audited⁽²⁾</u>	<u>Budgeted</u>	<u>Audited⁽²⁾</u>	<u>Budgeted</u>	<u>Audited⁽²⁾</u>	<u>Budgeted</u>	<u>Audited⁽²⁾</u>	<u>Budgeted⁽³⁾</u>	<u>Projected⁽³⁾</u>
REVENUES										
Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF)	\$45,704,317	\$48,498,975	\$51,276,336	\$53,078,371	\$56,435,951	\$55,833,826			\$64,778,094	\$65,472,106
Federal Sources	1,929,020	2,482,960	1,822,611	1,752,855	1,877,178	2,361,428			2,590,797	5,148,338
Other State Sources	4,176,615	4,263,187	3,574,958	5,866,616	5,451,007	5,762,221			4,897,079	5,386,791
Other Local Sources	<u>10,767,640</u>	<u>11,436,472</u>	<u>9,967,167</u>	<u>12,059,970</u>	<u>9,981,204</u>	<u>12,656,964</u>			<u>13,452,883</u>	<u>13,850,322</u>
Total Revenues	62,577,592	66,681,594	66,641,072	72,757,812	73,745,340	76,614,439			85,718,853	89,857,557
EXPENDITURES										
Current:										
Certificated Salaries	25,690,440	26,273,405	28,130,524	29,147,870	30,430,511	31,814,509			32,354,217	32,151,933
Classified Salaries	10,291,114	10,874,346	11,120,396	11,833,037	12,355,027	12,450,375			13,435,753	13,374,067
Employee Benefits	14,269,929	13,846,900	16,363,306	16,322,780	17,847,850	18,421,229			20,734,439	20,423,621
Books & Supplies	4,142,427	4,131,271	3,332,322	2,202,848	3,103,545	2,458,456			4,242,912	6,978,824
Services & Operating Expenditures	9,437,309	10,880,706	10,177,462	12,149,518	10,261,096	13,631,764			12,717,195	14,671,002
Capital Outlay	623,163	164,935	25,000	46,177	--	90,609			24,296	26,000
Other Outgo	<u>(27,877)</u>	<u>(17,964)</u>	<u>(27,998)</u>	<u>(35,760)</u>	<u>(31,649)</u>	<u>(52,509)</u>			<u>(35,165)</u>	<u>(40,249)</u>
Total Expenditures	64,426,505	66,153,599	69,121,012	71,666,470	73,966,380	78,814,433			83,473,637	87,585,198
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues Over Expenditures	(1,848,913)	527,995	(2,479,940)	1,091,342	(221,040)	(2,199,994)			2,245,217	2,272,360
Other Financing Sources (Uses)										
Interfund Transfers In	--	44,546	--	1,444,219	1,118,632	1,591,984			--	--
Interfund Transfers Out	(614,444)	(407,192)	(486,299)	(634,905)	(528,821)	(1,989,524)			(2,040,033)	(3,936,624)
Other Sources	--	--	--	--	--	--			--	--
Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)	(614,444)	(362,646)	(486,299)	809,314	589,811	(397,540)			(2,040,033)	(3,936,624)
Net Change in Fund Balance	(2,463,357)	165,349	(2,966,239)	1,900,656	368,771	(2,597,534)			205,184	(1,664,264)
Fund Balance, July 1	<u>24,893,591</u>	<u>24,964,056</u>	<u>25,129,405</u>	<u>25,129,405</u>	<u>27,030,061</u>	<u>27,030,061</u>			<u>24,239,107</u>	<u>24,239,107</u>
Fund Balance, June 30	<u>\$22,430,234</u>	<u>\$25,129,405</u>	<u>\$22,163,166</u>	<u>\$27,030,061</u>	<u>\$27,398,832</u>	<u>\$24,432,527</u>			<u>\$24,444,291</u>	<u>\$22,574,843</u>

⁽¹⁾ From the audited financial statements of the District for fiscal year 2016-17 through 2019-20. Numbers rounded to nearest whole.

⁽²⁾ Audited amounts reported do not agree with amounts reported on the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances because that schedule includes the figures from the Special Reserve Fund for Other than Capital Outlay Projects and the Special Reserve Fund for Postemployment Benefits, pursuant to GASB Statement No. 54.

⁽³⁾ From the District's First Interim Report for fiscal year 2020-21, approved by the Board on December 14, 2020.

Source: Mountain View Whisman School District.

Accounting Practices

The accounting policies of the District conform to generally accepted accounting principles in accordance with policies and procedures of the California School Accounting Manual. This manual, according to Education Code Section 41010, is to be followed by all California school districts. Revenues are recognized in the period in which they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current fiscal period. Expenditures are recognized in the period in which the liability is incurred.

Comparative Financial Statements

The District's audited financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2020 are attached hereto as APPENDIX B. The table on the following page reflects the District's audited general fund revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance for fiscal years 2015-16 through 2019-20.

[REMAINDER OF PAGE LEFT BLANK]

AUDITED GENERAL FUND STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND FUND BALANCES
Fiscal Years 2015-16 through 2019-20
Mountain View Whisman School District

	<u>2015-16</u>	<u>2016-17</u>	<u>2017-18</u>	<u>2018-19</u>	<u>2019-20</u>
REVENUES					
LCFF Sources	\$43,125,746	\$48,498,975	\$53,078,371	\$55,833,826	
Federal Revenues	1,767,458	2,482,960	1,752,855	2,361,428	
Other State Revenues	6,300,823	4,263,187	5,866,616	8,527,454	
Other Local Revenues	<u>10,652,038</u>	<u>11,486,291</u>	<u>12,133,407</u>	<u>12,761,864</u>	
Total Revenues	61,846,065	66,731,413	72,831,249	79,484,572	
EXPENDITURES					
Current					
Instruction	38,875,549	45,599,206	48,670,459	56,443,968	
Instruction-related activities					
Supervision of instruction	2,025,842	2,629,111	2,796,241	2,555,998	
Instructional library, media and technology	1,188,837	1,504,982	1,121,289	1,391,103	
School site administration	3,695,957	4,305,800	4,959,844	5,820,058	
Pupil Services					
Home-to-school transportation	710,015	893,748	1,268,421	1,161,637	
Food services	5,537	553	7,874	101,882	
All other pupil services	1,550,726	1,443,604	1,939,970	2,470,308	
General administration					
Data processing	738,034	858,711	968,426	1,149,283	
All other general administration	3,047,932	4,135,440	4,386,910	4,689,122	
Plant services	4,486,762	4,741,624	5,465,853	5,747,751	
Facility acquisition and construction	7,484,905	--	27,887	44,580	
Ancillary services	106,287	40,820	46,525	3,976	
Transfers to other agencies	--	--	<u>6,771</u>	--	
Total Expenditures	63,916,383	66,153,599	1,164,779	81,579,666	
EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES	(2,070,318)	577,814	71,666,470	(2,095,094)	
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES/(USES)					
Transfers In	--	115,011	1,444,219	1,591,984	
Transfers Out	<u>(517,853)</u>	<u>(407,192)</u>	<u>(634,905)</u>	<u>(1,989,524)</u>	
Net Financing Sources (Uses)	(517,853)	(292,181)	809,314	(397,540)	
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES	(2,588,171)	285,633	1,974,093	(2,492,634)	
Beginning Fund Balance, July 1	32,510,297	29,922,126	30,207,759	32,181,852	
Prior Year Adjustments	--	--	--	--	
Adjusted Beginning Balance	--	--	--	--	
Ending Fund Balance, June 30	<u>\$29,922,126</u>	<u>\$30,207,759</u>	<u>\$32,181,852</u>	<u>\$29,689,218</u>	

Source: Mountain View Whisman School District.

MOUNTAIN VIEW WHISMAN SCHOOL DISTRICT

The information in this section concerning the operations of the District and the District’s operating budget are provided as supplementary information only, and it should not be inferred from the inclusion of this information in this Official Statement that the principal of or interest on the Bonds is payable from the general fund of the District. The Bonds will be payable solely from the proceeds of an ad valorem property tax which is required to be levied by the County in an amount sufficient for the payment thereof. See “THE BONDS – Security and Sources for Payment” herein.

Introduction

The District, as currently constituted, was created by the merger of the Mountain View District with the Whisman School District. The District currently covers approximately 11.8 square miles in the northwest corner of Santa Clara County, with nearly all of its territory within the City of Mountain View. The District currently operates eight elementary schools, two middle schools and a preschool. For fiscal year 2020-21, the District has budgeted total ADA of _____ students. Taxable property within the District has a total fiscal year 2020-21 assessed valuation of \$32,732,560,123. However, the District’s enrollment and reportable ADA figures may be affected by the current outbreak of COVID-19. See “DISTRICT FINANCIAL INFORMATION –Considerations Regarding COVID-19” herein.

Administration

The District is governed by the Board, each member of which is elected to a four-year term. Elections for positions to the Board are held every two years, alternating between two and three available positions. Current members of the Board, together with their office and the date their term expires, are listed below:

**BOARD OF TRUSTEES
Mountain View Whisman School District**

<u>Name</u>	<u>Office</u>	<u>Term Expires</u>
Devon Conley	President	November 2022
Laura Blakely	Vice President	November 2024
Laura Ramirez Berman	Clerk	November 2024
Christopher Chiang	Member	November 2024
Ellen Wheeler	Member	November 2022

The Superintendent of the District is responsible for administering the affairs of the District in accordance with the policies of the Board. Dr. Ayindé Rudolph is the current Superintendent of the District. Brief biographies of the Superintendent and Chief Business Officer follow:

Dr. Ayindé Rudolph, Superintendent. Dr. Rudolph was appointed as Superintendent of the District on June 4, 2015, and assumed his position effective July 1, 2015. Dr. Rudolph previously served as principal of Westminster Community Charter School in Buffalo, New York. Dr. Rudolph’s other prior positions include serving as both a teacher and administrator at the elementary, middle and high school levels, as well as at a county-wide art magnet school. He received his Master’s Degree from the George Washington University, and a Doctorate Degree from Vanderbilt University.

Dr. Rebecca Westover, Chief Business Officer. Dr. Westover was appointed as Chief Business Officer on June 18, 2019, and assumed her position effective July 1, 2019. Dr. Westover previously served in the District as principal of Stevenson Elementary School for four years. Other prior positions

include serving as an assistant principal of Jordan Middle School in Palo Alto Unified School District, as a science teacher at Castro Valley High School and as a science specialist at Newport Mesa Unified School District. Dr. Westover has a bachelor’s degree and a master’s degree from Gonzaga University, teaching credentials from the University of California Irvine, and Doctorate Degree from the University of LaVerne.

District Enrollment

On average throughout the District, the regular education pupil-teacher ratio is approximately __:1 in grade K, __:1 in grades 1-3, __:1 in grades 4-5, and __:1 in grades 6-8. The following table shows a 10-year ADA and enrollment history for the District.

HISTORICAL ENROLLMENT⁽¹⁾
Fiscal Years 2011-12 through 2020-21
Mountain View Whisman School District

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Enrollment</u>	<u>% Change</u>
2011-12	4,895	1.5%
2012-13	5,010	2.4
2013-14	5,052	0.8
2014-15	5,069	0.3
2015-16	5,084	0.3
2016-17	5,125	0.8
2017-18	5,132	0.1
2018-19	5,107	(0.5)
2019-20		
2020-21 ⁽²⁾		

⁽¹⁾ Enrollment for years prior to fiscal year 2013-14 is as of October CBEDS report. Fiscal years 2013-14 through 2019-20 reflects certified enrollment as of the fall census day (the first Wednesday in October) reported to CALPADS. See also “DISTRICT FINANCIAL MATTERS – State Funding of Education – Local Control Funding Formula” herein.

⁽²⁾ Projected.

Source: Mountain View Whisman School District.

Labor Relations

As of _____, 2021, the District employed ___ full-time equivalent (“FTE”) certificated employees and ___ FTE classified employees. District employees, except management and some part-time employees, are represented by two employee bargaining units, as noted below:

BARGAINING UNITS
Mountain View Whisman School District

<u>Labor Organization</u>	<u>Number of Employees in Organization</u>	<u>Contract Expiration Date</u>
Mountain View Educators’ Association, CTA/NEA		
California School Employees Association		

Source: Mountain View Whisman School District.

Retirement Programs

The information set forth below regarding the STRS and PERS programs, other than the information provided by the District regarding its annual contributions thereto, has been obtained from publicly available sources which are believed to be reliable but are not guaranteed as to accuracy or completeness, and should not to be construed as a representation by either the District, the Municipal Advisor or the Underwriter.

STRS. All full-time certificated employees, as well as certain classified employees, are members of the State Teachers' Retirement System ("STRS"). STRS provides retirement, disability and survivor benefits to plan members and beneficiaries under a defined benefit program (the "STRS Defined Benefit Program"). The STRS Defined Benefit Program is funded through a combination of investment earnings and statutorily set contributions from three sources: employees, employers, and the State. Benefit provisions and contribution amounts are established by State statutes, as legislatively amended from time to time.

Prior to fiscal year 2014-15, and unlike typical defined benefit programs, none of the employee, employer nor State contribution rates to the STRS Defined Benefit Program varied annually to make up funding shortfalls or assess credits for actuarial surpluses. In recent years, the combined employer, employee and State contributions to the STRS Defined Benefit Program have not been sufficient to pay actuarially required amounts. As a result, and due to significant investment losses, the unfunded actuarial liability of the STRS Defined Benefit Program has increased significantly in recent fiscal years. In September 2013, STRS projected that the STRS Defined Benefit Program would be depleted in 31 years assuming existing contribution rates continued, and other significant actuarial assumptions were realized. In an effort to reduce the unfunded actuarial liability of the STRS Defined Benefit Program, the State passed the legislation described below to increase contribution rates.

Prior to July 1, 2014, K-14 school districts were required by such statutes to contribute 8.25% of eligible salary expenditures, while participants contributed 8% of their respective salaries. On June 24, 2014, the Governor signed AB 1469 ("AB 1469") into law as a part of the State's fiscal year 2014-15 budget. AB 1469 seeks to fully fund the unfunded actuarial obligation with respect to service credited to members of the STRS Defined Benefit Program before July 1, 2014 (the "2014 Liability"), within 32 years, by increasing member, K-14 school district and State contributions to STRS. Commencing July 1, 2014, the employee contribution rate increased over a three-year phase-in period in accordance with the following schedule:

MEMBER CONTRIBUTION RATES STRS (Defined Benefit Program)

<u>Effective Date</u>	<u>STRS Members Hired Prior to January 1, 2013</u>	<u>STRS Members Hired After January 1, 2013</u>
July 1, 2014	8.150%	8.150%
July 1, 2015	9.200	8.560
July 1, 2016	10.250	9.205

Source: AB 1469.

Pursuant to the Reform Act (defined below), the contribution rates for members hired after the Implementation Date (defined below) will be adjusted if the normal cost increases by more than 1% since the last time the member contribution was set. The contribution rate for employees hired after the Implementation Date (defined below) increased from 9.205% of creditable compensation for fiscal year commencing July 1, 2017 to 10.205% of creditable compensation effective July 1, 2018. For fiscal year

commencing July 1, 2019, the contribution rate was 10.250% for employees hired before the Implementation Date and 10.205% for employees hired after the Implementation Date. For fiscal year commencing July 1, 2020, the contribution rate will be 10.250% for employees hired before the Implementation Date and 10.205% employees hired after the Implementation Date.

Pursuant to AB 1469, K-14 school districts’ contribution rate increased over a seven-year phase-in period in accordance with the following schedule:

**K-14 SCHOOL DISTRICT CONTRIBUTION RATES
STRS (Defined Benefit Program)**

<u>Effective Date</u>	<u>K-14 school districts</u>
July 1, 2014	8.88%
July 1, 2015	10.73
July 1, 2016	12.58
July 1, 2017	14.43
July 1, 2018	16.28
July 1, 2019	18.13
July 1, 2020	19.10

Source: AB 1469.

Based upon the recommendation from its actuary, for fiscal year 2021-22 and each fiscal year thereafter the STRS Teachers’ Retirement Board (the “STRS Board”), is required to increase or decrease the K-14 school districts’ contribution rate to reflect the contribution required to eliminate the remaining 2014 Liability by June 30, 2046; provided that the rate cannot change in any fiscal year by more than 1% of creditable compensation upon which members’ contributions to the STRS Defined Benefit Program are based; and provided further that such contribution rate cannot exceed a maximum of 20.25%. In addition to the increased contribution rates discussed above, AB 1469 also requires the STRS Board to report to the State Legislature every five years (commencing with a report due on or before July 1, 2019) on the fiscal health of the STRS Defined Benefit Program and the unfunded actuarial obligation with respect to service credited to members of that program before July 1, 2014. The reports are also required to identify adjustments required in contribution rates for K-14 school districts and the State in order to eliminate the 2014 Liability.

On June 27, 2019, the Governor signed SB 90 (“SB 90”) into law as a part of the 2019-20 Budget. Pursuant to SB 90, the State Legislature appropriated \$2.246 billion to be transferred to the Teacher’s Retirement Fund for the STRS Defined Benefit Program to pay in advance, on behalf of employers, part of the contributions required for fiscal years 2019-20 and 2020-21, resulting in K-14 school districts having to contribute 1.03% less in fiscal year 2019-20 and 0.70% less in fiscal year 2020-21, resulting in employer contribution rates of 17.1% in fiscal year 2019-20 and 18.4% in fiscal year 2020-21. In addition, the State made a contribution of \$1.117 billion to be allocated to reduce the employer’s share of the unfunded actuarial obligation determined by the STRS Board upon recommendation from its actuary. This additional payment will be reflected in the June 30, 2020 actuarial valuation. Subsequently, the State’s 2020-21 Budget redirected \$2.3 billion previously appropriated to STRS and PERS pursuant to SB 90 for long-term unfunded liabilities to further reduce the employer contribution rates in fiscal year 2020-21 and 2021-22. As a result, the effective employer contribution rate is 16.15% in fiscal year 2020-21 and is projected to be 16.02% in fiscal year 2021-22. See also “DISTRICT FINANCIAL INFORMATION – State Budget Measures” herein.

The District's contributions to STRS were \$3,225,298 in fiscal year 2016-17, \$4,162,395 in fiscal year 2017-18, \$5,115,182 in fiscal year 2018-19 and \$_____ in fiscal year 2019-20. The District has budgeted \$_____ for its contribution to STRS for fiscal year 2020-21.

The State also contributes to STRS, currently in an amount equal to 8.328% for fiscal year 2020-21. The State's contribution reflects a base contribution rate of 2.017%, and a supplemental contribution rate that will vary from year to year based on statutory criteria. Based upon the recommendation from its actuary, for fiscal year 2017-18 and each fiscal year thereafter, the STRS Board is required, with certain limitations, to increase or decrease the State's contribution rates to reflect the contribution required to eliminate the unfunded actuarial accrued liability attributed to benefits in effect before July 1, 1990. The STRS Board approved State supplemental contribution rate for fiscal year 2020-21 reflects an increase of 0.5% of payroll, the maximum allowed under current law.

In addition, the State is currently required to make an annual general fund contribution up to 2.5% of the fiscal year covered STRS member payroll to the Supplemental Benefit Protection Account (the "SBPA"), which was established by statute to provide supplemental payments to beneficiaries whose purchasing power has fallen below 85% of the purchasing power of their initial allowance.

PERS. Classified employees working four or more hours per day are members of the Public Employees' Retirement System ("PERS"). PERS provides retirement and disability benefits, annual cost-of-living adjustments, and death benefits to plan members and beneficiaries. Benefit provisions are established by the State statutes, as legislatively amended from time to time. PERS operates a number of retirement plans including the Public Employees Retirement Fund ("PERF"). PERF is a multiple-employer defined benefit retirement plan. In addition to the State, employer participants at June 30, 2019 included 1,612 public agencies and 1,319 K-14 school districts and charter schools. PERS acts as the common investment and administrative agent for the member agencies. The State and K-14 school districts (for "classified employees," which generally consist of school employees other than teachers) are required by law to participate in PERF. Employees participating in PERF generally become fully vested in their retirement benefits earned to date after five years of credited service. One of the plans operated by PERS is for K-14 school districts throughout the State (the "Schools Pool").

Pursuant to SB 90, the State Legislature appropriated \$144 million for fiscal year 2019-20 and \$100 million for fiscal year 2020-21 to be transferred to the Public Employees' Retirement Fund, to pay in advance, on behalf of K-14 school district employers, part of the contributions required for K-14 school district employers for such fiscal years. In addition, the State Legislature appropriated \$660 million to be applied toward certain unfunded liabilities for K-14 school district employers. As a result of the payments made by the State pursuant to SB 90, the employer contribution rate for fiscal year 2019-20 was 19.721%. See "DISTRICT FINANCIAL INFORMATION – State Budget Measures" herein.

Contributions by employers to the Schools Pool are based upon an actuarial rate determined annually and contributions by plan members vary based upon their date of hire. The actuarial determined employer contribution rate for fiscal year 2020-21 is 20.7%, which reflects the redirection of funds by the State's 2020-21 Budget by AB 84 (defined below), that were previously appropriated pursuant to SB 90 for long-term unfunded liabilities (discussed above). See "DISTRICT FINANCIAL INFORMATION – Considerations Regarding COVID-19" herein. Participants enrolled in PERS prior to January 1, 2013 contribute at a rate established by statute, which is 7% of their respective salaries in fiscal year 2020-21, while participants enrolled after January 1, 2013 contribute at an actuarially determined rate, which is 7% in fiscal year 2020-21. See "—California Public Employees' Pension Reform Act of 2013" herein.

The District's contributions to PERS were \$1,646,687 in fiscal year 2016-17, \$1,960,977 in fiscal year 2017-18, \$2,310,646 in fiscal year 2018-19 and \$_____ in fiscal year 2019-20. The District has budgeted \$_____ for its contribution to PERS for fiscal year 2020-21.

State Pension Trusts. Each of STRS and PERS issues a separate comprehensive financial report that includes financial statements and required supplemental information. Copies of such financial reports may be obtained from each of STRS and PERS as follows: (i) STRS, P.O. Box 15275, Sacramento, California 95851-0275; (ii) PERS, P.O. Box 942703, Sacramento, California 94229-2703. Moreover, each of STRS and PERS maintains a website, as follows: (i) STRS: www.calstrs.com; (ii) PERS: www.calpers.ca.gov. However, the information presented in such financial reports or on such websites is not incorporated into this Official Statement by any reference.

Both STRS and PERS have substantial statewide unfunded liabilities. The amount of these unfunded liabilities will vary depending on actuarial assumptions, returns on investments, salary scales and participant contributions. The following table summarizes information regarding the actuarially-determined accrued liability for both STRS and PERS. Actuarial assessments are "forward-looking" information that reflect the judgment of the fiduciaries of the pension plans, and are based upon a variety of assumptions, one or more of which may not materialize or be changed in the future. Actuarial assessments will change with the future experience of the pension plans.

FUNDED STATUS
STRS (Defined Benefit Program) and PERS (Schools Pool)
(Dollar Amounts in Millions)⁽¹⁾
Fiscal Years 2010-11 through 2018-19

<u>STRS</u>					
<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Accrued Liability</u>	<u>Value of Trust Assets (MVA)⁽²⁾</u>	<u>Unfunded Liability (MVA)⁽²⁾</u>	<u>Value of Trust Assets (AVA)⁽³⁾</u>	<u>Unfunded Liability (AVA)⁽³⁾</u>
2010-11	\$208,405	\$147,140	\$68,365	\$143,930	\$64,475
2011-12	215,189	143,118	80,354	144,232	70,957
2012-13	222,281	157,176	74,374	148,614	73,667
2013-14	231,213	179,749	61,807	158,495	72,718
2014-15	241,753	180,633	72,626	165,553	76,200
2015-16	266,704	177,914	101,586	169,976	96,728
2016-17	286,950	197,718	103,468	179,689	107,261
2017-18	297,603	211,367	101,992	190,451	107,152
2018-19	310,719	225,466	102,636	205,016	105,703

<u>PERS</u>					
<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Accrued Liability</u>	<u>Value of Trust Assets (MVA)</u>	<u>Unfunded Liability (MVA)</u>	<u>Value of Trust Assets (AVA)⁽⁴⁾</u>	<u>Unfunded Liability (AVA)⁽⁴⁾</u>
2010-11	\$58,358	\$45,901	\$12,457	\$51,547	\$6,811
2011-12	59,439	44,854	14,585	53,791	5,648
2012-13	61,487	49,482	12,005	56,250	5,237
2013-14	65,600	56,838	8,761	— ⁽⁴⁾	— ⁽⁴⁾
2014-15	73,325	56,814	16,511	— ⁽⁴⁾	— ⁽⁴⁾
2015-16	77,544	55,785	21,759	— ⁽⁴⁾	— ⁽⁴⁾
2016-17	84,416	60,865	23,551	— ⁽⁴⁾	— ⁽⁴⁾
2017-18	92,071	64,846	27,225	— ⁽⁴⁾	— ⁽⁴⁾
2018-19	99,528	68,177	31,351	— ⁽⁴⁾	— ⁽⁴⁾

⁽¹⁾ Amounts may not add due to rounding.

⁽²⁾ Reflects market value of assets, including the assets allocated to the SBPA reserve. Since the benefits provided through the SBPA are not a part of the projected benefits included in the actuarial valuations summarized above, the SBPA reserve is subtracted from the STRS Defined Benefit Program assets to arrive at the value of assets available to support benefits included in the respective actuarial valuations.

⁽³⁾ Reflects actuarial value of assets.

⁽⁴⁾ Effective for the June 30, 2014 actuarial valuation, PERS no longer uses an actuarial value of assets.

Source: PERS Schools Pool Actuarial Valuation; STRS Defined Benefit Program Actuarial Valuation.

The STRS Board has sole authority to determine the actuarial assumptions and methods used for the valuation of the STRS Defined Benefit Program. Based on the multi-year CalSTRS Experience Analysis (spanning from July 1, 2010, through June 30, 2015) (the “2017 Experience Analysis”), on February 1, 2017, the STRS Board adopted a new set of actuarial assumptions that reflect member’s increasing life expectancies and current economic trends. These new assumptions were first reflected in the STRS Defined Benefit Program Actuarial Valuation, as of June 30, 2016 (the “2016 STRS Actuarial Valuation”). The new actuarial assumptions include, but are not limited to: (i) adopting a generational mortality methodology to reflect past improvements in life expectancies and provide a more dynamic assessment of future life spans, (ii) decreasing the investment rate of return (net of investment and administrative expenses) to 7.25% for the 2016 STRS Actuarial Valuation and 7.00% for the June 30, 2017 actuarial evaluation (the “2017 STRS Actuarial Valuation”), and (iii) decreasing the projected wage growth to 3.50% and the projected inflation rate to 2.75%.

Based on the multi-year CalSTRS Experience Analysis (spanning from July 1, 2015, through June 30, 2018) (the “2020 Experience Analysis”), on January 31, 2020, the STRS Board adopted a new set of actuarial assumptions that were first reflected in the STRS Defined Benefit Program Actuarial Valuation, as of June 30, 2019 (the “2019 STRS Actuarial Valuation”). While no changes were made to the actuarial assumptions discussed above, which were established as a result of the 2017 Experience Analysis, certain demographic changes were made, including: (i) lowering the termination rates to reflect a continued trend of lower than expected teachers leaving their employment prior to retirement, and (ii) adopting changes to the retirement rates for both employees hire before the Implementation Date and after the Implementation Date to better reflect the anticipated impact of years of service on retirements. The 2019 STRS Actuarial Valuation continues using the Entry Age Normal Actuarial Cost Method.

Based on salary increases less than assumed, additional State contributions, and actuarial asset gains recognized from the current and prior years, the 2019 STRS Actuarial Valuation reports that the unfunded actuarial obligation decreased by \$1.5 billion since the 2018 STRS Actuarial Valuation and the funded ratio increased by 2.0% to 66.0% over such time period.

According to the 2019 STRS Actuarial Valuation, the future revenues from contributions and appropriations for the STRS Defined Benefit Program are projected to be approximately sufficient to finance its obligations with a projected ending funded ratio in fiscal year ending June 30, 2046 of 99.9%, except for a small portion of the unfunded actuarial obligation related to service accrued on or after July 1, 2014 for member benefits adopted after 1990, for which AB 1469 provides no authority to the STRS Board to adjust rates to pay down that portion of the unfunded actuarial obligation. This finding reflects the scheduled contribution rate increases directed by statute, assumes additional increases in the scheduled contribution rates allowed under the current law will be made, and is based on the valuation assumptions and valuation policy adopted by the STRS Board, including a 7.00% investment rate of return assumption and includes the \$1.117 billion State contribution made in July 2019 pursuant to SB 90.

The actuary for the STRS Defined Benefit Program notes in the 2019 STRS Actuarial Report that, since such report is dated as of June 30, 2019, the significant declines in the investment markets that have occurred in the first half the 2020 calendar year are not directly reflected in the 2019 STRS Actuarial Report. The actuary notes that such declines will almost certainly impact the future of the STRS Defined Benefit Program funding, and that, all things being equal, it is expected that the actuarial valuation for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2020 will show a greater increase in the projected State contribution rate (and possibly the employer rate) and a possible decline in the funded ratio. See “DISTRICT FINANCIAL INFORMATION – Considerations Regarding COVID-19” herein.

In recent years, the PERS Board of Administration (the “PERS Board”) has taken several steps, as described below, intended to reduce the amount of the unfunded accrued actuarial liability of its plans, including the Schools Pool.

On March 14, 2012, the PERS Board voted to lower the PERS’ rate of expected price inflation and its investment rate of return (net of administrative expenses) (the “PERS Discount Rate”) from 7.75% to 7.5%. On February 18, 2014, the PERS Board voted to keep the PERS Discount Rate unchanged at 7.5%. On November 17, 2015, the PERS Board approved a new funding risk mitigation policy to incrementally lower the PERS Discount Rate by establishing a mechanism whereby such rate is reduced by a minimum of 0.05% to a maximum of 0.25% in years when investment returns outperform the existing PERS Discount Rate by at least four percentage points. On December 21, 2016, the PERS Board voted to lower the PERS Discount Rate to 7.0% over a three year phase-in period in accordance with the following schedule: 7.375% for the June 30, 2017 actuarial valuation, 7.25% for the June 30, 2018 actuarial valuation and 7.00% for the June 30, 2019 actuarial valuation. The new discount rate went into effect July 1, 2017 for the State and July 1, 2018 for K-14 school districts and other public agencies.

Lowering the PERS Discount Rate means employers that contract with PERS to administer their pension plans will see increases in their normal costs and unfunded actuarial liabilities. Active members hired after January 1, 2013, under the Reform Act (defined below) will also see their contribution rates rise.

On April 17, 2013, the PERS Board approved new actuarial policies aimed at returning PERS to fully-funded status within 30 years. The policies include a rate smoothing method with a 30-year fixed amortization period for gains and losses, a five-year increase of public agency contribution rates, including the contribution rate at the onset of such amortization period, and a five year reduction of public agency contribution rates at the end of such amortization period. The new actuarial policies were first included in the June 30, 2014 actuarial valuation and were implemented with respect the State, K-14 school districts and all other public agencies in fiscal year 2015-16.

Also, on February 20, 2014, the PERS Board approved new demographic assumptions reflecting (i) expected longer life spans of public agency employees and related increases in costs for the PERS system and (ii) trends of higher rates of retirement for certain public agency employee classes, including police officers and firefighters. The new actuarial assumptions were first reflected in the Schools Pool in the June 30, 2015 actuarial valuation. The increase in liability due to the new assumptions will be amortized over 20 years with increases phased in over five years, beginning with the contribution requirement for fiscal year 2016-17. The new demographic assumptions affect the State, K-14 school districts and all other public agencies.

The PERS Board is required to undertake an experience study every four years under its Actuarial Assumptions Policy and State law. As a result of the most recent experience study, on December 20, 2017, the PERS Board approved new actuarial assumptions, including (i) lowering the inflation rate to 2.625% for the June 30, 2018 actuarial valuation and to 2.50% for the June 30, 2019 actuarial valuation, (ii) lowering the payroll growth rate to 2.875% for the June 30, 2018 actuarial valuation and 2.75% for the June 30, 2019 actuarial valuation, and (iii) certain changes to demographic assumptions relating to the salary scale for most constituent groups, and modifications to the morality, retirement, and disability retirement rates.

On February 14, 2018, the PERS Board approved a new actuarial amortization policy with an effective date for actuarial valuations beginning on or after June 30, 2019, which includes (i) shortening the period over which actuarial gains and losses are amortized from 30 years to 20 years, (ii) requiring that amortization payments for all unfunded accrued liability bases established after the effective date be computed to remain a level dollar amount throughout the amortization period, (iii) removing the 5-year ramp-up and ramp-down on unfunded accrued liability bases attributable to assumptions changes and non-investment gains/losses established on or after the effective date and (iv) removing the 5-year ramp-down on investment gains/losses established after the effective date. While PERS expects that reducing the amortization period for certain sources of unfunded liability will increase future average funding ratios, provide faster recovery of funded status following market downturns, decrease expected cumulative contributions, and mitigate concerns over intergenerational equity, such changes may result in increases in future employer contribution rates.

The Schools Pool Actuarial Valuation as of June 30, 2019 (the “2019 PERS Actuarial Valuation”), reported that the contribution rate for 2021-22 is projected to be 23.0%, with annual increases thereafter, resulting in a projected 27.6% employer contribution rate for fiscal year 2026-27. The projected contribution rates reflect a 4.7% investment return reduced by estimated administrative expenses for fiscal year 2019-20 and the anticipated decrease in normal cost due to new hires entering lower benefit formulas under the Reform Act, as well as the additional \$904 million contributed by the State in July 2019 pursuant to SB 90, which was subsequently amended by Assembly Bill 84/Senate Bill 111 (“AB 84”). Under AB 84, \$144 million of the State contribution under SB 90 is deemed to satisfy a

portion of the State's required contribution in fiscal year 2019-20, \$430 million will satisfy a portion of the employer contribution rate in fiscal year 2020-21, and \$330 million will satisfy a portion of the employer contribution rate in fiscal year 2021-22. See "DISTRICT FINANCIAL INFORMATION – State Budget Measures" herein. The projected contribution rate also assumes that all other actuarial assumptions will be realized and that no further changes to assumptions, contributions, benefits, or funding will occur during the projection period. As reported in the 2019 PERS Actuarial Valuation, the funded status for the Schools Pool decreased by 1.9% (from 70.4% to 68.5%) from June 30, 2018 to June 30, 2019, primarily due to increases in liability resulting from the decrease in the discount rate, discussed above, and by the investment return in 2018-19 being less than expected.

The District can make no representations regarding the future program liabilities of STRS, or whether the District will be required to make additional contributions to STRS in the future above those amounts required under AB 1469. The District can also provide no assurances that the District's required contributions to PERS will not increase in the future.

California Public Employees' Pension Reform Act of 2013. On September 12, 2012, the Governor signed into law the California Public Employees' Pension Reform Act of 2013 (the "Reform Act"), which makes changes to both STRS and PERS, most substantially affecting new employees hired after January 1, 2013 (the "Implementation Date"). For STRS participants hired after the Implementation Date, the Reform Act changes the normal retirement age by increasing the eligibility for the 2% age factor (the age factor is the percent of final compensation to which an employee is entitled for each year of service) from age 60 to 62 and increasing the eligibility of the maximum age factor of 2.4% from age 63 to 65. Similarly, for non-safety PERS participants hired after the Implementation Date, the Reform Act changes the normal retirement age by increasing the eligibility for the 2% age factor from age 55 to 62 and increases the eligibility requirement for the maximum age factor of 2.5% to age 67. Among the other changes to PERS and STRS, the Reform Act also: (i) requires all new participants enrolled in PERS and STRS after the Implementation Date to contribute at least 50% of the total annual normal cost of their pension benefit each year as determined by an actuary, (ii) requires STRS and PERS to determine the final compensation amount for employees based upon the highest annual compensation earnable averaged over a consecutive 36-month period as the basis for calculating retirement benefits for new participants enrolled after the Implementation Date (previously 12 months for STRS members who retire with 25 years of service), and (iii) caps "pensionable compensation" for new participants enrolled after the Implementation Date at 100% of the federal Social Security contribution (to be adjusted annually based on changes to the Consumer Price Index for all Urban Consumers) and benefit base for members participating in Social Security or 120% for members not participating in social security (to be adjusted annually based on changes to the Consumer Price Index for all Urban Consumers), while excluding previously allowed forms of compensation under the formula such as payments for unused vacation, annual leave, personal leave, sick leave, or compensatory time off.

GASB Statement Nos. 67 and 68. On June 25, 2012, GASB approved Statements Nos. 67 and 68 ("Statements") with respect to pension accounting and financial reporting standards for state and local governments and pension plans. The new Statements, No. 67 and No. 68, replace GASB Statement No. 27 and most of Statements No. 25 and No. 50. The changes impact the accounting treatment of pension plans in which state and local governments participate. Major changes include: (1) the inclusion of unfunded pension liabilities on the government's balance sheet (currently, such unfunded liabilities are typically included as notes to the government's financial statements); (2) more components of full pension costs being shown as expenses regardless of actual contribution levels; (3) lower actuarial discount rates being required to be used for underfunded plans in certain cases for purposes of the financial statements; (4) closed amortization periods for unfunded liabilities being required to be used for certain purposes of the financial statements; and (5) the difference between expected and actual investment returns being recognized over a closed five-year smoothing period. In addition, according to

GASB, Statement No. 68 means that, for pensions within the scope of the Statement, a cost-sharing employer that does not have a special funding situation is required to recognize a net pension liability, deferred outflows of resources, deferred inflows of resources related to pensions and pension expense based on its proportionate share of the net pension liability for benefits provided through the pension plan. Because the accounting standards do not require changes in funding policies, the full extent of the effect of the new standards on the District is not known at this time. The reporting requirements for pension plans took effect for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2013 and the reporting requirements for government employers, including the District, took effect for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2014.

As of June 30, 2020, the District reported its proportionate shares of the net pension liabilities for the STRS and PERS programs to be \$_____ and \$_____, respectively. See also “APPENDIX B – 2019-20 AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF THE DISTRICT – Note ___” attached hereto.

Other Post-Employment Benefits

Benefit Plan and Funding Policy. The District provides supplemental post-employment health care benefits (the “Post-Employment Benefits”) to eligible employees who retire from the District after the age of 55 with at least 10 years of service to the District. The District pays a portion of the monthly premiums for medical, dental and vision coverage for eligible employees and their dependents. Payments continue for a maximum of five years, or until such employee reaches Medicare/Medicaid eligibility, whichever occurs first.

The District currently finances Post-Employment Benefits on a “pay-as-you-go” basis, with additional amounts paid to prefund benefits as determined annually by the Board. The District’s contributions to Post-Employment Benefits were \$309,395 in fiscal year 2016-17 and \$673,244 in fiscal year 2017-18, \$_____ in fiscal year 2018-19 and \$_____ in fiscal year 2019-20 (all of which were used for current premiums). The District estimates \$_____ as its contribution to Post-Employment Benefits for fiscal year 2020-21, all for current premiums.

The District has established a special reserve fund to begin prefunding its accrued liability for Post-Employment Benefits (as discussed below). As of June 30, 20__, the amount on deposit in this fund is approximately \$_____. However, this fund has not been irrevocably pledged to the payment of Post-Employment Benefits, and may be accessed by the Board upon formal action for other purposes.

GASB Statement Nos. 74 and 75. On June 2, 2015, GASB approved Statements Nos. 74 and 75 with respect to pension accounting and financial reporting standards for public sector post-retirement benefit programs and the employers that sponsor them. GASB Statement No. 74 replaces GASB Statements No. 43 and 57 and Statement No. 75 replaces GASB Statement No. 45.

Most of GASB Statement No. 74 applies to plans administered through trusts, in which contributions are irrevocable, trust assets are dedicated to providing other post –employment benefits to plan members, and trust assets are legally protected from creditors. GASB Statements No. 74 and No. 75 will require a liability for OPEB obligations, known as the net OPEB Liability (the “NOL”), to be recognized on the balance sheet of the plan and the participating employer’s financial statements. In addition, an OPEB expense (service cost plus interest on total OPEB liability plus current-period benefit changes minus member contributions minus assumed earning on plan investments plus administrative expenses plus recognition of deferred outflows minus recognition of deferred inflows) will be recognized in the income statement of the participating employers. In the notes to its financial statements, employers providing other post-employment benefits will also have to include information regarding the year-to-year change in the NOL and a sensitivity analysis of the NOL to changes in the discount rate and healthcare

trend rate. The required supplementary information will also be required to show a 10-year schedule of the plan's net OPEB liability reconciliation and related ratios, and any actuarially determined contributions and investment returns.

Under GASB Statement No. 74, the measurement date must be the same as the plan's fiscal year end, but the actuarial valuation date may be any date up to 24 months prior to the measurement date. For the total OPEB liability (the "TOL"), if the valuation date is before the measurement date, the results must be projected forward from the valuation date to the measurement date using standard actuarial roll-forward techniques. For plans that are unfunded or have assets insufficient to cover the projected benefit payments, a discount rate reflecting a 20-year tax-exempt municipal bond yield or index rate must be used. For plans with assets that meet the GASB Statement No. 74 requirements, a projection of the benefit payments and future Fiduciary Net Position (the "FNP") is performed based on the funding policy and assumptions of the plan, along with the methodology specified in GASB.

GASB No. 74 has an effective date for plan fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2016. GASB Statement No. 75 has an effective date for employer fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2017, and the District first recognized GASB No. 75 in their financial statements for fiscal year 2016-17. For fiscal year 2019-20, the District reported a Total OPEB Liability of \$_____, a Fiduciary Net Position of \$_____ and a Net OPEB Liability of \$_____. See also "APPENDIX B – 2019-20 AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF THE DISTRICT – Note ___" attached hereto.

Actuarial Valuation. The District's most recent actuarial study, dated as of _____, calculated the District's accrued liability in accordance with GASB No. 74 and GASB No. 75. The study concluded that, as of a _____ measurement date, the District's Total OPEB Liability was \$_____, its Fiduciary Net Position was \$_____ and its Net OPEB Liability was \$_____.

Risk Management

The District participates in joint powers agreements with the Santa Clara County School District Insurance Group ("SCCSIG") and the South Bay Area Schools Insurance Authority ("SBASIA," and together with SCCSIG, the "JPAs"). SCCSDIG provides worker's compensation insurance, while SBASIA provides property and liability insurance. The relationship between the District and the JPAs is such that the JPAs are not component units of the District for financial reporting purposes.

The JPAs arrange for and provides coverage for its members. The JPAs are each governed by a board consisting of a representative from each member district. The board controls the operations of each JPA, including selection of management and approval of operating budgets independent of any influence by the member districts beyond their representation on the board. Each member district pays a premium commensurate with the level of coverage requested and shares surpluses and deficits proportionately to their participation in the JPAs.

There are a number of claims pending against the District. In the opinion of the District, the related liability, if any, stemming from these claims will not materially affect the financial condition of the District. Settled claims have not exceeded available insurance coverages in the past three fiscal years.

See "APPENDIX B – 2019-20 AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF THE DISTRICT – Notes ___" attached hereto.

District Debt Structure

Short-Term Debt. The District currently has no outstanding short-term debt.

Long-Term Debt. A schedule of changes in long-term debt for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2020 is shown below:

	<u>Balance</u> <u>July 1, 2019</u>	<u>Additions</u>	<u>Deletions</u>	<u>Balance</u> <u>June 30, 2020</u>
General Obligation Bonds				
Unamortized Bond Premium				
Accreted interest				
Total General Obligation Bonds				
Certificates of Participation				
Unamortized premium				
Total Certificates of Participation				
Compensated Absences				
Total OPEB liability				
Net pension liabilities				
Total Long-term Debt				

Source: Mountain View Whisman School District.

General Obligation Bonds. Voters of both the Former District previously approved the issuance of general obligation bonds, payable from *ad valorem* taxes levied on taxable property within the respective former boundaries of the Whisman District and Mountain View District. The District has also issued general obligation refunding bonds to refinance portions of outstanding bonds previously issued by both of the Former Districts.

Voters of the District have approved, at two elections, the issuance of general obligation bonds payable from *ad valorem* property taxes levies within the boundaries of the entire District. The District has also issued one series of general obligation refunding bonds to refinance bonds of the District.

The following table summarizes information on the outstanding bonds issuances of the District (not including the Bonds).

Issuance	Initial Principal Amount	Principal Currently Outstanding^o	Issuance Date
Election of 1996 Bonds, Series B	\$6,784,645.65		December 10, 1997
Election of 1996 Bonds, Series C	6,499,471.25		April 15, 1999
Election of 1996 Bonds, Series D	5,298,641.45		April 27, 2000
2012 Refunding Bonds	10,880,000.00		July 24, 2012
Election of 2012 Bonds, Series A	50,000,000.00		February 7, 2013
2015 Refunding Bonds	4,895,000.00		June 25, 2015
Election of 2012 Bonds, Series B	148,000,000.00		May 19, 2016
2016 Refunding Bonds	10,115,000.00		June 6, 2016
2020 Refunding Bonds	29,750,000.00		March 17, 2020
Election of 2020 Bonds, Series A	46,600,000.00		May 19, 2020
Election of 2020 Bonds, Series A-1	36,400,000.00		May 19, 2020

^o As of _____, 2021. Includes principal of the Refunded Bonds expected to be refinanced with the Bonds.

[REMAINDER OF PAGE LEFT BLANK]

The table below shows the annual debt service requirements of all of the District's bonded indebtedness, including the Bonds and excluding debt service on the Refunded Bonds.

GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS INDEBTEDNESS – ANNUAL DEBT SERVICE REQUIREMENTS^(*)
Mountain View Whisman School District

Year Ending Sept. 1	Election of 1996 Bonds, Series B⁽¹⁾	Election of 1996 Bonds, Series C⁽²⁾	Election of 1996 Bonds, Series D⁽³⁾	2012 Refunding Bonds⁽⁴⁾	Election of 2012 Bonds Series A⁽⁵⁾	2015 Refunding Bonds⁽⁶⁾	2016 Refunding Bonds⁽⁶⁾	Election of 2012 Bonds Series B⁽⁵⁾	2020 Refunding Bonds⁽⁶⁾	Election of 2020 Series A Bonds⁽⁶⁾	Election of 2020 Series A-1 Bonds⁽⁶⁾	The Bonds
2021	\$1,220,000.00	\$1,060,000.00	\$800,000.00	\$1,688,757.20		\$683,250.00	\$2,378,250.00		\$1,038,029.16	\$3,188,040.00	\$5,448,400.98	
2022	3,280,000.00	1,165,000.00	895,000.00	--		674,750.00	--		1,037,723.06	5,852,200.00	3,187,587.00	
2023	--	4,760,000.00	965,000.00	--		675,250.00	--		1,037,235.06	1,255,400.00	2,880,938.36	
2024	--	2,540,000.00 ⁽⁷⁾	3,590,000.00	--		509,250.00	--		1,681,395.30	1,255,400.00	3,034,457.36	
2025	--	--	6,570,000.00 ⁽⁷⁾	--		--	--		1,784,410.80	1,255,400.00	3,141,830.40	
2026	--	--	--	--		--	--		1,889,610.80	1,255,400.00	3,356,633.86	
2027	--	--	--	--		--	--		2,000,948.30	1,255,400.00	3,530,561.86	
2028	--	--	--	--		--	--		2,118,345.26	1,255,400.00	3,711,767.86	
2029	--	--	--	--		--	--		2,241,597.76	1,255,400.00	3,899,572.80	
2030	--	--	--	--		--	--		2,375,454.50	1,255,400.00	4,304,352.90	
2031	--	--	--	--		--	--		2,504,737.26	1,255,400.00	4,387,399.40	
2032	--	--	--	--		--	--		2,648,263.50	2,505,400.00	3,071,310.00	
2033	--	--	--	--		--	--		2,795,214.26	2,855,400.00	--	
2034	--	--	--	--		--	--		2,949,960.00	2,989,400.00	--	
2035	--	--	--	--		--	--		3,117,237.50	3,128,900.00	--	
2036	--	--	--	--		--	--		3,286,458.26	3,277,550.00	--	
2037	--	--	--	--		--	--		3,467,643.76	3,429,900.00	--	
2038	--	--	--	--		--	--		--	3,585,650.00	--	
2039	--	--	--	--		--	--		--	3,754,500.00	--	
2040	--	--	--	--		--	--		--	3,930,850.00	--	
2041	--	--	--	--		--	--		--	4,079,250.00	--	
2042	--	--	--	--		--	--		--	4,275,300.00	--	
2043	--	--	--	--		--	--		--	4,472,350.00	--	
2044	--	--	--	--		--	--		--	4,685,100.00	--	
2045	--	--	--	--		--	--		--	4,902,800.00	--	
Total												

* Preliminary, subject to change.

⁽¹⁾ Does not include debt service of the Refunded Bonds.

⁽²⁾ Interest on such bonds payable semiannually on February 1 and August 1. Except where otherwise noted, principal payable on August 1.

⁽³⁾ Interest on such bonds payable semiannually on March 1 and September 1. Principal payable on September 1.

⁽⁴⁾ Interest on such bonds payable semiannually on January 1 and July 1. Principal payable on July 1.

⁽⁵⁾ Reflects a February 1 maturity.

TAX MATTERS

In the opinion of Bond Counsel, under existing statutes, regulation, rulings and judicial decisions, interest on the Bonds is not excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes under Section 103 of the Code but is exempt from State of California personal income tax.

Except for certain exceptions, the difference between the issue price of a Bond (the first price at which a substantial amount of the Bonds of the same maturity is to be sold to the public) and the stated redemption price at maturity with respect to such Bond (to the extent the redemption price at maturity is greater than the issue price) constitutes original issue discount. Original issue discount accrues under a constant yield method. The amount of original issue discount deemed received by the Owner of a Bond will increase the Owner's basis in the Bond. Owners of the Bonds should consult their own tax advisor with respect to taking into account any original issue discount on the Bonds.

In the event of a legal defeasance of a Bond, such bond might be treated as retired and "reissued" for federal tax purposes as of the date of the defeasance, potentially resulting in recognition of taxable gain or loss to the applicable Bondholder generally equal to the difference between the amount deemed realized from the deemed redemption and reissuance and the Bondholder's adjusted tax basis in such bond.

The amount by which a Bond Owner's original basis for determining loss on sale or exchange in the applicable Bond (generally, the purchase price) exceeds the amount payable on maturity (or on an earlier call date) constitutes amortizable bond premium, which the Owner of a Bond may elect to amortize under Section 171 of the Code. Such amortizable bond premium reduces the Bond Owner's basis in the applicable Bond (and the amount of taxable interest received) and is deductible for federal income tax purposes. The basis reduction as a result of the amortization of Bond premium may result in the Owner of a Bond realizing a taxable gain when a Bond is sold by the Owner for an amount equal to or less (under certain circumstances) than the original cost of the Bond to the Owner. The Owners of the Bonds that have a basis in the Bonds that is greater than the principal amount of the Bonds should consult their own tax advisors with respect to whether or not they should elect to amortize such premium under Section 171 of the Code.

The federal tax and State of California personal income tax discussion set forth above with respect to the Bonds is included for general information only and may not be applicable depending upon an Owner's particular situation. The ownership and disposal of the Bonds and the accrual or receipt of interest with respect to the Bonds may otherwise affect the tax liability of certain persons. Bond Counsel expresses no opinion regarding any such tax consequences.

A copy of the proposed form of opinion of Bond Counsel for the Bonds is attached hereto as APPENDIX A.

LIMITATION ON REMEDIES; BANKRUPTCY

General

State law contains certain safeguards to protect the financial solvency of school districts. See "DISTRICT FINANCIAL INFORMATION – Budget Process" herein. If the safeguards are not successful in preventing a school district from becoming insolvent, the State Superintendent, operating through an administrator appointed thereby, may be authorized under State law to file a petition under Chapter 9 of the United States Bankruptcy Code (the "Bankruptcy Code") on behalf of the school district for the adjustment of its debts, assuming that the school district meets certain other requirements

contained in the Bankruptcy Code necessary for filing such a petition. School districts are not themselves authorized to file a bankruptcy proceeding, and they are not subject to involuntary bankruptcy.

Bankruptcy courts are courts of equity and as such have broad discretionary powers. If the District were to become the debtor in a proceeding under Chapter 9 of the Bankruptcy Code, the automatic stay provisions of Bankruptcy Code Sections 362 and 922 generally would prohibit creditors from taking any action to collect amounts due from the District or to enforce any obligation of the District related to such amounts due, without consent of the District or authorization of the bankruptcy court (although such stays would not operate to block creditor application of pledged special revenues to payment of indebtedness secured by such revenues). In addition, as part of its plan of adjustment in a chapter 9 bankruptcy case, the District may be able to alter the priority, interest rate, principal amount, payment terms, collateral, maturity dates, payment sources, covenants (including tax-related covenants), and other terms or provisions of the Bonds and other transaction documents related to the Bonds, as long as the bankruptcy court determines that the alterations are fair and equitable. There also may be other possible effects of a bankruptcy of the District that could result in delays or reductions in payments on the Bonds. Moreover, regardless of any specific adverse determinations in any District bankruptcy proceeding, the fact of a District bankruptcy proceeding could have an adverse effect on the liquidity and market price of the Bonds.

Statutory Lien

Pursuant to Government Code Section 53515, the Bonds are secured by a statutory lien on all revenues received pursuant to the levy and collection of the tax, and such lien automatically arises, without the need for any action or authorization by the District or the Board, and is valid and binding from the time the Bonds are executed and delivered. See “THE BONDS – Security and Sources of Payment” herein. Although a statutory lien would not be automatically terminated by the filing of a Chapter 9 bankruptcy petition by the District, the automatic stay provisions of the Bankruptcy Code would apply and payments that become due and owing on the Bonds during the pendency of the Chapter 9 proceeding could be delayed, unless the Bonds are determined to be secured by a pledge of “special revenues” within the meaning of the Bankruptcy Code and the pledged *ad valorem* property taxes are applied to pay the Bonds in a manner consistent with the Bankruptcy Code.

Special Revenues

If the *ad valorem* property tax revenues that are pledged to the payment of the Bonds are determined to be “special revenues” within the meaning of the Bankruptcy Code, then the application in a manner consistent with the Bankruptcy Code of the pledged *ad valorem* property tax revenues should not be subject to the automatic stay. “Special revenues” are defined to include, among others, taxes specifically levied to finance one or more projects or systems of the debtor, but excluding receipts from general property, sales, or income taxes levied to finance the general purposes of the debtor. State law prohibits the use of the tax proceeds for any purpose other than payment of the Bonds and the proceeds general obligation bonds can only be used to finance the acquisition or improvement of real property and other capital expenditures included in the proposition, so such tax revenues appear to fit the definition of special revenues. However, there is no binding judicial precedent dealing with the treatment in bankruptcy proceedings of *ad valorem* property tax revenues collected for the payments of general obligation bonds in California, so no assurance can be given that a bankruptcy court would not hold otherwise.

Possession of Tax Revenues; Remedies

The County on behalf of the District is expected to be in possession of the *ad valorem* property taxes and certain funds to repay the Bonds and may invest these funds in the County's pooled investment fund, as described in "THE BONDS – Application and Investment of Bond Proceeds" herein and "APPENDIX F – SANTA CLARA COUNTY INVESTMENT POOL" attached hereto. If the County goes into bankruptcy and has possession of tax revenues (whether collected before or after commencement of the bankruptcy), and if the County does not voluntarily pay such tax revenues to the owners of the Bonds, it is not entirely clear what procedures the owners of the Bonds would have to follow to attempt to obtain possession of such tax revenues, how much time it would take for such procedures to be completed, or whether such procedures would ultimately be successful. Further, should those investments suffer any losses, there may be delays or reductions in payments on the Bonds.

Opinion of Bond Counsel Qualified by Reference to Bankruptcy, Insolvency and Other Laws Relating to or Affecting Creditor's Rights

The proposed form of the approving opinion of Bond Counsel attached hereto as APPENDIX A is qualified by reference to bankruptcy, insolvency and other laws relating to or affecting creditor's rights. Bankruptcy proceedings, if initiated, could subject the owners of the Bonds to judicial discretion and interpretation of their rights in bankruptcy or otherwise, and consequently may entail risks of delay, limitation, or modification of their rights.

LEGAL MATTERS

Legality for Investment in California

Under provisions of the Financial Code, the Bonds are legal investments for commercial banks in California to the extent that the Bonds, in the informed opinion of the bank, are prudent for the investment of funds of depositors, and under provisions of the Government Code, are eligible for security for deposits of public moneys in the State.

Continuing Disclosure

Current Undertaking. In connection with the issuance of the Bonds, the District has covenanted for the benefit of Owners and Beneficial Owners of the Bonds to provide certain financial information and operating data relating to the District (the "Annual Reports") by not later than nine months following the end of the District's fiscal year (the District's fiscal year ends on June 30), commencing with the report for the 2020-21 fiscal year, and to provide notices of the occurrence of certain enumerated events. The Annual Reports and notices of certain enumerated events will be filed by the District in accordance with the requirements of the Rule. The specific nature of the information to be contained in the Annual Reports or the notices of certain enumerated events is included in "APPENDIX C – FORM OF CONTINUING DISCLOSURE CERTIFICATE" attached hereto. These covenants have been made in order to assist the Underwriter in complying with the Rule.

Prior Undertakings. [TO COME].

Absence of Material Litigation

No litigation is pending or threatened concerning the validity of the Bonds, and a certificate to that effect will be furnished to purchasers at the time of the original delivery of the Bonds. The District is not aware of any litigation pending or threatened questioning the political existence of the District or

contesting the District's ability to receive *ad valorem* property taxes or to collect other revenues or contesting the District's ability to issue and retire the Bonds.

Legal Opinion

The validity of the Bonds and certain other legal matters are subject to the approving opinion of Stradling Yocca Carlson & Rauth, a Professional Corporation, San Francisco, California, as Bond Counsel. A copy of the proposed form of such legal opinion is attached to this Official Statement as APPENDIX A.

Verification

Upon delivery of the Bonds, the Verification Agent will deliver a report on the mathematical accuracy of certain computations based upon certain information and assertions provided to them by the Underwriter relating to the adequacy of the maturing principal of and interest on the Federal securities in the Escrow Fund, together with any moneys held therein as cash, to pay interest on the Refunded Bonds through their respective first optional redemption dates, and the redemption prices of the Refunded Bonds on such dates.

MISCELLANEOUS

Rating

Moody's has assigned a rating of "___" to the Bonds. The rating reflects only the view of Moody's, and any explanation of the significance of such rating should be obtained therefrom. There is no assurance that the rating will be retained for any given period of time or that the same will not be revised downward or withdrawn entirely by Moody's if, in its judgment, circumstances so warrant. The District undertakes no responsibility to oppose any such revision or withdrawal. Any such downward revision or withdrawal of the rating obtained may have an adverse effect on the market price of the Bonds.

Generally, rating agencies base their ratings on information and materials furnished to them (which may include information and material from the District which is not included in this Official Statement) and on investigations, studies and assumptions by the rating agencies.

The District has covenanted in a Continuing Disclosure Certificate to file notices of any ratings changes on the Bonds. See the caption "LEGAL MATTERS – Continuing Disclosure" below and "APPENDIX C – FORM OF CONTINUING DISCLOSURE CERTIFICATE" attached hereto. Notwithstanding such covenant, information relating to rating changes on the Bonds may be publicly available from the rating agencies prior to such information being provided to the District and prior to the date the District is obligated to file a notice of rating change pursuant to the Rule. Purchasers of the Bonds are directed to the rating agencies and their respective websites and official media outlets for the most current rating changes with respect to the Bonds after the initial issuance thereof.

Financial Statements

The financial statements with supplemental information for the year ended June 30, 2020, the independent auditor's report of the District, and the related statements of activities and of cash flows for the year then ended, and the report dated _____ of _____ (the "Auditor"), are included in this Official Statement as Appendix B. In connection with the inclusion of the financial statements and the report of the Auditor herein, the District did not request the Auditor to, and the Auditor has not

undertaken to, update its report or to take any action intended or likely to elicit information concerning the accuracy, completeness or fairness of the statements made in this Official Statement, and no opinion is expressed by the Auditor with respect to any event subsequent to the date of its report.

Underwriting

Purchase of Bonds. The Underwriter has agreed to purchase the Bonds at a price of \$_____, which is equal to the initial principal amount of \$_____, and less the Underwriter's discount of \$_____.

The Purchase Contract for the Bonds provides that the Underwriter will purchase all of the Bonds if any are purchased, the obligation to make such purchase being subject to certain terms and conditions set forth in said agreement, the approval of certain legal matters by counsel and certain other conditions. The Underwriter may offer and sell Bonds to certain dealers and others at prices lower than the offering prices stated on the inside cover page. The offering prices may be changed from time to time by the Underwriter.

Underwriter Disclosures. The Underwriter has provided the following information for inclusion in this Official Statement. The District does not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of the following information, and the inclusion thereof should not be construed as a representation of the District.

The Underwriter and its respective affiliates are full-service financial institutions engaged in various activities that may include securities trading, commercial and investment banking, municipal advisory, brokerage, and asset management. In the ordinary course of business, the Underwriter and its respective affiliates may actively trade debt and, if applicable, equity securities (or related derivative securities) and provide financial instruments (which may include bank loans, credit support or interest rate swaps). The Underwriter and its respective affiliates may engage in transactions for their own accounts involving the securities and instruments made the subject of this securities offering or other offering of the District. The Underwriter and its respective affiliates may make a market in credit default swaps with respect to municipal securities in the future. The Underwriter and its respective affiliates may also communicate independent investment recommendations, market color or trading ideas and publish independent research views in respect of this securities offering or other offerings of the District; provided, however, that potential investors are advised that the offering of the Bonds is made only by means of the Official Statement. No dealer, broker, salesperson or other person has been authorized by the District to give any information or to make any representation other than as contained in the Official Statement.

Additional Information

The purpose of this Official Statement is to supply information to prospective buyers of the Bonds. Quotations from and summaries and explanations of the Bonds, the Resolution providing for issuance of the Bonds, and the constitutional provisions, statutes and other documents referenced herein, do not purport to be complete, and reference is made to said documents, constitutional provisions and statutes for full and complete statements of their provisions.

Some of the data contained herein has been taken or constructed from District records. Appropriate District officials, acting in their official capacities, have reviewed this Official Statement and have determined that, as of the date hereof, the information contained herein is, to the best of their knowledge and belief, true and correct in all material respects and does not contain an untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made herein, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading. This Official Statement has been approved by the District.

Any statements in this Official Statement involving matters of opinion, whether or not expressly so stated, are intended only as such and not as representations of fact. This Official Statement is not to be construed as a contract or agreement between the District and the purchasers or Owners, beneficial or otherwise, of any of the Bonds. This Official Statement and the delivery thereof have been duly approved and authorized by the District.

**MOUNTAIN VIEW WHISMAN SCHOOL
DISTRICT**

By _____
Dr. Ayindé Rudolph
Superintendent

APPENDIX A

FORM OF OPINION OF BOND COUNSEL FOR THE BONDS

Upon issuance and delivery of the Bonds, Stradling Yocca Carlson & Rauth, a Professional Corporation, Bond Counsel, proposes to render its final approving opinion with respect thereto substantially in the following form:

_____, 2021

Board of Trustees
Mountain View Whisman School District

Members of the Board of Trustees:

We have examined a certified copy of the record of the proceedings relative to the issuance and sale of \$_____ Mountain View Whisman School District 2021 General Obligation Refunding Bonds (the “Bonds”). As to questions of fact material to our opinion, we have relied upon the certified proceedings and other certifications of public officials furnished to us without undertaking to verify the same by independent investigation.

Based on our examination as bond counsel of existing law, certified copies of such legal proceedings and such other proofs as we deem necessary to render this opinion, we are of the opinion, as of the date hereof and under existing law, that:

1. Such proceedings and proofs show lawful authority for the issuance and sale of the Bonds pursuant to Articles 9 and 11 of Chapter 3 of Part 1 of Division 2 of Title 5 of the California Government Code, and a resolution of the Board of Education of the District adopted on February 4, 2020 (the “Resolution”).
2. The Bonds constitute valid and binding general obligations of the District, payable as to both principal and interest from the proceeds of a levy of *ad valorem* property taxes on all property subject to such taxes in the District, which taxes are unlimited as to rate or amount.
3. Under existing statutes, regulations, rulings and judicial decisions, interest (and original issue discount) on the Bonds is not excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes under Section 103 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”).
4. Interest on the Bonds is exempt from State of California personal income tax.
5. Except for certain exceptions, the difference between the issue price of a Bond (the first price at which a substantial amount of the Bonds of a maturity is to be sold to the public) and the stated payment price at maturity with respect to such Bond (to the extent the redemption price at maturity is greater than the issue price) constitutes original issue discount. Original issue discount accrues under a constant yield method. The amount of original issue discount deemed received by a Bond owner will increase the Bond owner’s basis in the applicable Bond.

Except as expressly set forth in paragraphs (3), (4), and (5), we express no opinion regarding any tax consequences with respect to the Bonds.

The opinions expressed herein are based upon our analysis and interpretation of existing statutes, regulations, rulings and judicial decisions and cover certain matters not directly addressed by such authorities. The opinions expressed herein may be affected by actions taken (or not taken) or events occurring (or not occurring) after the date hereof. We have not undertaken to determine, or to inform any person, whether any such actions or events are taken or do occur. Our engagement as bond counsel to the District terminates upon the issuance of the Bonds.

The rights of the owners of the Bonds and the enforceability thereof may be subject to bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, moratorium and other similar laws affecting creditors' rights heretofore or hereafter enacted to the extent constitutionally applicable and their enforcement may also be subject to the exercise of judicial discretion in appropriate cases and by the limitations on legal remedies against public agencies in the State of California.

Respectfully submitted

APPENDIX B

2019-20 AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF THE DISTRICT

APPENDIX C

FORM OF CONTINUING DISCLOSURE CERTIFICATE

This Continuing Disclosure Certificate (the “Disclosure Certificate”) is executed and delivered by the Mountain View Whisman School District (the “District”) in connection with the issuance of \$_____ 2021 General Obligation Refunding Bonds (Federally Taxable) (the “Bonds”). The Bonds are being issued pursuant to a Resolution of the District adopted on February 4, 2021 (the “Resolution”). The District covenants and agrees as follows:

SECTION 1. Purpose of the Disclosure Certificate. This Disclosure Certificate is being executed and delivered by the District for the benefit of the Holders and Beneficial Owners of the Bonds and in order to assist the Participating Underwriter in complying with S.E.C. Rule 15c2-12(b)(5).

SECTION 2. Definitions. In addition to the definitions set forth in the Resolution, which apply to any capitalized term used in this Disclosure Certificate unless otherwise defined in this Section, the following capitalized terms shall have the following meanings:

“Annual Report” shall mean any Annual Report provided by the District pursuant to, and as described in, Sections 3 and 4 of this Disclosure Certificate.

“Beneficial Owner” shall mean any person which (a) has the power, directly or indirectly, to vote or consent with respect to, or to dispose of ownership of, any Bonds (including persons holding Bonds through nominees, depositories or other intermediaries), or (b) is treated as the owner of any Bonds for federal income tax purposes.

“Dissemination Agent” shall mean initially Keygent LLC, or any successor Dissemination Agent designated in writing by the District (which may be the District) and which has filed with the District a written acceptance of such designation.

“Financial Obligation” means: (a) a debt obligation; (b) a derivative instrument entered into in connection with, or pledged as security or a source of payment for, an existing or planned debt obligation; or (c) guarantee of (a) or (b). The term “Financial Obligation” does not include municipal securities as to which a final official statement has been provided to the Repository consistent with the Rule.

“Holders” shall mean registered owners of the Bonds.

“Listed Events” shall mean any of the events listed in Sections 5(a) or (b) of this Disclosure Certificate.

“Official Statement” means the official statement dated as of _____, 2021 and relating the primary offering and sale of the Bonds.

“Participating Underwriter” shall mean RBC Capital Markets, LLC or any of the original underwriters of the Bonds required to comply with the Rule in connection with offering of the Bonds.

“Repository” shall mean the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board, which can be found at <http://emma.msrb.org/>, or any other repository of disclosure information that may be designated by the Securities and Exchange Commission as such for purposes of the Rule in the future.

“Rule” shall mean Rule 15c2-12(b)(5) adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as the same may be amended from time to time.

“State” shall mean the State of California.

SECTION 3. Provision of Annual Reports.

(a) The District shall, or shall cause the Dissemination Agent to, not later than nine months after the end of the District’s fiscal year (presently ending June 30), commencing with the report for the 2020-21 Fiscal Year, which shall be due no later than March 31, 2022, provide to the Repository an Annual Report which is consistent with the requirements of Section 4 of this Disclosure Certificate. The Annual Report may be submitted as a single document or as separate documents comprising a package, and may cross-reference other information as provided in Section 4 of this Disclosure Certificate; *provided* that the audited financial statements of the District may be submitted separately from the balance of the Annual Report and later than the date required above for the filing of the Annual Report if they are not available by that date. If the District’s fiscal year changes, it shall give notice of such change in the same manner as for a Listed Event under Section 5(c).

(b) Not later than 30 days (nor more than 60 days) prior to said date the Dissemination Agent shall give notice to the District that the Annual Report shall be required to be filed in accordance with the terms of this Disclosure Certificate. Not later than 15 Business Days prior to said date, the District shall provide the Annual Report in a format suitable for reporting to the Repository to the Dissemination Agent (if other than the District). If the District is unable to provide to the Repository an Annual Report by the date required in subsection (a), the District shall send a notice in a timely manner to the Repository in substantially the form attached as Exhibit A with a copy to the Dissemination Agent. The Dissemination Agent shall not be required to file a Notice to Repository of Failure to File an Annual Report.

(c) The Dissemination Agent shall file a report with the District stating it has filed the Annual Report in accordance with its obligations hereunder, stating the date it was provided.

SECTION 4. Content and Form of Annual Reports.

(a) The District’s Annual Report shall contain or include by reference the following:

1. The audited financial statements of the District for the prior fiscal year, prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles as promulgated to apply to governmental entities from time to time by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. If the District’s audited financial statements are not available by the time the Annual Report is required to be filed pursuant to Section 3(a), the Annual Report shall contain unaudited financial statements in a format similar to the financial statements contained in the final Official Statement, and the audited financial statements shall be filed in the same manner as the Annual Report when they become available.

2. Material financial information and operating data with respect to the District of the type included in the Official Statement in the following categories (to the extent not included in the District’s audited financial statements):

- (a) State funding received by the District as of the last completed fiscal year;
- (b) Average daily attendance of the District for the last completed fiscal year;

- (c) Outstanding District indebtedness, as of the last completed fiscal year;
- (d) Summary financial information on revenues, expenditures and fund balances for the District's general fund reflecting adopted budget for the current fiscal year;
- (e) Assessed valuations of taxable property within the District for the current fiscal year; and
- (f) Secured *ad valorem* property tax delinquencies for the current year, to the extent that Santa Clara County discontinues the Teeter Plan (as such term is defined in the Official Statement).

Any or all of the items listed above may be included by specific reference to other documents, including official statements of debt issues of the District or related public entities, which have been submitted to the Repository or the Securities and Exchange Commission. If the document included by reference is a final official statement, it must be available from the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board. The District shall clearly identify each such other document so included by reference.

(b) The Annual Report shall be filed in an electronic format accompanied by identifying information prescribed by the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board.

SECTION 5. Reporting of Significant Events.

(a) Pursuant to the provisions of this Section 5(a), the District shall give, or cause to be given, notice of the occurrence of any of the following events with respect to the Bonds in a timely manner not in excess of 10 business days after the occurrence of the event:

1. principal and interest payment delinquencies.
2. tender offers.
3. optional, contingent or unscheduled Bond calls.
4. defeasances.
5. rating changes.
6. adverse tax opinions, the issuance by the Internal Revenue Service of proposed or final determinations of taxability, or Notices of Proposed Issue (IRS Form 5701-TEB).
7. unscheduled draws on the debt service reserves reflecting financial difficulties.
8. unscheduled draws on credit enhancement reflecting financial difficulties.
9. substitution of the credit or liquidity providers or their failure to perform.
10. default, event of acceleration, termination event, modification of terms, or other similar events under the terms of a Financial Obligation, any of which reflect financial difficulties.
11. bankruptcy, insolvency, receivership or similar event of the District. For the purposes of the event identified in this Section 5(a)(9), the event is considered to occur when any

of the following occur: the appointment of a receiver, fiscal agent or similar officer for the District in a proceeding under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code or in any other proceeding under state or federal law in which a court or governmental authority has assumed jurisdiction over substantially all of the assets or business of the District, or if such jurisdiction has been assumed by leaving the existing governmental body and officials or officers in possession but subject to the supervision and orders of a court or governmental authority, or the entry of an order confirming a plan of reorganization, arrangement or liquidation by a court or governmental authority having supervision or jurisdiction over substantially all of the assets or business of the District.

(b) Pursuant to the provisions of this Section 5(b), the District shall give, or cause to be given, notice of the occurrence of any of the following events with respect to the Bonds, if material:

1. non-payment related defaults.
2. modifications to rights of Bondholders.
3. unless described under Section 5(a)(5) above material notices or determinations with respect to the tax status of the Bonds, or other material events affecting the tax status of the Bonds.
4. release, substitution or sale of property securing repayment of the Bonds.
5. the consummation of a merger, consolidation, or acquisition involving the District or the sale of all or substantially all of the assets of the District, other than in the ordinary course of business, the entry into a definitive agreement to undertake such an action or the termination of a definitive agreement relating to any such actions, other than pursuant to its terms.
6. appointment of a successor or additional trustee or paying agent with respect to the Bonds or the change of name of such a trustee or paying agent.
7. Incurrence of a Financial Obligation, if material, or agreement to covenants, events of default, remedies, priority rights, or other similar terms of a Financial Obligation, any of which affect Bondowners.

(c) Whenever the District obtains knowledge of the occurrence of a Listed Event under Section 5(b) hereof, the District shall as soon as possible determine if such event would be material under applicable federal securities laws.

(d) If the District determines that knowledge of the occurrence of a Listed Event under Section 5(b) hereof would be material under applicable federal securities laws, the District shall (i) file a notice of such occurrence with the Repository in a timely manner not in excess of 10 business days after the occurrence of the event or (ii) provide notice of such reportable event to the Dissemination Agent in format suitable for filing with the Repository in a timely manner not in excess of 10 business days after the occurrence of the event. The Dissemination Agent shall have no duty to independently prepare or file any report of Listed Events. The Dissemination Agent may conclusively rely on the District's determination of materiality pursuant to Section 5(c).

SECTION 6. Termination of Reporting Obligation. The District's obligations under this Disclosure Certificate shall terminate upon the legal defeasance, prior redemption or payment in full of all of the Bonds. If such termination occurs prior to the final maturity of the Bonds, the District shall give

notice of such termination in the same manner as for a Listed Event under Section 5(a) or Section 5(b), as applicable.

SECTION 7. Dissemination Agent. The District may, from time to time, appoint or engage a Dissemination Agent (or substitute Dissemination Agent) to assist it in carrying out its obligations under this Disclosure Certificate, and may discharge any such Agent, with or without appointing a successor Dissemination Agent. The Dissemination Agent may resign upon 15 days' written notice to the District. Upon such resignation, the District shall act as its own Dissemination Agent until it appoints a successor. The Dissemination Agent shall not be responsible in any manner for the content of any notice or report prepared by the District pursuant to this Disclosure Certificate and shall not be responsible to verify the accuracy, completeness or materiality of any continuing disclosure information provided by the District. The District shall compensate the Dissemination Agent for its fees and expenses hereunder as agreed by the parties. Any entity succeeding to all or substantially all of the Dissemination Agent's corporate trust business shall be the successor Dissemination Agent without the execution or filing of any paper or further act.

SECTION 8. Amendment; Waiver. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Disclosure Certificate, the District may amend this Disclosure Certificate, and any provision of this Disclosure Certificate may be waived, provided that the following conditions are satisfied:

(a) If the amendment or waiver relates to the provisions of Sections 3(a), 4, 5(a) or 5(b), it may only be made in connection with a change in circumstances that arises from a change in legal requirements, change in law, or change in the identity, nature or status of an obligated person with respect to the Bonds, or the type of business conducted;

(b) The undertaking, as amended or taking into account such waiver, would, in the opinion of nationally recognized bond counsel, have complied with the requirements of the Rule at the time of the original issuance of the Bonds, after taking into account any amendments or interpretations of the Rule, as well as any change in circumstances;

(c) The amendment or waiver does not, in the opinion of nationally recognized bond counsel, materially impair the interests of the Holders or Beneficial Owners of the Bonds; and

(d) No duties of the Dissemination Agent hereunder shall be amended without its written consent thereto.

In the event of any amendment or waiver of a provision of this Disclosure Certificate, the District shall describe such amendment in the next Annual Report, and shall include, as applicable, a narrative explanation of the reason for the amendment or waiver and its impact on the type (or in the case of a change of accounting principles, on the presentation) of financial information or operating data being presented by the District. In addition, if the amendment relates to the accounting principles to be followed in preparing financial statements, (i) notice of such change shall be given in the same manner as for a Listed Event under Section 5(b), and (ii) the Annual Report for the year in which the change is made should present a comparison (in narrative form and also, if feasible, in quantitative form) between the financial statements as prepared on the basis of the new accounting principles and those prepared on the basis of the former accounting principles.

SECTION 9. Additional Information. Nothing in this Disclosure Certificate shall be deemed to prevent the District from disseminating any other information, using the means of dissemination set forth in this Disclosure Certificate or any other means of communication, or including any other information in any Annual Report or notice of occurrence of a Listed Event, in addition to that which is required by this

Disclosure Certificate. If the District chooses to include any information in any Annual Report or notice of occurrence of a Listed Event in addition to that which is specifically required by this Disclosure Certificate, the District shall have no obligation under this Certificate to update such information or include it in any future Annual Report or notice of occurrence of a Listed Event.

SECTION 10. Default. In the event of a failure of the District to comply with any provision of this Disclosure Certificate any Holder or Beneficial Owner of the Bonds may take such actions as may be necessary and appropriate, including seeking mandate or specific performance by court order, to cause the District to comply with its obligations under this Disclosure Certificate. A default under this Disclosure Certificate shall not be deemed an event of default under the Resolution, and the sole remedy under this Disclosure Certificate in the event of any failure of the District to comply with this Disclosure Certificate shall be an action to compel performance.

SECTION 11. Duties, Immunities and Liabilities of Dissemination Agent. The Dissemination Agent shall have only such duties as are specifically set forth in this Disclosure Certificate. The Dissemination Agent acts hereunder solely for the benefit of the District; this Disclosure Certificate shall confer no duties on the Dissemination Agent to the Participating Underwriter, the Holders and the Beneficial Owners. The District agrees to indemnify and save the Dissemination Agent, its officers, directors, employees and agents, harmless against any loss, expense and liabilities which it may incur arising out of or in the exercise or performance of its powers and duties hereunder, including the costs and expenses (including attorney's fees) of defending against any claim of liability, but excluding liabilities due to the Dissemination Agent's negligence or willful misconduct. The obligations of the District under this Section shall survive resignation or removal of the Dissemination Agent and payment of the Bonds. The Dissemination Agent shall have no liability for the failure to report any event or any financial information as to which the District has not provided an information report in format suitable for filing with the Repository. The Dissemination Agent shall not be required to monitor or enforce the District's duty to comply with its continuing disclosure requirements hereunder.

SECTION 12. Beneficiaries. This Disclosure Certificate shall inure solely to the benefit of the District, the Dissemination Agent, the Participating Underwriter and Holders and Beneficial Owners from time to time of the Bonds, and shall create no rights in any other person or entity.

Dated: _____, 2021

MOUNTAIN VIEW WHISMAN SCHOOL DISTRICT

By _____

Dr. Rebecca Westover
Chief Business Officer

EXHIBIT A

NOTICE TO REPOSITORY OF FAILURE TO FILE ANNUAL REPORT

Name of District: MOUNTAIN VIEW WHISMAN SCHOOL DISTRICT

Name of Bond Issue: 2021 General Obligation Refunding Bonds

Date of Issuance:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the District has not provided an Annual Report with respect to the above-named Bonds as required by the Continuing Disclosure Certificate relating to the Bonds. The District anticipates that the Annual Report will be filed by _____.

Dated: _____

MOUNTAIN VIEW WHISMAN SCHOOL DISTRICT

By _____ [form only; no signature required]

APPENDIX D

BOOK-ENTRY ONLY SYSTEM

The information in this section concerning DTC and DTC's book-entry system has been obtained from sources that the District believes to be reliable, but the District takes no responsibility for the accuracy or completeness thereof. The District cannot and does not give any assurances that DTC, DTC Direct Participants or Indirect Participants (as defined herein) will distribute to the Beneficial Owners (a) payments of interest, principal or premium, if any, with respect to the Bonds, (b) certificates representing ownership interest in or other confirmation or ownership interest in the Bonds, or (c) redemption or other notices sent to DTC or Cede & Co., its nominee, as the registered owner of the Bonds, or that they will so do on a timely basis or that DTC, Direct Participants or Indirect Participants will act in the manner described in this Official Statement. The current "Rules" applicable to DTC are on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission and the current "Procedures" of DTC to be followed in dealing with Participants are on file with DTC.

The Depository Trust Company ("DTC"), New York, NY, will act as securities depository for the Bonds. The Bonds will be issued as fully-registered securities registered in the name of Cede & Co. (DTC's partnership nominee) or such other name as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. One fully-registered Bond certificate will be issued for each maturity of each series of the Bonds, each in the aggregate principal amount of such maturity, and will be deposited with DTC.

DTC, the world's largest securities depository, is a limited-purpose trust company organized under the New York Banking Law, a "banking organization" within the meaning of the New York Banking Law, a member of the Federal Reserve System, a "clearing corporation" within the meaning of the New York Uniform Commercial Code, and a "clearing agency" registered pursuant to the provisions of Section 17A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. DTC holds and provides asset servicing for over 3.5 million issues of U.S. and non-U.S. equity issues, corporate and municipal debt issues, and money market instruments (from over 100 countries) that DTC's participants ("Direct Participants") deposit with DTC. DTC also facilitates the post-trade settlement among Direct Participants of sales and other securities transactions in deposited securities, through electronic computerized book-entry transfers and pledges between Direct Participants' accounts. This eliminates the need for physical movement of securities certificates. Direct Participants include both U.S. and non-U.S. securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, clearing corporations, and certain other organizations. DTC is a wholly-owned subsidiary of The Depository Trust & Clearing Corporation ("DTCC"). DTCC is the holding company for DTC, National Securities Clearing Corporation and Fixed Income Clearing Corporation, all of which are registered clearing agencies. DTCC is owned by the users of its regulated subsidiaries. Access to the DTC system is also available to others such as both U.S. and non-U.S. securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, and clearing corporations that clear through or maintain a custodial relationship with a Direct Participant, either directly or indirectly ("Indirect Participants," and together with the Direct Participants, the "Participants"). DTC has a Standard & Poor's rating of "AA+." The DTC Rules applicable to its Participants are on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission. More information about DTC can be found at www.dtcc.com.

Purchases of Bonds under the DTC system must be made by or through Direct Participants, which will receive a credit for the Bonds on DTC's records. The ownership interest of each actual purchaser of each Bond ("Beneficial Owner") is in turn to be recorded on the Direct and Indirect Participants' records. Beneficial Owners will not receive written confirmation from DTC of their purchase. Beneficial Owners are, however, expected to receive written confirmations providing details of the transaction, as well as periodic statements of their holdings, from the Direct or Indirect Participant through which the Beneficial Owner entered into the transaction. Transfers of ownership interests in the

Bonds are to be accomplished by entries made on the books of Direct and Indirect Participants acting on behalf of Beneficial Owners. Beneficial Owners will not receive certificates representing their ownership interests in the Bonds, except in the event that use of the book-entry system for the Bonds is discontinued.

To facilitate subsequent transfers, all Bonds deposited by Direct Participants with DTC are registered in the name of DTC's partnership nominee, Cede & Co., or such other name as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. The deposit of Bonds with DTC and their registration in the name of Cede & Co. or such other DTC nominee do not effect any change in beneficial ownership. DTC has no knowledge of the actual Beneficial Owners of the Bonds; DTC's records reflect only the identity of the Direct Participants to whose accounts such Bonds are credited, which may or may not be the Beneficial Owners. The Direct and Indirect Participants will remain responsible for keeping account of their holdings on behalf of their customers.

Conveyance of notices and other communications by DTC to Direct Participants, by Direct Participants to Indirect Participants, and by Direct Participants and Indirect Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by arrangements among them, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time. Beneficial Owners of Bonds may wish to take certain steps to augment the transmission to them of notices of significant events with respect to the Bonds, such as redemptions, defaults, and proposed amendments to the Resolution. For example, Beneficial Owners of Bonds may wish to ascertain that the nominee holding the Bonds for their benefit has agreed to obtain and transmit notices to Beneficial Owners. In the alternative, Beneficial Owners may wish to provide their names and addresses to the registrar and request that copies of notices be provided directly to them.

Redemption notices shall be sent to DTC. If less than all of the Bonds within an issue are being redeemed, DTC's practice is to determine by lot the amount of the interest of each Direct Participant in such issue to be redeemed.

Neither DTC nor Cede & Co. (nor any other DTC nominee) will consent or vote with respect to Bonds unless authorized by a Direct Participant in accordance with DTC's MMI Procedures. Under its usual procedures, DTC mails an Omnibus Proxy to the District as soon as possible after the record date. The Omnibus Proxy assigns Cede & Co.'s consenting or voting rights to those Direct Participants to whose accounts Bonds are credited on the record date (identified in a listing attached to the Omnibus Proxy).

Redemption proceeds and distributions on the Bonds will be made to Cede & Co., or such other nominee as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. DTC's practice is to credit Direct Participants' accounts upon DTC's receipt of funds and corresponding detail information from the District or the Paying Agent, on payable date in accordance with their respective holdings shown on DTC's records. Payments by Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by standing instructions and customary practices, as is the case with securities held for the accounts of customers in bearer form or registered in "street name," and will be the responsibility of such Participant and not of DTC, the Paying Agent, or the District, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time. Payment of redemption proceeds or distributions to Cede & Co. (or such other nominee as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC) is the responsibility of the District or the Paying Agent, disbursement of such payments to Direct Participants will be the responsibility of DTC, and disbursement of such payments to the Beneficial Owners will be the responsibility of Direct and Indirect Participants.

DTC may discontinue providing its services as depository with respect to the Bonds at any time by giving reasonable notice to the District or the Paying Agent. Under such circumstances, in the event that a successor depository is not obtained, Bond certificates are required to be printed and delivered.

The District may decide to discontinue use of the system of book-entry-only transfers through DTC (or a successor securities depository). In that event, Bond certificates will be printed and delivered to DTC.

The information in this section concerning DTC and DTC's book-entry system has been obtained from sources that the District believes to be reliable, but the District takes no responsibility for the accuracy thereof.

APPENDIX E

**GENERAL ECONOMIC AND DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION FOR
SANTA CLARA COUNTY AND THE CITY OF MOUNTAIN VIEW**

Information in this Appendix has been assembled from various sources believed to be reliable; however, the District does not warrant the accuracy or thoroughness of this information.

[TO COME]

APPENDIX F

SANTA CLARA COUNTY INVESTMENT POOL

The following information concerning the Santa Clara County Investment Pool (the “Investment Pool”) has been provided by the Director of Finance (the “Director of Finance”) of Santa Clara County (the “County”), and has not been confirmed or verified by the District, the Municipal Advisor or the Underwriter. The District, the Municipal Advisor and the Underwriter have not made an independent investigation of the investments in the Investment Pool and have made no assessment of the current County investment policy. The value of the various investments in the Investment Pool will fluctuate on a daily basis as a result of a multitude of factors, including generally prevailing interest rates and other economic conditions. Additionally, the Director of Finance, with the consent of the County Board of Supervisors, may change the County investment policy at any time. Therefore, there can be no assurance that the values of the various investments in the Investment Pool will not vary significantly from the values described herein. Finally, none of the District, the Municipal Advisor or the Underwriter make any representation as to the accuracy or adequacy of such information or as to the absence of material adverse changes in such information subsequent to the date hereof, or that the information contained or incorporated hereby by reference is correct as of any time subsequent to its date. Additional information regarding the Investment Pool may be obtained from the Director of Finance at <http://www.sccgov.org>; however, the information presented on such website is not incorporated herein by any reference.